Dear Hill,
This hasty note in antieipation of lifoson's arrival.
I've boon ge deoply and cospletely oecupiod hy tha Ray case that I've not even had time to ask the printer the atatus of the now hook or when he'll delivor. It was supposed to have bean the ond of last woak in the most rocont scherule but I've heard no word. So, I have this one set of proofs I'll aend by Gibson.

I con aea net fewer than three very wood atories for you in this. However, I' el preferp not to try to soll you, to let you read it and decide for yourgelf. I do hope
 stands I'll not be able to until the sendng Roy avidentiary huariag is ever. There is a proliminary one the 17 th sad the rad one is scheauled ior the $22 n d$. I'm told the julgo set asiele two weaks for the hearing. I take this ass a good sigu, that he sioes intond a full juaieial inquixy, the manaste of the 6 th carcuit in ruling favovably on the patition habeas corpus Jia Lasar araitea basai on ny investigations. (We gay mothing publialy anout this hut Jin, the younc lawyer, and I, the olelezt of the tean, promably oluter than Jia's father, buve actually fono fill lhe real work on the cane from the firat.ito giva you a full agprociation of what this memas, Jin had not takon his bars when he begun the legal woric pad this will we his first rosi trial. Yet we have done that is without precoulent in any asseasfuntion cave and in the courge of it have cataibli shee a precodent that has the Stato so hysterical they have filed a petition before the Suprow Court asking a stay. They lost in 6th circuit oourt of appeals on this.)

Thiss may nom that I'll be in Nemphis fros the nifith tinas of tine 16th or the early nomusig of the 17th through tha eatire hearine. I do not relish this. Last tine my roon was semrehed. hín tian I'II have thingt, as I avoiki Iust tine, the sigas of survelllance by then being this obvious. But thers may bo we choice.

I're under wraps on the subatance of the now woric because it is encompasned by a. protaotive oxder of the court that the State violatea but we de not. However, I can tell you that I have never, evan, condonded with such vile people daing such ratton thiags. Quite txying to be dacent undor those kinds of proveoations. They have been such wiserable auhhwans inventing axd publioixing suoh spurious charges that I've oven refused pictures and interview, as has Jim. They'd find some way of distoring this.

In offect yy recont work has heen zursuant to the aandate of the 6th oirouit. which ruled that the antire esse "reelcs" of a full astalogue of logal, ethionl, moval and proferaional irregulatities and did say it "requires a full judioial inquiry." So, I've conducted the only investigation pursuant to this mendate, with Jin and I moving togother on the "iiscevary" material, where the procedent is involvea. I do expeet to be called upon to testify to enough of what this investigation discloses. 2his is IYI, for the future, so you'Il wierntand if and when there are nows irvaks. Quite a story if it all ootios out.

If any of the documonta I contracted for this now hook appoul, I can eupply the full xoroxes. Our problem was space, coning from the hasio probleas, heney. I can aupply the oxicinala of the traascript itself if you should over ge for facsiailes. I thumk the garts with tha selfedisclosurve on the mature of the intelligemoe upparat with the TOP SZCRES stange would be exciting to the averace reader.

Your om lowaleders of the case should toll you vhen you eowe to the factual destruction of the ontire Report without my notations.

We ganerally stay at the Albert Piek. Don't knew where we'll be this week because they have a convention and aro sold out. Ferhajs after the convontion we'll go there. But
 ypu wili, I ${ }^{\frac{1}{i} \text { appreciate this set of proof hack. Best and thanks, }}$

