BOOKS

Assassination whitewash

INQUEST, by Edward Jay Ep-stein, introduction by Rich-ard H. Rovere, Viking, New York: 156 pp. and appendices, \$5.

WHITEWASH, by Harold Weisberg. Published by the auth-or, Hyatistown, Md.: 208 pp., \$4.95.

WHATEVER ELSE it may have achieved, the Warren Commission Report on the Dal-ins triple-assassination of 1963 stimulated controversy about ins triple-assassination of 1963 stimulated controversy about what kind of bruth "somebody" so desparately needs to bury beneath such an avalanche of hokum. The official version of the orime was already patently fraudulent and, in this case, lee behaved in the normal way: their repetition and amplifica-tion in the Warran Report only made them more painful and sordid.

sordid. These books represent two skeptical attitudes toward the skeptical attitudes toward the commission's labors, and more variants are to come. Epsteln's, a Cornell doctoral thesis appro-priately written as though by a promising halibut, shows that even young liberals are gauging on the conorts "imongistam" on the report's "inconsisten-cles" and "apparent discrepan-cles" - respectfolio

on the reports "inconsisten-cles" and "apparent discrepan-cles" — respectfully yet with some risk to their social equili-brium. Its mentor, the New Yorker's cautious Washington correspondent Richard Rovere, reassures us in advance that the author does not "sven question the fundamental integrity" of anyone concerned; the seven commissioners are men of "known probity" and further-mors the FBI is "in many ways an estimable organization." Having originally swallowed the official pill as the one that "bast suited me," Rovere new "finds it his appling duty" to endorse the possibility — which would knock the whole farmago for a loop--that there was more than one assassin. However, Epstein "la not asying there was a sec-ond assassing or that proof of the eristence of one would neo-mearths after the hour formamenta the existence of one would necessarily alter the fundamental nature of the case."

PRUDENCE COULD hardly go further; yet as the motion of our liberal intelligentsis can be measured, this is fast going. Far more progressive pill-awal-lowers like LP. Stone and Har-rison Sallburry have yet to show the first signs of regurgitation, being procoupled with the state of Soviet justice. Our gratitude for small and late mercles can-not suppress memories of the intelligentia's ultra-slow burn over the "McGarthylss" inquisi-tion, the Rosenberg-Sobell case and the Korean and Vielnam ward, whose justice many of them now question with Rover-PRUDENCE COULD hardly go them now question with Rover-ian appalment. The consola-tion is that, this time, no innocent lives could have been saved by higher liberal inflamma-

NEWSPAPER

The equally prudent Epstein classifies "most of the writing on the assassination to date" as either "demonology or blind faith... the demonologists rea-son that as all the facts were not retrained the commission son that as all the facts were not revealed, the commission must have been party to a com-spiracy to suppress evidence." This is not quite accurate, buil-let us demonologists take a bow. In fact we no more know just who is in the conspiracy than just whom it is designed to pro-beet; we just state what our senses perceive. Epstein himself notes that most of commis-sioners were more absent than present, and few if any could

ITEMASE

lash they signed.

THE REPORT is the work of few staff lawyers whose clear a two start lawyers whose them purpose-either by someone's instructions or because they just "got the wave-length" from President Johnson-was to pregot us wave-ended in both President Johnson-was to pre-sent more persuasively and less crudely the "findings" of the FBI. Even so, the Hars cannot always agree which lie to tell. In the chaotic "investigation" of the shots fired at Kennedy (which had to be three in num-her to support the one-assassin theory, although demonstrably there were more) the commis-sion contradicts the FBI as to which shots did what; and the different statements of Dallas doctors and Bethesda au-topsists make the confusion total. The doctors emerge from total. The doctors emerge from the Warren Report like straight the Warren Report like straight men in a vaudeville, trying to be dignified as the "investigative" clows wham their graying heads with bladders, As for the police's performance, in a crime com-mitted in the presence of more cops than any in history, let us just easy it was unlose. just say it was unique.

just say it was unique. With so much testimony re-futing their Q.E.D. theory and raising hobgobilns out of the murk-dor scample, that Oe-wald was an FBI informer at \$200 a month-the report's au-thors either fudged it to "prove" what it disproved, or gave it a lonely grave in the 26 volumes of hearings and exhibits (of of hearings and exhibits (of which there was no free dis-tribution; even the House Ju-diciary Committee had to pay \$76 for them, and they could not be bought separately) — or the witnesses were simply not called.

NEVERTHELESS Harold Weisberg's careful examination of all 27 tomes shows that, far from establishing the official theory, they blow it to smith-ereens. They also show a conereens. They also show a con-spiracy beyond any rational person's doubt, speculations on which are left to the reader. But for raising all the pertinent questions arising from the "de-struction, alteration and mani-pulation of evidence" and the "crediting of impossible test-



have had time to read the gou-

mony from preposterous wit-nesses," Weisberg paid the price nesses, Westorry paid the price required by national hyporfisy: rejection of his book by 63 U.S. publishers, so that he had to hring it out himself in photo-offset of his typescript. On the jackst he reproduces comments Jacket he reproduces comments by publishers in turning it down: "Could have a very big sale," "highly readable and convincing," "exhaustive and responsible," "super's piece of research," "damned good."

The "only alternative to ap-proving" the Warren Report is the conclusion that Oswald killed neither Kennedy nor Of-ficer Tippit. (The Tippit case is "so feeble that it lacks even official certification that he is dend," let alone an autopsy.) Perhaps Weisberg's greatest contribution is putting together will use each mark them of how the contribution is putting together all we can yet know of how the assasting prepared the trap for their pre-selected pigeon, party by creating a "false Oswald" before the crime whose "obvious existence" both FBI and the Warren commission ignored, Weisberg also makes the best-yet analysis of Marina Oswald"s role and of Oswald's relations with Cubans in the U.S.--presomol wife makes no sense except in terms of recruitment for some kind of U.S. "intelli-gence," and no hygienic ex-planation has been offered. WHAT IS NOW most needed is

a psychoanalysis of a society which, against a reaction abroad a postconnector of the section abroad ranging from embarrassment to deriaton, can accept such arrant filmflam about the murder of its own President. An important part of it would analyze the ra-pid oblivion to which most Americana have consigned the inscident." A Canadian publish-er spoke for all of these in im-mortal words to Welsberg: "The young man had a certain num-ber of admirers in this country and the news of his tradic death was naturally a shock, but very and the news of his tragic destin was naturally a shock, but very few of us have. I think, any continuing interest in either the man or the circumstances of his death."

Epstein's book is a suitable gift for some open-minded aunts, but for us demonologists -since we have Weisberg's-of nerely clinical interest as a tudy of the agonizing liberal merely study mind.

Whitewash is the complete and indispensable guide to the GENERAL

-33 sumably, from the rather slim evidence, as a provocateur for the FBI. Oswald's return from the U.S.S.R. with his ex-Kom-..... Polon in Le Nouvel Observe teur, Paris

Warren Report, and an absolu-Warren Report, and an absolu-tion from all guilt feelings about not reading for oneself this wast and tragic aggiomeration. As a non-raucous patriot he wrole it, with all the restraint consistent with decent humanity, not to defend the pitiful Gawaid but because "Owwaid's rights are the country's rights." The only hope for truth he believes is a confor truth, he believes, is a con-gressional investigation. One might ask: If Kennedy's widow might ask: If Kennedy's wldow and brothers accept the Warren Report without a word, what can be expected from a Con-gress in which the brothers are eminent "liberals?"

A more useful question might A more useful question might be posed to themselves by peo-ple who never thought highly of Kennedy on his interrupted record, but cannot dismiss the implications for America of the Dallas "incidens." If the need is so overwhelmingly to conceal how "the young man," was as-assistanted, does this not suggest a magnitude of the direction a re-evaluation of the direction in which he may have been heading?

-Cedric Belfrage



ALTERATIONS FREE ON PREMISES

CALL GR 5-9183

CORNER

STORE

The "only alternative to ap-

Hold the date: Sept. 17

with PETE SEEGER what fabulous Arrow Park (where you'll have plenty time to flah because ther be no standing in line

PICNIC

food ()

for the Guardian's ninth an-nual fall