

1/13/72

Dear Bill,

Because of your concern about possible libel, I have in haste roughed out a suggested lead that I think may convince you it is not at all likely.

If this were a final, I'd give you another choice, opening with actual or political assassination, for the second now is almost certain. When this kind of obscenity is pulled in his name about his brother, Teddy, in time, will not be able to survive it.

For the rest, the taking apart of what Lattimer said, which is so incredible that I have just learned of a fight inside CBS, where two reporters complained you don't have to know anything to know Lattimer was lying. I have Lattimer's earlier writing. It proves he lied deliberately.

When I realized what Graham was into, I taped that conversation, so there need be no doubt and no fear of libel. In strictest confidence, he also was offered access to this autopsy film (which may account for the lack of a Times second-day story). The legal fig-leaf is for him to have a pathologist and accompany his pathologist. I have made the same demand in writing, by the way, and to shortcircuit their efforts at delay, invoked their rejection of my 11/66 request in an official appeal. I have accompanied this with the promise of a personal damage suit against those who deny me equal access, the requirement under the law, alleging that in violating the law they lose the protection of government service. And I have complained to the judge in one of my suits of both fraud and perjury by the Archivist. The affidavit he filed in that one of my suits was overtly perjurious before this, as I had claimed without denial at that time. However, giving Lattimer what he swore he was prevented from letting anyone have even see - leaves no doubt on the perjury score. Nothing could be more material that this was in that suit, which was for pictures of that identical evidence, the clothing.

Too bad Lattimer didn't stick to his single specialty, urine, rather than anointing the family of a President and all of history with it.

Sincerely,

Was Tedd Kennedy set up for assassination by trusted advisers?

Yes, without doubt!

Nothing else makes sense of the alleged "release" of the JFK autopsy pictures and X-rays in open defiance of the family-government contract and in violation of federal law. This sensational-treated "release" that was just the opposite was staged-managed with such contempt for truth and fact that it would disgrace Madison Avenue's least professional "flacks".

I know because I was personally involved in it, ~~misused as a means of~~ ~~eliminated~~ the most incredible of the pap and lies then leaked exclusively to the New York Times.

I do not for a minute think that the eminent former Assistant Attorney General of the United States, Burke Marshall, really wants Teddy kill. He just made it more tempting to those who <sup>hate and fear Teddy</sup> who were appointed ~~to those who really killed JFK. Marshall, ~~was appointed to one of the highest Justice Department posts under Bobby Kennedy's Attorney Generalship, is the legal representative of the executors of the JFK estate. ~~XXXX~~ He is now on the faculty of Yale University Law School.~~~~

But it should be remembered that when Bobby was campaigning for the Presidential nomination in California in 1968, he lost his cool at San Fernando State college and uttered what became his famous last words on a the subject, to get the heckling students off his back. He said he had seen everything in the Archives and it all supported the Warren Report. <sup>on JFK's assassination</sup>

(The truth is that neither Bobby nor any other man could have seen all the words in <sup>three</sup> 300 cubic feet of files, and that Bobby had not even read the Warren Report, finding it, as he once admitted, too painful to consider.)

Within a matter of days, Bobby was slain.

William Manchester, who made a million out of lies, libels and the cheapest gossip in his fictional account of the JFK assassination, reached a low even for him in suggesting in <sup>The</sup> "Death of <sup>a</sup> President" that those responsible for the plans for that last JFK trip actually set him up to be killed, a gross untruth.

So there is at least reason to suspect that somebody may have evil intent toward the



last living Kennedy brother. Despite his frequent denials, Teddy is widely regarded as a likely candidate. He rates high in all polls.

And no Kennedy ~~President~~ <sup>about</sup> can survive a presidency in which a single unasked or unanswered question of John's assassination remains. Any Kennedy president is the greatest single hazard to those who did that job.

Now, when it is considered that there was <sup>current</sup> no clamor for the release of this suppressed evidence, ~~substantive~~ and no legal need to release it now or ever - and aside from my request for access six years ago, only four had asked to see it - it must also be asked

- a) why Marshall did make it available? WW!
- b) then to the only applicant totally and completely disqualified and precluded by the specific provisions of the contract;
- c) leaked in advance to Fred Graham, of The New York Times, to whom other promises were made, besides this "scoop" - promises also violative of both law and contract;
- d) and did all this in Teddy's name

And at the precise moment Teddy's name was entered in the Florida primary.

What else could this incredible timing do but jeopardize Teddy in the present?

And ruin his name in the future because without exception everything said about the details of his most famous brother's assassination was false <sup>Teddy's</sup> and said in ~~his~~ name, with <sup>Teddy's</sup> proxy authority?