



THE Thunderbolt

NEWS
SUPPRESSED
BY THE
DAILY PRESS

THE WHITE MAN'S VIEWPOINT

JUNE, 1976

ISSUE #206

Jimmy Carter Is Left Of McGovern

**Carter Supports Busing, Intergration Of Private Christian Schools,
And Public Housing In Suburbs**

Only Reagan Can Beat Carter In November

REAGAN SAYS WHAT PEOPLE WANT TO HEAR

When Henry Kissinger promised economic aid to the communist countries and even the guerrilla forces fighting White Rhodesia, the intellectuals thought that this was what the voters wanted to hear. That was just before the Texas primary which saw President Ford wiped out.

Again they thought that Reagan had made a fatal mistake when he said he would send U.S. troops to Rhodesia to keep the peace if called on by Prime Minister Ian Smith. Ford forces kept the T.V. and radio ads filled that ex-cowboy movie star Ronald Reagan was "trigger happy." When the votes were counted in the June 8 election Reagan had once again taken the lead and not only carried California but made deep inroads into Ford's expected "safe" state of Ohio.

It seems as if old fashioned virtues of putting America first have not gone out of style. Our people are not ready to give away the Panama Canal. This has certainly been a plus with voters sick and tired of the Ford-Kissinger surrender at the drop of a hat policy. Not only that but Reagan is the only presidential contender to demand that America be No. 1 militarily.

REAGAN — "AMERICA IS SECOND RATE"

Ronald Reagan has detailed exactly how far behind America is when compared to the growing might of communist Russia. President Ford has countered with the claim that the "U.S. is unsurpassed militarily."

Where does the truth lie? A study of Secretary of Defense



RONALD REAGAN

A remarkable uphill fight.

Donald Rumsfeld's arguments for a larger defense budget have him stating that the Soviets are spending a rising outlay of money on their armed forces. Their military budget rose from \$107 billion in 1965 to \$144 billion today. U.S. defense spending has dropped from \$110 billion in 1965 to \$101 billion today. Rumsfeld says that if Congress does not approve production of such new weapons as the B1 long-range bomber, we will be at a serious disadvantage.

Sen. Childs of Florida initiated a survey which indicates that American disenchantment over the loss of Vietnam is waning and that 58% of the people now want a strong U.S. military posture. More and more voters have turned away from Ford in the Republican primaries (Continued on Page 2)

Jimmy Carter Has Lied To The American People

Recent polls have found that some people have voted for Jimmy Carter because they believed that he was against abortion. Others voted for him thinking he favored abortion. Some voted for him because they think he is against busing; others voted for him because they think he is for it. The same goes for the Right To Work Law, ERA, Open Housing, etc., etc. Carter may go down in history as the slickest double-talking politician to ever come on the scene.

The truth of the matter is that Jimmy Carter is an extreme leftist and always has been. He has always spoken vaguely on the issues so that the masses will read into his statements the belief that this "simple Georgia farmer" feels just like they do. Through sheer trickery and subterfuge Carter has been able to hoodwink millions of normally conservative Americans into voting for him.

Carter Drives For Jew And Negro Votes

Addressing a black caucus meeting in North Carolina on May 2, Carter asked the black leaders to "draw up a list of positions to which you would like to see blacks appointed to if I become president." They responded with requests for the Secretary of Health, Education and Welfare (HEW). They included positions in foreign affairs. Carter responded that: "We're putting together a list of those positions we think we will appoint blacks to, from the Cabinet to other high echelon jobs." He also promised to appoint black federal judges throughout the South.

At a Washington, D.C. meeting of black clergymen which negro "Jet Magazine" reported, Carter pledged that: "When I'm elected there will be black members in my Cabinet. You can depend on it." The magazine lauded him because he would be the first president in



CARTER hugs Martin Luther King, Sr. in a typical interracial display.

U.S. history to have more than one negro seated in the Cabinet at the same time. It said this promise was made to the blacks in response to their bloc vote giving him the victory edge in Pennsylvania, North Carolina and Florida.

Carter All Out For The Jew Vote

Besides having his campaign staff loaded down with Jews as reported in The Thunderbolt (Issue #205) Carter has taken the strongest pro-Israeli position of any candidate. He is the only candidate to state that Israel should not give Syria back the Golan Heights and supports Jewish colonies in West Bank Arab territory. This has been the cause of recent Arab rioting.

Carter Favors Segregation For Jewish Schools Only

In an article which only appeared in the Jewish owned "New York Times" on May 26, Jimmy Carter told a Jewish group that he supports forced busing of White Christian children and negroes. He stated: "I believe that private schools should be required to admit blacks."

NOTE CLOSELY THE FOLLOWING: AT the same time Jimmy Carter carefully

promised the Jews that "narrow purpose schools such as Jewish yeshivas should not have to integrate. All other private schools should be required to have an open admissions policy whether or not the school receives Federal funds or had tax free status," declared Carter.

No politician in history has gone as far as Carter in appeasing the minorities. He openly has promised them positions and favors which blatantly discriminate against White Christian citizens! George McGovern never went this far to the left. Carter may be from Georgia but he is a scalawag and traitor to the South and the White people of America. He is actually Anti-White.

Carter has called the war in Vietnam an evil "racist war." He told leftist groups in California that "we would never have committed similar indiscriminate killings in World War II that we did against yellow people in Vietnam." Carter is a former submarine commander but apparently he ignored the fact that the allies deliberately bombed residential areas of Hamburg killing over 75,000 men, women and children just to create panic and terror among the German civilian population. With the war all but over, British and American planes bombed the open city of Dresden when it was filled with refugees fleeing from the communists in the East. For two days and two nights they rained tens of thousands of fire bombs down upon the defenseless city of Dresden, slaughtering around 400,000 men, women and children in history's worst mass atrocity.

Carter ignores all the facts of history to twist the truth and say any war in which non-Whites die is "racist." In other words Jimmy Carter is actually anti-White! He is one of those leftists who has been filled with the poison of "White self-hate" and has a guilt complex because

(Continued on Page 2)

Jews Want Voters To Choose Between Ford And Carter

Carter Left Of McGovern—

(Continued from Page 1)

of the color of his skin. He is not a true leader and not representative of White Christian America. Jimmy Carter owes his very soul to the Jews and negroes and should he become president, our Republic would be in the hands of a dangerous, mentally twisted, individual who actually hates his own race. His support of forced busing, open housing and all forms of race mixing means that he would do all within his power to mongrelize the White Race — while at the same time insuring the preservation of the Jewish race by allowing them to remain segregated.

One little known fact from Jimmy Carter's history is that he was personally picked to be a nuclear submarine commander by Rear Admiral Hyman Rickover. This is the Jew who has headed our Naval atomic defense projects for many years. Rickover is a Jewish socialist and privately quizzed all the men he appointed to be sub officers. After a one hour talk in which he went into Carter's social views, he picked him as a submarine commander. Rickover knew that here he had a man who was personally devoted to the leftist philosophy of the Jew Karl Marx.

Only Reagan Can Beat Carter—

(Continued from Page 1)

because they believe that Reagan would make American number 1 again.

THE REAGAN APPEAL
A typical Ronald Reagan meeting was held in Boise, Idaho. Reagan opened it with the singing of "God Bless America." Then he ripped right into the gut issues that all other politicians avoid. He told of the Chicago welfare woman who used 80 names to collect \$150,000 a year. Reagan promised to dismantle the welfare bureaucracy and turn it over to the states. He calls Fidel Castro a "tinhorn dictator" in contrast to Kissinger's use of the term, "Prime Minister Castro."

Reagan warns the people that peace does not come through weakness. "The time has come to stop giving in to dictators be they in Panama or the Soviet Union!"

Reagan promises to balance the budget, hold down public spending and taxes. Transfer social programs to the states and cut taxes. Slash regulatory programs which discourage private enterprise, stop busing and gun controls. The crowd always cheers when Reagan says: "Maybe if we get Washington out of the classroom, we can get God back in."

Ronald Reagan has gotten out the largest and most enthusiastic crowds of any candidate. It is not just nostalgia, but a keen desire on the part of young and old alike to retain the old values which have been lost by today's Washington crowd.

THE THUNDERBOLT is published monthly. Subscription rates are: One year, \$6; Two Year, \$10. Published by THE THUNDERBOLT, INC., Dr. Edward R. Fields, Editor and Publisher, publication office: 591 Cherokee St., Marietta, Ga. 30061. Second Class postage paid at Marietta, Ga.

PAGE 2



ATTY. GEN. LEVI, left, Max Fisher, right, lead Ford's Jewish faction.

Kissinger Decides Foreign Policy

Kissinger Backs Up Ford

President Ford has the benefit of being able to use all the members of his Cabinet and GOP favor-seekers to campaign for him. Jew Henry Kissinger has come up with the completely false story that Cuba is pulling its troops out of Angola. He says that this is a victory for his foreign policy (now that Angola is solidly within communist hands). At the same time we have received reports that Cuban troops are being rushed into the bush country to fight spreading pro-Western guerrilla activity. Late-breaking news tells of thousands of communist Cuban settlers being moved to Angola. In other words that country is now being "colonized" by the communists who are taking the place of the Portuguese who were forced to flee that country.

Ford and Kissinger brag that "no more American boys are fighting in foreign wars!" This sounds good but the communists have now taken Angola, Laos, Cambodia and Vietnam in the past 18 months. Ford even says that we dare not use any of our Marines to try and keep the Panama Canal because that tiny country might start "guerrilla warfare against us." In other words, even the weakest nations of this earth can kick Uncle Sam around because they know that we are afraid to fight! We do not believe that many American people buy the Kissinger-Ford "Detente" policy of surrender every time the communist bear growls at us. Sooner or later the time will come when we will have to draw the line and tell the Reds that we will accept no more of their aggression. Defending the Panama Canal, and aiding the White people of Rhodesia and South Africa to protect themselves is as good a place as any to halt the march of the communist enemy.

Max Fisher — "Ford Is Israel's Best Friend"
Max Fisher is the powerful Chairman of the Jewish Agency's Board of Governors. The Jewish Agency is a branch of the Israeli government and operates worldwide to keep Jews posted on the official Zionist line. Fisher also was the intermediary between Nixon and the Jewish community. Now he states that: "Ford and Kissinger are the best friends that Israel has in presidential politics. While other contenders might sound friendlier, they might act with less resoluteness in support of Israel." He also said that Jews have ready access to their fellow Jew Kissinger while it was more difficult for them to get in to see Dulles or Dean Rusk.

It should also be remembered that most of Ford's speeches are written by Milton Friedman, his chief writer. Friedman was correspondent for 21 years for the "Jewish Telegraphic Agency" which is owned by the Israeli government. But prior to promotion as "special assistant" to Pres. Ford, Friedman was press secretary of Sen. Jacob Javits.

Sen. Dick Clark of Iowa is spearheading Kissinger's promise of \$85 million in aid to the black nations which surround Rhodesia and South Africa. All this is part of their plan to bring down these too advanced White civilized countries. Ronald Reagan has criticized the new policy of aiding black Africa for the purpose of putting pressure on the White ruled

nations. Sen. Jim Allen of Alabama has promised to filibuster against the bill.

There can be little doubt that Kissinger runs U.S. foreign policy and the dimwitted Ford meekly follows his lead. Ford has actually said that he would plead with Kissinger to stay on as Secretary of State should he be elected president. How shallow minded Ford is when it comes to the operation of government is emphasized by his recent statement intended to fool the people on busing. Jimmy Carter style, he told a Columbus, Ohio news conference that he thought Atty. Gen. Levi should review the 1954 Brown Vs. Topeka, Kansas Board of Education case. He said this was the busing decision. Ron Nessen quickly had to rush out and say that the president was "confused" and that the Brown case was the landmark Supreme Court decision which first ordered the integration of White public schools throughout America. He said Ford was for the Brown decision. The NSRP wants that ruling reversed along with the busing ruling but Ford does not even know the difference between the two cases! He has to have Levi and Nessen (both Jews) explain it for him. Perhaps he has bumped his head on helicopter doors too many times, but regardless, we do not need such a brainless idiot in Washington who cannot move without the Jews pulling his puppet strings.

Ford Is For Forced Busing

— Why Patriots Cannot Support President Ford

President Ford has deliberately sought to misrepresent the truth and mislead the American people in his attempt to defeat Ronald Reagan for the Republican nomination. Just before the Kentucky and Tennessee Primaries, President Ford asked his Jewish Attorney General Levi to investigate and determine whether or not the Justice Department should intervene to see if busing had gone too far. He carried both states because many people in Louisville, Nashville, and Memphis thought that Ford would take action to stop forced busing. No sooner had the election ended than Atty. Gen. Levi announced his decision not to intervene because the federal judges had not been out of bounds. The following is President Ford's real record on busing.

In Dover, N.Y. on Feb. 20th he was asked by reporters to explain his stand on busing. Ford replied, that since 1954 the Supreme Court had tried to end segregation. He stressed that it was the obligation of the federal government to use all the force at its command to "end


segregation." Asked about long distance busing of children Ford replied that "I support what has been done. I took an oath of office to uphold the law of the land whether I agree with a court decision or not, it is immaterial." Ford was truther queried about the Detroit busing order by Judge Robert DeMascio requiring the busing of 35,000 children. (Some 4,000 have left the Detroit school system since September and more are leaving daily.) Ford replied that Judge DeMascio "understood the problem and the net result of his order seeks to achieve quality education and end segregation is responsible and moderate."

The people of Michigan do not agree with this but Ford was protected by the daily press in his own home state and nothing was printed on his pro-busing statements. Believe it or not, even after the people of Tennessee and Kentucky were tricked by Ford, Ron Nessen went to work to hoodwink the people of California before their primary. He said that Levi was now considering the possibility of intervening in the Pasadena, Calif. school busing case. This time it was too late for Ford to steal that state's 187 delegates away from Reagan.

READ AND PASS ON

Carter's Jew Relatives Say He Places Israel First

Jewish Cousin Influenced Carter



SAMUEL BRAUNSTEIN — Jimmy Carter's close cousin.

Carter Has Jewish Kin

CLEVELAND — James Carter had a Jewish uncle and has a cousin who considers himself Jewish.

Jerry Barach, editor of The Cleveland Jewish News, learned of the Democratic candidate's Jewish relations when he appeared here.

Louis Braunstein was married to Carter's aunt, his mother's sister. He recalled to Barach his frequent visits to the Braun-

By ROBERTA BRANDES GRATZ

Samuel Braunstein remembers it well. It was Jimmy Carter who put him on his first horse, made him wear a mask to protect him from the dust in the peanut factory, taught him how to scale a fish and took him to lunch with the Georgia Governor who preceded Carter, Carl Sanders.

Sam Braunstein, who has the same blue eyes and broad smile as the presidential candidate, is Carter's kid cousin.

He remembers how many years ago they spent an evening sprawled on the living room floor in Plains, Ga., burning midnight oil discussing politics, intimate, Judeo-Christian differences and the survival of Israel, a cause young Braunstein took for his own while in college.

Braunstein is a Jewish member of the vast family Carter tree. His mother, Mary Elizabeth, and Carter's mother, Lillian, are sisters.

Braunstein's father, Louis, who died two years ago, was a religious Jew from Atlanta who in the 1930s moved to Chattanooga for a job with the railroad.

Sam Braunstein followed his father's path and is "very much" a practicing Jew.

stein home in Atlanta before they moved to Chattanooga.

One of the sons of the Braunsteins has become an Orthodox Jew, according to Carter and lives in Englewood, Conn. Another son does not consider himself Jewish.

Young Sam Braunstein spent almost every summer romping through the woods of Plains and living in the Pond House, on the rolling acreage where the Carters lived, and still live, in the Proper House.

'The Greatest'


If Braunstein waxes ecstatic over the presidential candidate now, admitting it "sounds like campaign propaganda," he can't help it, he says, because Carter is "really the greatest." He remembers Jimmy as "always caring about some underdog, always trying to buck the system," whether the home town issues involved local

schools, treating black and white farm labor as equals


Carter, Braunstein says, "thinks Israel has to remain a viable state," and believes this country "must do anything within reason to keep it alive and on equal footing."

Today, the Braunstein's oldest son, David, is traveling in Europe, then will settle for a while to work on a kibbutz in Israel.

What Braunstein had learned about Israel while still in college was passed along to Carter. "He probably knew more than I did to start, but I made damn sure he knew all that I had found out," Braunstein says.



JIMMY CARTER will win Democratic nomination.



Frank Mankiewicz

BOBBY KENNEDY'S favorite Jew joins Carter team.

More Jews Join Carter Campaign

Jew political mentor Frank Mankiewicz has joined the Carter campaign. He led the Carter drive in California and is working with others to line up national Jewish influence to obtain the presidency for Carter. Mankiewicz was a top aide to Sen. George McGovern in his 1972 presidential race. He also was a top assistant to the late Bobby Kennedy in 1968. If Carter wins, Mankiewicz will be working out of the White House. It should be noted that last year Mankiewicz went to Cuba and interviewed Fidel Castro in an attempt to have the U.S. recognize the communist dictator. No doubt this will come about if Carter becomes president.

Two Alabama Jews Back Carter

They are not ordinary "Southern Jews," and The National Observer referred to them as "abrasive, obnoxious!" This is indeed descriptive of the average Jew but Morris Dees is the most successful mail-order fundraiser in America. He is responsible for raising most of Jimmy Carter's campaign money. He and fellow Jew Joe Levin operate the "Southern Poverty Law Center." They are the ones who raised \$300,000 for the legal defense of Joanne Little who murdered a White jailer with an ice pick in North Carolina. No doubt they will take Levi and Pottinger's places in running the U.S. Justice Department should Carter become president.

One of the most powerful Jews in the world is optometrist Dr. William Wexler, who is the former international president of "B'nai B'rith," the secret Jewish businessmen's organization. Very few Georgians realize that this influential Jew lived quietly in Savannah, before moving to Israel. Recently he held the important position of B'nai B'rith's official representative in Israel. "Jewish Week" reports that Wexler will come back to head up the "Jews For Carter" organization if he wins the Democratic nomination. The Jewish publication describes Wexler as an "old friend of Carter."

BELOW REPRINTED from the "Jewish Post."

Carter Supports W. Bank Colonies

NEW YORK — The strongest pro-Israel position of any candidate for the nomination for the presidency came from former Governor Jimmy Carter who said he favored Israel settlements in the occupied territories. When it was called to his attention that the Ford Administration was opposed to such settlements, he responded that if he were Premier of Israel he would not give up the Golan Heights or East Jerusalem.

Carter Supports Busing For Private Christian Schools But Not For Jewish Schools!

Carter on Busing By CHARLES MOHR PROVIDENCE, R.I., May 25— Jimmy Carter told a new conference here that if he were President he would not ask the Attorney General to seek a Supreme Court review of busing to achieve school integration, as President Ford has done.

ABOVE AND BELOW articles from the New York Times.

Carter Says Ruling About Housing Aids Equal Opportunity

Special to The New York Times PITTSBURGH, April 20 — Jimmy Carter, a candidate for the Democratic Presidential nomination, said today that the Supreme Court's decision on public housing in the suburbs "suits me fine."

He told a questioner that he believed private schools should be required to admit blacks.

He later adopted his present stance when in Cincinnati early in May, he said that, except for a few "narrow purpose schools" such as Jewish Yeshivas, all private schools should be required to have an open admissions policy whether or not the school receives Federal funds or had tax-free status.

But he also emphasized his support of affirmative action by the Government to prevent discrimination in new housing financed with Federal assistance.

Today, he viewed the Court's decision as a victory for equal opportunity.

"I've said that when Federal money is spent in a community, there ought to be an affirmative action program enforced.

On this page is a report from the "Jewish Post" which states that Jimmy Carter has a Jewish uncle and a Jewish cousin. Carter's mother's sister married the Jew Louis Braunstein from Chattanooga, Tenn. The family has long maintained a close relationship with their Jewish relatives and this could explain why Carter takes such a strong pro-Israeli stand that it makes him act like a Zionist activist — which he actually is!

Genocide Treaty Would Destroy Bill Of Rights

By E. SCOTT ROYCE

Mr. Royce holds a B.A. in Government from the University of Virginia. Employed full-time as a researcher for a conservative legal defense foundation in Northern Virginia, he is also active in Young Republican affairs. He edits a monthly newsletter, Southern Libertarian Review.

The Senate Foreign Relations Committee has, once again, issued a favorable report on the United Nations, "International Convention on Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide." This treaty, killed in 1974 by a filibuster led by Senators James Allen (D.-Ala.) and Sam Ervin (D.-N.C.), will be much tougher to defeat this time. If ratification is to be successfully blocked, conservatives and libertarians will have to begin working now.

It was drafted following passage in December 1946 of a UN resolution condemning attempts to destroy "racial, religious, political and other groups... entirely or in part." After much diplomatic hassling, including deletion of all reference to "political" groups at the insistence of the Communists, the proposed treaty came before the UN General Assembly and was unanimously approved in December 1948.

President Truman submitted the Genocide Convention to the Senate on June 16, 1949.

President Eisenhower's Secretary of State, John Foster Dulles, opposed the treaty; and for years it lay buried in the Senate Foreign Relations Committee.

In January 1974, twenty-five years after submission to the Senate, that body commenced debate on the proposal. Only after liberal proponents of the agreement failed twice to invoke cloture against the Allen-Ervin filibuster did they give up hopes for passage that year.

Why is a treaty dealing with such a heinous thing as genocide as controversial as this agreement is? One basic cause for concern lies in the language of Article VI, Clause 2, of the U.S. Constitution:

"This Constitution and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof, and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land...."

Basically, this means that the "supreme law of the land" consists of three things—the Constitution itself, laws made pursuant to its provisions, and treaties. The way that the courts have interpreted this section, treaties need not be made "in pursuance of" the Constitution. They may override it and domestic laws, and this fact emphasizes the need for caution.

Specifically, what does the Genocide Convention provide that is so dangerous? Under the agreement the "contracting parties" proclaim their intent "to prevent and punish" commission "both in time of peace or in time of war" of the crime of genocide.

The major problem lies in the definitions subsequently set forth, or lack of such; in Articles II and III. According to Article II, genocide is defined as "acts committed with the intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group as such..."

These acts include: (b) Causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group; (c) Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part; [emphasis added]

Add to this the fact that under Article III punishable acts include "conspiracy to commit genocide" and "direct and public incitement to commit genocide," and you begin to grasp the nature of the difficulty.

One of the major objections to the pact is that none of these terms and phrases is itself further defined or clarified. What constitutes "mental harm"? Under an extreme interpretation, anyone using racial slurs or passing on an ethnic joke could be accused of causing "mental harm" to "members of the group."

Does the treaty effectively rule out use of capital punishment against members of minority groups? Could a senator's speech attacking black radicals, an article critical of the tactics of Cesar Chavez, be construed as "direct and public incitement to commit genocide"?

How does one interpret the section about "deliberately inflicting... conditions of life" in the light of our economic system?

Some of the interpretations cited above may sound far-fetched—until one recalls some of the recent actions of the Third World-Communist bloc at the United Nations. And it is the UN or a UN-established tribunal with which we would be dealing.

Another serious question arises over jurisdiction for trials of individuals in cases arising under the convention. According to Article IV, trials are to be held in the State where the offense was committed or before an international tribunal.

Over the years Communist governments and domestic radical groups have repeatedly filed with the UN or issued to the press charges that the U.S. is engaged in genocidal policies.

Consider just a few of many examples of the trouble this country might be buying through ratification:

- In 1951, a 1,000-person delegation led by leftist Paul Robeson presented the UN a petition charging the U.S. government with "genocide against the Negro people."

- During the Indochina War, the Vietnamese Communists repeatedly charged the United States with pursuing a genocidal policy. Some treaty critics have charged that under the agreement American GIs who were captured could have been tried and convicted of genocide by North Vietnam.

- In June 1970 a group of blacks and Indians headed by Dick Gregory took a petition to the UN charging violations of the treaty by "savage police activities,"

"murderous attacks on black youth," and the like.



SEN. GEORGE MCGOVERN and Sen. Jacob Javits pushed Genocide treaty through Foreign Relations Committee.

- In November 1970 several thousand people marched at the UN in protest of alleged genocide against non-white peoples at home and abroad by our government.

Just how dangerous the murky definitions of the convention really are becomes clear when one reviews a speech delivered in early 1970 by Walter E. Fauntroy, currently the non-voting Democratic member of the House of Representatives from the District of Columbia, on behalf of Dr. Ralph Abernathy.

Fauntroy charged that "traditional forms of unconscious genocide are being supplemented in our nation today by conscious and systematic repression of the leaders of the black and the poor." The phrase "unconscious genocide" included, Fauntroy explained, such things as denial of "a poor or minority-group mother prenatal care," failure of the government to spend larger sums of tax money to eliminate hunger in the U.S., and sending minority group members to fight in Indochina.

The 1976 battle against the Genocide Treaty will be much rougher for opponents than the one in 1974.

For one thing, the American Bar Association finally caved in earlier this year and endorsed the pact—reversing the policy it had pursued since 1949. The ABA shift may prove convincing to some senators who voted against cloture two years ago.

In addition, eight of those members who voted against cutting off debate on the treaty in 1974 have since retired or been defeated for re-election. Only two or three of those elected since the 1974 cloture roll-calls appear likely at the moment to oppose the pact.

To guarantee defeat we will need the votes of all those who voted "right" in 1974 and are still present in the Senate, plus the votes of several newer members.

There is far more to the treaty than its stated purpose. Its dangerous vagueness of language, the potential for overriding constitutional liberties, the threat of further erosion of American sovereignty and prestige, are each good reasons for opposing the pact. Taken together, they comprise an overwhelming case against ratification. Write, wire or call your U.S. senators today to ask them to oppose this treaty.