monthed whet you don't know To telly voolin you don't know

Dear Paul, Wurtsel

7/2/75

You are entitiled to an update on NoDonald and I've been wanting to bring you up to the present situation on Post Nortem because of your interest in helping it.

I had a dual role in the McDonald matter. It turned out to be interesting in ways I'll not take time for now.

I will have a continuing personal interest for reasons that will become clear.

An old friend is Barney Rosset's lawyer, the fellow who took the I am Curious Yellow case to the Supreme Court. He knows of my JFK work. It is he who called to ask if I'd read the so-called "outline" for Rosset. I agreed. Thereafter someone at Grove Press called for confirmation and sent me a copy. The first two paragraphs told me the book is a fraud.

So, for the lawyer friend I had one function and for Grove another. I was frank with both, separately. I tole the lawyer exactly what the score is, detail after detail, and I have the copy m rked in even greater detail. It is totally impossible, except that I do not know who heDonald knew. However, even his story about how he got into the matter is spurious. And his account of the assassination, allegedly from the assassin, and of the second planned assassination, that of Oswald, are actually physically impossible.

My obligation with the lawyer was to tell him the truth. This extended to those who could possibly sue. Identification of some of the characters is quite possible. One is very much alive. Another has heirs. Both are libelled. If I were to represent either, a matter in which I would take no initiative or have any interest but I put it this way for explanation, it would be child's play to identify and lay a basis for suit.

For all practical purposes this is another spook "black book," the kind of overt fake that helps them and they often sponsor for their own kind of cerverse P.R. It is therefore a bad book in this sense.

I do not know and I also have not been told what decision there has been. I was present for the end of the contract negotiations. The/option time has expired or is about to. Probably hasn't yet but will by the time you get thin.

I think the attractiveness is in the formula. Well, it is not an exclusive one so as a face it is also worktless. Other similar phonics are now going around.

On the book itself the defects are multitudinous. In my second role I pointed out at the meeting what the book needs and what could be expected in promotions, especially in interviews and talk shows. These comments, of course, were helpful to McDonald and his people because they were correct and to the point. There will be enormous trouble with the book if it is printed even if these defects are corrected.

However, this, the public aspect of my work, was quite pleaning to fed and his. The private part I know nothing about. While I was with them the lawyer made no recommendation to Reaset. If he did not by the and of last week he woult be able to for a while because he left for a European vacation for a fortnight.

John Starr began very antagonistic and clearly frightened. The first part of the morning-long meeting was on the details of the contract. I was then silent and merely watched. I know John and these are unexaggerated descriptaions. When we got into the book itself I could not have been more honested or forthright in what I said, ranging from what could be expected during promotional work and what it could do to the book and Moll to its literary defects and lack of credibility and what had to be done to give it credibility. Here my responsibilities were to be importial, to have no personal attitude to an anti-social fake but to fill the role of an expert consultant.

Starr, becomeld and their various lawyers warmed up to it and wound up appreciating what I d said and done enough to be warm and thank me and ask for the help I effered. This included a fat file on the central if unmaned character other than the assessin. I told them how they could get it from an associate, enstewald, and that if he did not give it to them to let me know. However, this also gives you an idea of how much work becomeld has actually done if he hasn't weitten to the rehives to get this stufff, which he can for a letter and a check.

After the meeting broke up and after we lumbhed separately, as I walked back to

my friend's office I told him another aspect, that if this fake appears and McDonald gets air time I'll have a field day with fariness-loctrine time because the book is so transparent a fake pretending to be factual. I did not feel it was appropriate for me in the sort of public role to go into this but it is an understatement. The faking is really quite smateurish. McD has an awareness of this. There may have been some kind of incident in which he spoke to somebody but he never got or accepted what he presents as a real confession from a real person and believed it. I tell you this because you have some kind of relationship with him and you should know.

There is no doubt in my mind that McDonald has no innocence in this faking. I tall you this because I have the impression you have or think you have some

kind of TV interest in his story.

In the course of the morning I came to learn more about McDonald and the characters in his book than I think he realizes. Bad vites. Nothing good or every commercially worthwhile about any of it. Not even as a fake. Or a novel.

But these are not my concerns because I don't think anyone will go for that stuff except as a concercial fake or novel, which MoD says he has no interest in.

In the course of the morning I became convinced that McD has or had some kind of CIA history. Not just the reference to military intelligence in his bio but CIA. If you know anything about this I'd be interested for the future.

As a final word on this, it is apparent that MoD hasn't even read (or if he has, has not understood) the Warren Report. To knows virtually nothing about the actualities of the JFK assassination and is contempusous of the astablished fact.

Hes motive I can only guess. It can range from money to serving other interests.

There have been potential developments on Post Horton I report in the event they can be helpful with your efforts to help.

A magazine that has been offered beyon Chastein's work on the King assassination and did not like and would not go for it asked me about my own. I arranged to meet with them after the MoDonala meeting. We were together until 2 a.m., the editor and

managing editor and their writer on this subject.

Their opinion of Mayne's work is that it could not fight its way out of a paper bag. Mayne is my friend and I told them this and that I would not write anything in competition with him. I also told them that because of my relationship with May I wak would not now write anything new on that subject. However, I told them there was much still knew and unknown in Frame-Up, specified some I thought could make a good story, and offered it to them. The rights have reverted to me. (As of today I wee all the rights to all my work.) If they have reached a decision the haven't told me and I haven't asked. If also told them of other possibilities where I also would not write. If they go for there I'll be hearing from them. (Sameone from CES was here all day yesterday on my May work, so your friends out there need not feel it is so anti-Establishmentarian. Another from CES, a producer working on the JFK case, wanted to meet with me at a time that conflicted with the McD assignment so I asked him to call me later. That also is not as visualized out there but I don't know what you or I can do about the attitude.

During this long meeting we went into Post Hortem. I offered them first serial rights for a 5,000 print and other rights for more. They went for the book but they do not decide on other than editorial matters. They are to take the project up with management/ownership and be in touch. If they got a favor able decision it will be subject to examination of the material and the editor can t be here until as I

recall two more weeks. However, they were clearly excited.

There are one of the sensational papers was in touch for two other reasons and sent a staffer here to work on them and examine into PM. That also lasted into the wee hours. The last excited about the book. Again the question is a policy decision. I made the same offers. This time the material was seen. I heard from him yesterday for more details on the content for a further report so as of now there has been no negative. The question there seems to be costs can they get enough out of serial use to justify the cost. (They are strange people. Very successful but with all the success they have never syndicated abroad, where with this they could easily recover more than 100% of their cost.)

When the CND producer comes I'll make the same proposal to him if by then I have no deal. 't will save them a fortune but like all bureaucracies the problem will be to get someone in authority to realize it. They have already wasted more money than this would cost and have nothing but useless crap for it. However, they often find the kinds of values they seek in crap, so I don't really know.

Today I am to have two visitors (one of the reasons I'll not be able to read and correct this). The first is the representative of a printer and the second two people from the Church consittee.

Because the prespects for this work are no so good I've had to toy with the idea of going further into debt and I've been seeking other estimates. I can get the job done much cheaper out of Washington. Because of my listings in the standard indices I have also had another solicitation where with a new process I amy sare I can get a cheaper price. The question is of quality. And, of course, cost. If the job is not a quality job I won't be abat to use it and still sell this kind of book. Time and promised samples will fall. A \$10,000 figure now seems not impossible, subject to learning what the deal really is. It does require a cheaper paper but I'm assured verbally it is of good quality.

With the Congressional committees I've taken no initiatives. I've responded to invitations. I've had no hopes for the House but did respond to two approaches. The situation there is still not politically promising. There are many I could have approached and haven t.

Basically, my feeling has been that I am well enough known for those with sincere interests to seek me out. This is no ego. It is my way of ovaluating serilumness. I used to work on the Hill and I know how it works.

I have an old friend who is a Mamber of the Church committee. I have stayed away from him on purpose, knowing him and his political problems. I knew that if and when he felt his obligations or his mituation required him to be in touch with me I'd hear.

It happened last week. I was asked to go in before friday. The Member had official duties abroad for two weeks and he left riday. He wanted to be with me for part of the meeting. I walked to a committee meeting with him and spent the rest of the morning with his staff member. We then had an arrangement whereby the staffer would come here the end of this week and the Member after he returned from abroad.

The staff is this excited: the men is coming today and bringing an associate with him.

Understand that neither the committee nor its staff are a manelith. Most of them on this subject have been seeing nuts. (I've been consulted on weeding some of thisout.)

As of now there is the possibility that that they want of Post Mortom may appear as a sort of equivalent of the Baker Report in the Watergete committee's work. There is a deal on confidentiality until the minute of the filing if this eventuates. This in itself can be very promising. If it means some becomes public domain.

This committee is not going to investigate the JFK assessination. 't will, if it finds just cause, recommend that there be a special committee or one of the standing ones assigned that job. If it happene, this can be the reason, and that should give enormous literary value to the work and the earlier works on which it would also draw.

While there was no stipulation of confidentiality on this I would rather regard it this way except for the degree to which you might want to communicate the possibities to those to whom you talk on this. Personally, I am without doubt that official use would increase the prospects enormously and that without it the prospects are very good because of the nature of the content, which is unique, and the changed attitude to the subject in general.

If the time comes for this official use and I've not been able to print the book I'll still feel impelled to give the committee, through this Member, whatever he wants.

There has been a development which will probably inhibit my ability to go further into debt on this book. The small farm I own is about to be taken by the county in

3

which it is. I have been trying to sell it for years and wondered why when in the past I'd turned down large sums for it suddenly there could be no deal. It turns out that the bureaucrats decided it is what it is not, wetlands, and had decided to take it for this pseudo-ecological use.

This is at a cloverleaf on a major superhighway, so on that basis alone the decision is insane because of the potential industrial and commercial uses that

could give employment and tax revenue.

I have been dealing with these people for a half year. They never told me anything and I learned its of their plans and act only by accident. They even resoned it without letting me know. There was to have been a county council meeting to decide on whether to proceed with the plans of which it is part and I was to have been told and they held it without telling me. There was to have been a tex remission pending decision and that also there was not. There was a soc-alled hearing leat night. Only a single subordinate of the county executive was there. To single elected official. However, I went there and did make a record. I do not know what it can mean except in terms of future litigation, for which I did make a record. But I can t hire a lawyer, so that situation is bleak.

I'll not know anything until there is an appraisal. The prospects there are negligible because of the rezoning and the realities it means. They have taken a small farm and converted into a single-residence zoning, which amounts to confiscation with all the farm buildings to be demolished at great cost before it can be used for residential purposes.

I tell you all of this because it really means I probably will have to decide against extending my debt. That farm was, aside from the value of my literary rights, my one asset. Officials seems to have negated that asset for all practical purposes. Itll be fighting it as best I can and this is what I was doing last night.

Not knowing the law and not being able to research it and still do enything else. I'll probably have to attempt to fight this as my own lawyer and on simple Constitutional grounds.

This may sound bleak and on the property it is, but the overall situation isnot except in terms of unthinking stilltudes toward it. Even the LCN fear is irrational because the major thrust of the work is against the FBI. It is an unequalled expose of the FBI.

If the Church committee decides to do more than listen to the nuts they'll not do more than I've already done for them. This alone ought give enormous literary value to the work. And 60 other and not completed work that could be completed rapidly if I were relieved of the day-to-day chores.

In my suit against the FBI for the suppressed scientific evidence they have gone crary in their effort to get the case dismissed on the basis of partial compliance, which would save them and gut the new FOIA law. The attitudes you have encountered may well keep those with real interests in the law detached. However, I am taking one hell of a record in court papers. I have just prepred for filing the third of a series of powerful affidavits that charge serious offenses against the government, including perjury. I have made a record that under the federal rules precludes dismissal but there in the judge, a pro-FBI hack, to contend with.

To appear to be in compliance they have just dusped some 400 pages of focusents and pictures until now secret on me. They hand-delivered them to my lawyer after the end of the working day. They should include a good story, which is not my major interest. The story could be "Secret FBI Tests Perve Oswald Innocent." From my lawyer's phoned description of his casual examination I know what they have given me. It is one part of these tests I specified I did not want (reason, cost, but they can't now charge for this!). Crazy? They have great power but they are also scared. I have already forced the early retorement of the agent mostly involved in this, Frazier. And their only survey to my first and proven charge of perjury is to say to the court that I know more about the subject than anyons in the FBI. Look for this on a book cover, in facsimile! Sorry for the haste. I'm trying to inform so you can help better. "est,