

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION
ROUTING SLIP

TO	CO	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9	R10
NAME/TITLE						CORRESPONDENCE SYMBOL					
1.	NNFL										
2.											
3.											
4.											
5.											

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ALLOTMENT SYMBOL | <input type="checkbox"/> HANDLE DIRECT | <input type="checkbox"/> READ AND DESTROY |
| <input type="checkbox"/> APPROVAL | <input type="checkbox"/> IMMEDIATE ACTION | <input type="checkbox"/> RECOMMENDATION |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AS REQUESTED | <input type="checkbox"/> INITIALS | <input type="checkbox"/> SEE ME |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CONCURRENCE | <input type="checkbox"/> NECESSARY ACTION | <input type="checkbox"/> SIGNATURE |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTION | <input type="checkbox"/> NOTE AND RETURN | <input type="checkbox"/> YOUR COMMENT |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FILING | <input type="checkbox"/> PER OUR CONVERSATION | <input type="checkbox"/> YOUR INFORMATION |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FULL REPORT | <input type="checkbox"/> PER TELEPHONE CONVERSATION | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ANSWER OR ACKNOWLEDGE ON OR BEFORE _____ | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PREPARE REPLY FOR THE SIGNATURE OF _____ | | |

REMARKS

I talked to Mr. Harjindal about this. He said he thought that since we are withholding the papers, we might as well give all possible reasons for withholding, although one of them might be withdrawn on consideration of an appeal. He thought this was preferable to producing a reason later on consideration of an appeal. As Mr. Harjindal says, the inter-agency memorandums may appear in evidence (over)

FROM	CO	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9	R10
NAME/TITLE						CORR. SYMBOL			BUILDING, ROOM, ETC.		
M. A. Johnson						TELEPHONE			DATE		
									3/5/73		

when considered separately. Because of the involvement and possible annoyance of the Kennedy family and the Department of Justice if Weisberg approaches them for additional related material, I think it best to withhold our material, which is attached, in order to get a policy decision from the Department through its Freedom of Information Committee as to whether this material should be released. This can be done if Weisberg appeals the denial.

I have revised the draft to include Mr. Harbin's suggested revisions.

mmg