Dear Bill, 7/22/95

I'd just sat down to write you when the phone rang. As has happened at least daily recently, not long after a couple of my "hellos" I heard the click of the broken connection. Checking the Caller ID I learned that as it always is, the call was from outside the area covered by the Caller ID. This sort of thing is one of the reasons I got the caller ID to begin with only to learn of its FCC limitation to the I think billing area. Kept it wanyway to tell us if we had local calls when not home. It was, according the Caller ID at 1:46. There is no set time for this minor harassment. But it is daily at least.

Going atong with it I noticed yesterday morning at about 4:40 a.m. that the hump caddy in the car had been moved straight back from where I keep it by at least two inches, maybe more. The three days a wek I have blood tests followed by p.t., I'm to east a granola bar before the p.t. so I take a pint of icewater with me, drink it while reading the papers at the lab building, put coffee in it when I leave the lab bldg., and is use the caddy for the icewater as soon as I get in the car. Lil did not move it and it has teeth to keep it from slipping. While there may be another explanation, the possibility that someone was there, perhaps to paint a bug, cannot be ignored. I do not mean that literally because I will do just that. I mean the possibility can't be ignored.

It serves little purpose to learn if we are away because we are rarely away other then briefly, as anyone knowing us knows. Or who learned about us.

Now about your letter of the 17th, we've had that kind of hot weather and it has been even hotter here than you report. We've had no real problems from it mostly because avoid being out in it. I hope Dick makes that a practise. He should. It is never all that bad the early morning, the car is air conditioned, so are the buildings in which I am, and we do all we must s early as we can anyway. One of us, usually Idl by preference, walks out for the mail and that is it other than shopping and that is air coditioned.

I'm surprized at the C & G ad for Newman's book. Perhaps the early sales indications are that promising, perhaps it was placed early with the publishing lag, but it represents a real cost and they are cheap with pennies with me. If they've sent any review copies of either of my books out I've not heard of it. And with NA! I've made six requests for copies that are without response. I offered to pay for them, too.

So I have no books to use in any effort to promote it. As they knew it would mean.

Newman's is a very bad book and very dishonest. Aside from what can be attributed to subject-matter ignorance. The Malaysian grais who are retyping what I wrote brought the last half mesterday. I went over and corrected two chapters before daylight and that brought me to where I'm adding achapter. I did get started on that and had to lay it aside. What this means is that before long I'll be able to send some of you diskettes of it. It is rough. I started it as a memo and then wwitched to book for so that perhaps

in the future it may be edited into a book. Heanwhile whatever the form, its serves the purposes of a memo.

The are two tiny, pleasent and brought young women but the errors other than in typing are more than I've faced with any of the students retyping for me. Mostly small words omitted, corrections not picked up, retc. I gave them the frist half back exsterday and as soon as I finish the chapter I'm adding I'ml get the rest read and corrected. But it is taking me much more time because I have to do so much checking against the original raft and they've not picked up what I asked them to do, indicate the pages of finished typing on the rough. But they are good, they are keeping at it and I'm satisfied. Hower, when it is all corrected I'll have diskettes for you, Gerry, Jerry, Dave and Dennis. Unlessyou see a real need for his book or have a desire for it I suggest you wait until you have a chance to read what I've written.

I think that when you do you'll see why Newman stayed away other than when we invoted him for Thanksgiving dinner in 1993. "e declined my offer of access to all by not accepting it and he decoined a copy of the proof that Oswald had those high clearances, saying he'd get that record himself. It is not mentioned in his book that Oswald had any clearances. That the CIA had its inordinate interest in Oswald does not originate with Newman. Anna Marie Kuhs-Walko was antoit and helped him, without mention in his book. That means on that subject his book is not new. It also is not new that the CIA lies. He has nothing else both of meaning and dependable and he omits much that is relevant. Some time ago I was told he is working on a book on Cuba. From this book it will be inflamatory, as quietly this one already is. Casy to implicated in the assassination pased on fictions. Beginning to get the idea that other than in sizing me up he stayed away?

Thanks for the interesting Churchill reviews.

On Bob Schulman I'm sure it is the Barl-Waren-can-do-nom-wrong syndrome.

I ha d a call yesterday from a man who phoned several weeks ago. I then told him about NA! which he said was not on sale where he lives. He travels in his work and the first ten bookstores he asked for a copy did not have it. All offered to order it. He got it at the 11th.

The most probably explanation is their killing the subtitle without speaking to me. But they can't be so ignorant and so stupid that they did not recognize the title a alone says Nothing at all about the book. So why should stores order it? Or browsers other than JFK fans even look at it? Aside ftom the/it will sell only to those who spot my name.

So now you know part of the reason I did not even tell them I fi nished the sequel last year...If I'd had a choince I'd have used it. Best to you all,

faill