

Editorial-page editor
The New York Times
229 W. 43 St.,
New York, NY 10036

5/29/92

Dear editor,

When I wrote you 5/24, just back from the hospital, I had just received in the mail a clipping of your paper's ^{coverage} ~~coverage~~ of the AHA media event on the JFK assassination with an editorial folded inside of it. The editorial had no identification of it and I mistakenly assumed it was from your paper.


The reporting, which is not your responsibility, accepted the most obvious lies as fact and too many not that obvious that should have raised questions rather than being reported as fact.

I do hope the time comes when the Times can forget its failures on this major event in our history, ignore all the commercialization and exploitation of that great tragedy, and inform its readers with fact about the crime and its investigations. The fact is available.

But as with truth, the supply exceeds the demand.

I apologize for my error in sending to you what should have been addressed to the Washington Times.

Sincerely,



Harold Weisberg

Harold Weisberg
7627 Old Receiver Rd.
Frederick, MD 21702

5/29/92

Editor
The Washington Times
Washington, D.C.

Dear editor,

Five days ago, just home from the hospital, my mail included a story from the New York Times on the media blitz of the AIA against criticism of the official solution to the JFK assassination, with a copy of your editorial of May 21 folded inside the clipping. I assumed incorrectly that the unidentified editorial was from that paper.

Enclosed is a copy of what I sent the New York Times instead of you.

Just about all I said applies also to your paper.

Your paper had reason to know that your nasty cracks about me are invalid because you had a reporter here several times in the recent past. He knows better than your ~~your~~ smart alec of an editorial writer who makes it apparent that for your editorials one need have no knowledge at all and that a willingness to be irresponsible and unprofessional is welcome.

I think you owe your trusting readers and me an apology.

And ought you not be asking yourself how your people can write such intended slurs and defamations without using the phone.

Unless, of course, they have reason to believe it is what you expect of them.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg
7627 Old Receiver Rd.
Frederick, MD 21702

