GENERAL SERVICES ROUTIN	ADMINISTRATION	١.
TO CO R1 R2 R3 R4	R5 R6 R7	R8 R9 R10
NAME/TITLE	CORRESPO	NDENCE SYMBOL
1.		
2.		
- Soughand by day on		
NNFL.	,	of last
4.		(a)
5.		
MALLOTMENT SYMBOL HANDLE DIREC		READ AND DESTROY
ALLOTMENT SYMBOL HANDLE DIRECT	200	RECOMMENDATION
AS REQUESTED INITIALS	**	SEE ME
CONCURRENCE NECESSARY AG	CTION	S I GNATURE
CORRECTION NOTE AND RE		YOUR COMMENT
FILING PER OUR CON	VERSATION NE CONVERSATION	YOUR INFORMATION
FULL REPORT PER TELEPHON	NE CONVERSATION	-
EDGE ON OR BEFORE		
PREPARE REPLY FOR THE SIGNATURE OF		
REMARKS	\$8	
٠	10	2/1/1
	110	:41
DATE PECSION		
DAIL	- N.D.	19.37
DATE	nnth	127-1
	111190-	1
EEC.	Jenn	
AS.		
AS		
FROM CO R1 R2 R3 R4	R5 R6 R7	R8 R9 R10
NAME/TITLE	CORR. SYMBOL	BUILDING, ROOM, ETC.
1/	TELEPHONE	DATE
///		11/16

★ U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1969 O - 395-523 (4124)

GSA JUN 67 14



Lillian & Harold Weisberg

og d'Or Press Route 8, Frederick, MD. 21701

Code 301 / 473-8186

Dr. James B. Rhoads, Archivist The National Archives. Washington, D.C. 20408

Dear Dr. Rhoads,

Your letter of July 21 has just reached me. In it you say with surprising casualness that "We have found that at some time in the past the knot in President Kennedy's necktie was untied." No more.

No sorrow, nox regrets, no apologies, not even the slighest expression of concern.

And what is most significant, no statement that you have made even the most perfunctory investigation or what it discloses. The most reasonable interpretation of your lack of concern is that you have not made and do not plan any investigation.

I learned of the fact, not your attitude, when I appeared at the Archives yesterday to see what you had promised me in writing and in court only to find that it no longer emists.

There is no complaint about the quality of the photographs taken. They are up to the usually high professional standards of your photographers. Nor of your clerk, who wan, as usual, polite and considerate.

By now you should have come to understand me and, I would hope, the seriousness of my intent and my work, despite the misrepresentation of it on your behalf in court records. So, with all the seriousness I can, I ask you if you intend to conduct an investigation and, if you do, when I can expect to know its results. I look forward to hearing from you about this in something less than your customery 60 days plus.

As a consequence of this, shall I call it an unfortunate accident? some of the pictures taken are meaningless. In one case, if the picture was taken, it is not clearly identified and seems to be other than what I asked for. I have described what I would like to see to your staff. If you will please let me know when I may expect to be able to make that examination, I would appreciate it. If there is any question, as I think there should not be from my correspondence, please phone me yourself and be satisfied. You should realize that the requests I made are not harrassing, not excessive, and are the mimimum for any such study as I have undertaken. And when you consider, as I think you should, what the anormous cost to me of your forcing me to go to court on this was -and how it is not within your capability to make any kind of redress for it -and then compare this with the modest nature or my request, you should, if at this very late date, get some undertsanding that my surposes are only those as transparent as I represent them to be, serious scholarship that you have now permitted to become impossible.

I await your explanations with as much anxiety as you should be able to anticipate.

Sincerely.

Harold Weisberg trecuels