NT TED STATES GOVERN 1 - Belmont' 1 - 11ohr // 3-27-64 DATE: W. C. Sullivan 10 1 - Conrad Terel 1 - Deloach Tele, here : V. A. 1 - Rosen Branigan Naires _ FROM 1 - Sullivan 1 - Malley SUBJECT: LEE HARVEY COMALD 1 - Branigan INTELLL SECURITY - RUSSIA - CUBA 1 - Lenihan Re Chorandum Mr. Rosen to Mr. Belmont dated March 26, 1964, titled "The President's Commission: Assassination of the President" porting that a letter had been furnished to the Bureau by Mr. Rankin questing the Bureau submit answers to various questions submitted by e Commission. The Commission feels that these questions might be raised) : a later date and desired these answers for the record. The Donestic Intelligence Division has carefully reviewed . Rankin's letter and noted that the Commission have asked to be mished the answers to 30 specific questions dealing with this Bureau's andling of the Lee Marvey Oswald investigation. Set forth below is a oncise breakdown of the specific areas of interest to the Commission in

to the U. S. June 13, 1962. The Commission notes that in our report on the assessination of President Kennedy, we indicated FBI investigation of Oswald had been instituted on May 31, 1962, sbuthat For would be notified of Oswald's re-entry by Immigration lauthorities. Commission desires to know nature of our investigation prior to May 31, 1962, inassuch as Bureau had received information from State Department records that Oswald planned W; to return to U. S. much earlier than May 31, 1962. (2) FEI Handling of Oswald Case Inneciately Following Cswald's Resurn From Russia. Commission Loted Oswald returned No U. S. June 13, 1002, but was not interviewed by FBI until

(1) FBI Handling of the Oswald Case Prior to Cswald's Return

his particular inquiry:

June 26, 1962. Commission desires to know why FBI did not l'interview Oswald immediately upon his arrival in U. S. June 13, · 1962.

10 (3) FBI inalyses of Csuald Following Our Interviews With Him cr. die 20, 1002, fild dugust 14, 1002 (correct date of interview duguet 10, 1002). Con dission also desires to be interview duguet 10, 1002). Con dission also desires to be interview duguet 10, 1002). regarding Oswald's visit to the Soviet Enbassy, Mexico City, Mexico. Commission asked what was the FBI evaluation of Oswala iQ.

the gal a

7. 19**6** (1987)

thin view of this CIA report. (? / 1/ 1/ NOT BLCORDED 105-S2555 199 APR 17 1984 REL:pcb

A 14 1999

emorandum to Er. Sullivan e: LEE HARVEY CJJALD 05-S2555

-

\$

(4) FBI Techniques in Covering Cswald's Activities in U. S. Commission particularly desires to know how we learned of OSwald's return to Fort Worth, Texas, after Grwald returned to U. S. on June 13, 1962. Commission desires to know whether Cswald was placed under surveillance upon his arrival in New York and desires to know how we developed certain information in this investigation and in what way. Commission also desires to know why the FBI Identification Division carries Cswald's file as 327925D while main case file on Oswald is 105-S2555.

(5) FBI Reporting Procedures in Handling Oswald Investination. Commission Lakes reference to numerous reports which have been submitted to the Commission and notes that certain reports duplicate information carried in other reports but at same time omit certain data that is carried in another report submitted for the same period involved. Commission noted the credit check in Fort Worth on 2-27-61 and 2-7-63 re Oswald had not been reported in our reports for the period involved.

Commission also requested to be advised whether SA Hosty stated to anyone on November 22, 1003, that Cowald had contacted two known subversive agents about 15 days before the assassination that the entire information was Top Secret. The Commission stated that if Hosty made such statement, to what did SA Hosty refer. Commission again raised question as to why entry in Cowald's address book involving SA Hosty was not set out in the December 23, 1963, Callas report dealing in part with Oswald's address book. Our answer to this particular inquiry has been furnished to the Commission previously by separate communication and apparently has not been

Anna - Land And And Prior vidua to Mr. Sullivan Ro: END HARVEY OSUALD 105-S2555 SEC ACTION: Each of 30 individual questions posed by the Commission regarding FDI handling of the Osvald case is being very carefully analyzed. In some instances it will be necessary to contact the New Orleans and Dallas Offices to get the answers from the Agent In the field who handled the investigation involved in a specific juestion. This matter is being given expeditious attention in order that a prompt reply can be furnished the Commission regarding its inquiries in this particular matter. 110 SECULI 1