

James Aronson, editor

January 17, 1967

Mr. Harold Weisberg  
Hyattstown  
Maryland 20734


Dear Mr. Weisberg:

This is in response finally to your letter of Dec. 26. I have also been in communication with Belfrage about it. Let me say first, that I have no quarrel with you and do not seek one. I did not want to differentiate between the vigorous opponents of the Warren Report but obviously, as you noted, our association with Mark Lane was a very special one and I made a special point of it. As to your own personal relations with Lane, that's something about which I know little and in which I would rather not involve myself.

Your sending the book to Belfrage directly but not to the GUARDIAN was, I think, a mistake. Not that Belfrage should not have it but if you wanted the book reviewed quickly, the proper thing would have been to send it here to the paper. I suggested to Belfrage that he might do a review of the second volume also but he seemed to feel that somebody with a fresh approach might rather do it since he did the first one. I did call Jerry Agel and got a copy of the book. I will of course carefully consider the best reviewer.

In general, I have the highest regard for your commitment to what you are doing and to your zeal. I would suggest we let the matter rest there and not continue the discussion of who is doing what and who should get credit for it. The important thing it seems to me is to try to break through to the American people.

Yours sincerely,



Jim Aronson