

1st, 3rd Prizes *National Poultry Exposition

LILLIAN WEISBERG

HAROLD WEISBERG National Barbecue King

HYATTSTOWN, MARYLAND

INDIAN GAME HENS . PHEASANT CHICKENS . WATER FOWL

January 2, 1967

Dr. Robert H. Bahmer Archivist of the United States Washington, D. C.

Dear Dr. Bahmer:

Previously you have denied me access to the typescripts of testimony before the President's Commission on the ground they are classified and it is beyond your authority to remove the classification.

There are two items I have wanted to examine whore I believe the situation surrounding each is now altered and where I believe the information I seek can be provided without violation of any reasonable cause for denial of access.

One of these has to do with interrogation of Mrs. Helen Markham by Wesley J. Liebeler (7H499-506). Toward the end of this deposition, the words "pointing to telegram" appear in brackets in the printed transcript. These cannot have been spoken during the deposition, but they . may have been added before the transcript was originally typed. While I would very much like a Xeroxed copy of this page of the stenographic transcript, I will be satisfied if you can have this typescript exemined and inform me whether or not there is any addition to it.

The second has to do with Mrs. Kennedy's descriptions of her husband's wounds and any pertinent tastimony. It is clear from the public press that this is the kind of information that was not denied Willism Manchester. I am therefore renewing my request for access to this information. While I would much prefer to examine the exact language, subject, as I have earlier offered, to any reservations and restrictions the government may impose, there is an alternative that suggests itself. The reason given for this withholding of testimons, which I consider to be suppression, is alleged good taste. Can one of your staff, an an alternative, paraphrase it, without altering its meaning but expunging anything that might affront good taste? Mr. Manchester was granted umusual privileges, such as attendance at the secret hearings in which the now-suppressed testimony was given. He is also a defender of the conclusions of the government's Report. I believe this and other factors should imped the government to want to at least seem to provide chose who disagree with its Report access to information to which Mr. Manchester had cocess.

Sincorely yours,