Dear Mill,

In today's mail I received a copy of your story in the issue dated 7/10/79, "How Secret Report on JFK's Death Links Oswald & Ruby to Mobsters."

So I as use there will be no interest in the non-fiction I sent you showing how the FM set this up for a diversion and for the CIA to be blemed, as it was blemed, by LBI and later by others.

This appears to be the spann of some intellectual incest so I write to give you a causion because there will be more.

Miles Ering ghosted Fersterwald's book and Braden is swing them and the publisher for Libel. Bring's source was totally undependable.

Ewing was Dan Holden's research assistant and from what Molden told me his sole source on the line of this story.

Esting was on the staff of the committee which is now using the marks as another patsy, that appearing to be selfs for a committee of the Congress.

Marcello testified in executive session before the consistee and under oath deaded all. One of his lawyers has already written several papers and Jack Anderson, as I beared by the socident of an unexpected involvement, and cautioned them about the facts.

Whother or not Duta Murrest was "a member of the Marcello nob" he had nothing to to with the bailing of Gazald, another element of the so-called Moldes/ Rwing "proof."

So I suggest caution since the recent Supreme Court decision and bocause all of this is really untenable.

Moldea's straw man is ridiculous. The question is not whether the mob could have killed JFK.

NEC is fooling around with the same nonsense. Their characters are talking out loud about placing Marcelle's and other mob names back in a novel and raking a movie.

Simoerely,

Harold Wednberg