

**GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION
ROUTING SLIP**

TO	CO	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9	R10
NAME/TITLE						CORRESPONDENCE SYMBOL					
1.	#										
2.	NA										
3.	ND					Jen					
4.	NN										
5.											

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ALLOTMENT SYMBOL | <input type="checkbox"/> HANDLE DIRECT | <input type="checkbox"/> READ AND DESTROY |
| <input type="checkbox"/> APPROVAL | <input type="checkbox"/> IMMEDIATE ACTION | <input type="checkbox"/> RECOMMENDATION |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AS REQUESTED | <input type="checkbox"/> INITIALS | <input type="checkbox"/> SEE ME |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CONCURRENCE | <input type="checkbox"/> NECESSARY ACTION | <input type="checkbox"/> SIGNATURE |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTION | <input type="checkbox"/> NOTE AND RETURN | <input type="checkbox"/> YOUR COMMENT |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FILING | <input type="checkbox"/> PER OUR CONVERSATION | <input type="checkbox"/> YOUR INFORMATION |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FULL REPORT | <input type="checkbox"/> PER TELEPHONE CONVERSATION | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- ANSWER OR ACKNOWLEDGE ON OR BEFORE _____
- PREPARE REPLY FOR THE SIGNATURE OF _____

REMARKS

I talked w. Fisher & Garfin (who drafted the 11/4/72 opinion). They agree that it is a moot question whether we are obliged to put these regs in the Federal Register. But they feel that it would be useful in dealing with Mr. Weisberg and others. I feel that the regs should be carefully reviewed before sending them to the Federal Register.

Jen *Agreed*

FROM	CO	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9	R10
NAME/TITLE						CORR. SYMBOL		BUILDING, ROOM, ETC.			
Jen						ND					
						TELEPHONE		DATE			
								2/9/72			

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION



DATE: January 14, 1972

Office of General Counsel
Washington, D.C. 20405

REPLY TO
ATTN OF: Acting Assistant General Counsel - LR

SUBJECT: Regulations for Reference Service on Warren Commission Items
of Evidence

Archivist of the United States - N

Pursuant to your request of January 12, we have reviewed your proposed revision of the above regulations for legal sufficiency.

Our review of the revision has uncovered no substantive legal problems, and we concur with the changes as written. However, from a procedural standpoint, these regulations are legally required to be published in the Federal Register (5 U.S.C. 552(a)(1)(D) and (E)).

DAVID C. FISHER, JR.
Acting Assistant General Counsel