Dear Jim, 10/11/75

As soon as I got home yesterday I returned Don Oberdorfer's call. His line was busy and it was much later, when I was lying down, that we spoke. I did not hear him well but did clearly enough.

He is working on what I take to be an anti-Szulc/New Republic (Star?) story on the executive sessions.

In informing him, by answers and in what I volunteered, I was as full as I could be. I have not yet read the issue.

I told him that there was an initial declassification of which David Wise was the beneficiary in 1967 and that while I consider I had asked for some the Archives can reasonable argue otherwise. I told him that from then until now I am responsible for all these declassifica tions.And of the suit for the rest.

One of his interests is was this any kind of big exclusive. I told him of the 1/22 and 27 stories and how much attention they received, esp. outside of Washington, and that years ago I wrote about 300 pages on them. Of my efforts to interest both the Star and NR, of the Wills column, my visit to NR a week ago and of suspicions about Szulc, limited in specifics to the disinformation about Hunt being acting Mex Cy station chief when LHO was there.

It was a friendly conversation. He did not tell me his purposes or who told him to call me. (It need not have been someone at the Post because he didn't volunteer this.)

Afterward I got to thinking. While I'm not sure I do believe that the initial declassifications given to Wise are of those reflecting on the Commission only, not on CIA or FBI. If so, considering what is in those we have more recently gotten, I believe this is significant.

Best,