

Dear Paul (JL),

6/29/75

I am excessively weary, physically and emotionally, from a very taxing fortnight devoted to countering pending black books and other disinformation projects. Some nights I got as little as two hours' sleep. Not until night before last and last a (for me) decent one. So, I may be unclear. If I am, please ask.

Jim is due here ~~xxx~~ in a couple of hours for us to go over a new suit affidavit. Because of the combination I'm assaulting the stacked accumulation.

By the way, if you hear details of any new sensations, as by now you should have, I do hope you will accept my judgement and let me know promptly and in detail. They spell serious trouble (a la <sup>B</sup>alin/Rockefeller). If you have no time to write, please cassette. I now have a machine with sufficient volume for me to listen while I drive. I can then let Jim have the tape. We have this practise now.

April 26, 1984, I had hopes of a student's interest in doing some Archives work. Expecting this I slid that letter of mine to you aside with yours of 4/3, 5/6 (to JL), 3/23 and your Odio transcript notes (which I'm now filing under Odio for future ref.)

On these there is possible substance not readily apparent in addition to the few I noted 3/26. Even the skin tones of the two men (eliminating Seymour and consistent with Howard at least, possibly ~~W~~hardt or if confused Hall).

In the future it will take much work and time for me to recall the details of all the relevant work I've done on this but it is quite extensive and some taped. Until then I encourage you never to assume innocence with Idebaler's corrections. He knew what he was up to. Without doubt some of these kinds of changes can be innocent. But assuming all are with incomplete knowledge can be self-deception. I can see potential in some of these seemingly innocent, more than I noted then.

On the other letters and any relevant ones you can think of, if you have time a review, including any special interests you may have, can be helpful. I am now in contact with other students, some in Washington and near it. While they lack detailed knowledge or any experience, they are long on sincerity. One can walk to the Archives. Others have cars and legitimate school interests to allege. So, with the copping out of the one I had hopes for last year, this summer is not without prospect.

If you have any further thoughts, suggestions or recommendations, I'd appreciate them with not fewer than three carbons plus one you might want for Jim.

I can then try to get this going again.

Instead of filing the other enumerated communications where I ordinarily would I am now setting up a special projects file. There really is a possibility of student participation and I want to be prepared for getting them to do work for us. These students are in Washington colleges and at U.Md. and U.Va. in this area only. They cover the full political range and include even the son of one of the most conservative Senators. I have established a rapport with them and with liberals. They have a common and sincere interest. Other students with whom I have this kind of contact now cover the east coast if there are projects you want at other colleges, from Catholic to Ivy League, where the libraries may be of value.

Some, however, are not familiar with the basic literature. So one question is do you think that these letters contain all they need to know. If they are to succeed and in full fairness to them they need to be prepared as fully as necessary.

There was no immediate need to send you the enclosed copy of my 6/11 to Lifton. He had not responded and I expect no response. It is working out exactly as I figured in this case, with one of the problems what this correspondence goes into. That has helped the government and it may yet in court, where we now are due again 7/7, if Jim hasn't told you. Did he tell you we seem to have forced Frasier's retirement? We have forced the DJ into the position where it has had to certify to the court that I know more about this than anyone in the FBI, their only possible means of avoiding the perjury charge, which is not even denied...Unwelcome as you have found it, I repeat the only possible way of fighting these kinds of cases is politically backed up with solid fact. This is what we are doing, with Jim's formulation and editing of my drafts. On the basis of law and fact we are in good shape but the realities lies elsewhere and the going is and will be tough and time-consuming, with any intrusion, even if well-intended, holding the possibility of disaster. Hastily,