

Mr. Thomas Conley, FOIA Center
U.S. Army Intelligence Agency
Ft. Meade, Md. 20755

6/23/77
IACI-GAF

Dear Mr. Conley,

By this time you have probably received my last letter in response to your last letter and enjoyed righteous indignation.

Now that today's mail brings your 6/21 with enclosures you can come down to earth.

It entirely corroborates all I've said, even if you have to have the dilatory CIA remind you of what is in your files.

Maybe I'm a little tiny bit unfair to them. My request of the Army was earlier.

So now you know you do indeed have the files you denied having. And I was not without just cause in reminding you of the requirement of the law that there be a good-faith search made with due diligence. Or the old trick of not searching the right files?

Has the time yet come when you will recognize the law, you in the plural sense, and insist that those who are not being truthful with you make a real search and provide the records you are required to provide?

There is a long list of code identifications in these records indicative of your sources you did not report having checked or other distribution points to which you did not report making referral. After all these years of pious protestations can it be done now? Under a ten-day law, that is?

If you are not now willing to give me a translation of all this coded mumbojumbo then I do make a new FOIA request for all records identifying each. Well, one for each will do.

Remember my reminding you about Ft. Monroe? Copies of what you sent me were sent to "RUCRC/CG USCOMARC" there.

Having no interest in the two relating to whom you withheld under the privacy exemption and believing in it as I do about other exemptions, I do not appeal that withholding. I do appeal the withholding of the rest of these and all relevant files now that you and I both have proof of their existence. You know well enough about their denial.

I look forward to your informing me that you have caused searches to be made at all these distribution points for all information relating to the JFK assassination and to me. When Mrs. Marguerite Oswald has not written a book about her son or the Warren Commission of anything else relevant to either and I have written more about both than any other person, I hope you can understand why I wonder that the Army's intelligence had to cover a speech she made but claims to have had no interest in or files on me. I've made many more appearances and my Barst book was the first on the subject.

I do not present myself as a current expert on classification but I do wonder how either of these records qualified for any classification. I would appreciate the citation of any law or executive order that justified this classification.

Written on the face of the first page of the first enclosure is what appears to be four different 921 files. If you can identify these and tell me that whether files or references there have been full and proper searches I would appreciate it.

Obviously these records refer to others within my hoary requests. One in particular is relevant to your assurance of a proper search in your very last letter, the records of the 112th Into. Gp. in Dallas. This source told the authors of this record that the Dallas Police Department told the Army that Oswald admitted being a card-carrying Communist. This perplexes me because the police did not tell the Commission this and the Commission, the FBI

and all other agencies of which I know, including the Dallas police, failed to so state.

My perplexity is increased after reading Oswald's writings. He wrote against the Russian and the American Communists. I know of no anti-Communist writings of his.

In fact he returned from the Soviet Union, not without difficulty.

Perhaps the foregoing circumstances account for his carrying a Communist card? According to your incomplete record, citing an Army report you still withhold.

By the time I hear from NIS again I hope I remember to remind them of their report they have managed not to find for so long.

Do you think that if all the services actually got together and combined to withhold information and bring down justifiable suspicion upon all of them they could have succeeded any better?

Does not any one of you care about the law? Or the faith of the people? Or earning and deserving it?

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg