By DAVID BURNHAM

Special to The New York Times

Special to The New York Times

Bayh said today that the National Securi have said it involves electronic devices ty Agency, "has a massive capacity to that are capable of "plucking" from the monitor (communications), of Americans air long distance telephone calls, which and warned that a bill designed to restrict and warned that a bill designed to restrict electronic, surveillance, would not limit the secret activities of the agency The assertion by Mr. Bayh, chairman

of the Senate Subcommittee on Intelligence and Human Rights, was one of the ew instances when an informed United States official has acknowledged the ability of the N.S.A. to operate within the borders of the United States.

borders of the United States.

Mr. Bayh, Democrat of Indiana, said in a statement introduced into the record at the beginning of hearings on the pro-posed foreign intelligence surveillance act of 1977 that a serious threat to the priva-cy of Americans "involves very sophisticy of Americans involves very sophisti-cated surveillance technology used by the National Security Agency.

The comments by Mr. Bayn were made after The New York Times and other pub-

lications reported that the Carter Administration, disturbed by widespread electronic eavesdropping in the United States by the Soviet Union, was preparing a plan to improve methods of protecting Government and private calls from intrusion by foreign governments, by corporations or by criminal groups.

Mr. Bayh said the "N.S.A. has a massive

capacity to a monitor communications without the use of conventional wiretaps or electronic bugging devices '14' and Although his statement went no further for this area of concern.

WASHINGTON, July 19—Senator Birch in describing this capacity, other officials crowaves, and high-speed computers that cans locate the desired messages in the

transmissions and the surveillance activities of the N.S.A. were carried out on the basis of standards and procecedures laid down in classified executive orders, rather than in a legislative charter."

Mr. Bayh added in his statement that he was confident that serious efforts had been made by the Carter Administration to impose self-restraint in the surveillance activities of the N.S.A.

"The intelligence committies attempting to oversee these efforts, so the executive branch is held accountable for these results. Our task is more difficult, how ever, because there are no legally en-forceable standards," he said.

Mr. Bayh, urging that the Administration and Congress consider broadening the proposed surveillance legislation, said that "most of what N.S.A. does is not covered by the bill."

But the only witness at today's hearing. Attorney General Griffin B. Bell, contend-ed that the great complexity in resolving how overseas surveillance and related activities could best be controlled had led him to recommend separate legislation