Mr. Herb Brubaker NBC News 4001 Nebraska Ave., NW Washington, D.C. 20016 Dear Herb.

A one-man publisher can stay pretty busy even is he does not do the other things I try. Thus my delay in thanking you for your letter of the 28th.

While I deal with complex materials and the subject itself is by no means simple, I have never taken anything to NBC that did not lend itself to a" simple, understandable lead." With the latest book, the CIA part could not be easier to understand, simpler to say, or more relevant. It was newsworthy as it could be with the story that broke a month to the day after pub date and was hardly a secret before the Times changes its policy.

If I gave you the impression that NBC has a monopoly on making policy decisions and pretending they are news judgements, I apologize. I gave ABC the only xerox I had, before printing. They sat on it for two months and when they finally rejected it the reason given would diagrace the intelligence of a high-school freshman. When you did not come back to me I wrote CBS, a guy in New York who went for my first books a year before I printed it. No response yet. Not even "Not"

aP never mentioned any of the earlier books and I took copies there. UPI didn't even pick up the copy they said they wanted and would. The only way the Post managed to handle the story is when I saw a simply way of by-passing the national desk legitimately. The midnight shift at AP saw a story, people not familiar with policy decisions. Only then did UPI get interested and get its copy. And the farthur from Washington the heavier the play. However, it meant almost nothing to me and the book because no book stores had it. (I heard yesterday from a man who had been trying to get a copy for two months. He finally heard of an address I left more than seven years ago and wrote me.) Yet it did accomplish one of the purposes of the book, to inform people. Without this can any form of representative society function? And what other purpose can the media have?

More than a year ago, after your people sat on Hunt's own voice proclaiming him a would-be assassin (THAT was not a TV news story?), I approached Elfin at Newsweek. He did not want me to talk freely on the ground that they were doing their own investigating and he wanted no conflict. So, he asked for a few headlines. I gave him some that have not yet been written and a fair number than since have. Week after week of scoops. No interest. It was all Watergate and CIA. Too many important stories were never written and just about none were when they could and should have been. So many Watergate stories remain secrets! (I had tok lay the draft of my book aside in the conclusions for work on the Ray hearing and I have not been able to complete that chapter and read and edit the whole thing. The book holds much that should figure in coming investigations.)

You were notifi the only one I wrote asking that the letter go upward through channels. God knows what will happen to the country is the major media does not get over its hanging and self-cast concept of being the foruth arm of government.

Let me address this question of simplicity and comprehensibility in headline form so you can see that had anyone ever discussed this with me and not seen the possibilities they were there:

Nixon Staff Has Would-Be Assassin; Alleged King Killer "Framed," Federal involvement; CIA, FBI All Lie -It's Right and Proper: Allen Dulles; Entire Covernment Feared J. Edgar Hoover: Chief Justice Warren; Warren Commissioner Russell Roubted Its Conclusions; TOP SECRET" Transcipt Claims "Terrible Characters" in FBI, CIA - Of "Limited Intelligence."

These are simple enough, comprehensible enough, and I think are newsworthy.

In 1966 I had the only book on a major subject. NBC was not alone in refusing to mention it, as news or otherwise. When friends spoke to friends on Today, I was given the message that I'd be acceptable on other subjects on which I'm an expert. I declined, not looking for personal publicity.

which had aired Bill livie in frightful hoosy. They refused to air me when you sent me there and made no response to my inquiry about fiarness, with or without doctrine. The difference is that Huie was promoting book magazine and the official mythology and I destroyed the fabrication and Huie both beyond repair. My book on the King assassination was, by traditional standards, newsworthy. Its and my record since also are. But not net and no major paper have ever mentioned either. For that matter we have established a new legal principle in this case and that is entirely unreported although the Supreme Court is now considering whether to hear a State appeal from it.

I will not hear from your people because they are embarrassed at being less than honest, less than professional and in knowing, as all good reporters do, that they fail to meet reportorial obligations. In the end this always makes a villain of me. It is like the raped woman being charged as an attractive nuisance.

Thanks for trying. Nothing personal.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg