

Dear Bill,

8/26/95

Wifft you it was disgruntled client week last week. This week was nursemaid week for me. Lil is inclined to be stoical ^{but} told me yesterday that the abdominal pain and chest rawness continued so I insisted on taking her to the doctor without any appointment. A friend in the office squeezed her in after much less wait than I'd believed we'd face. And we learned what we should have learned the two previous trips there this week, that those discomforts can be expected to continue for a couple more weeks. We also got a better idea of the diet restrictions she'll face and a little relief from the prohibitions we'd believed would be for at least two weeks. So maybe today she'll have more or less of a real meal when I take her to Hana's, where I am sure that with rice OK for her and no spices Nicole will rustle up something she will enjoy after the little she'd eaten this week most of which was ~~just~~ Jello.

You refer correctly to how odd it is that all was so orderly in Simpson's bedroom except for the socks in the middle of the floor. How about the bed neatly made, reflecting that he'd not sit on it to take them off. Or threw them from a rather big distance if he sat elsewhere to do that?

The case was an apparent frame from the beginning and the official leaking, which could have come from officialdom only, was to fortify the frame and influence both the public mind, the media mind, and all prospective jurors. Before the Reaganized courts we'd have heard of the Sam Sheppard and Billie Sol Restes cases and their being held untriable over the publicity.

I told the area AP correspondent early on that given what was believed to be a police or prosecutorial impropriety off the top of the head I'd provide a parallel from the JFK and MLK cases. AP sent that to its chief correspondent on the Simpson case and she was and remains silent.

There is no rational way of speaking of the cuts the "epublicans are making and proposing. They'll take food from the mouths of hungry kids to make the overly rich who finance them even richer. Taking food from their mouths takes income from the farmers and food processors, ^{fr}.

If you read Newman's book you'll waste the time. He is factually incorrect in way's you'll not detect and aside from what he makes up tells you nothing about the assassination, about which he is ignorant anyway. The book does not even try to justify its title. All he has along that line is that the CIA had a great interest in Oswald. Which he did not ~~discover~~ discover and to which he gives neither meaning nor any rational explanation.

C & G sent Newman barnstorming and they are spending a pot on Livingstone's coming trash but I've still had no response to my asking for copies of NA! even after offering to pay for them. If they've made a single effort to get a single review for anything of mine they've published it is secret from me. Best to you both, Harold