

Paul, re your notes on #34, #36: While I have not read these documents, I would suggest that you reconsider the suggestion that direct Stern contact with CIA "prob. not significant." I suggest that because it is direct it is more likely to be and that part of it will not be on paper if it is significant. Carry this into 36, "but was the CIA supposed to be following up stuff like this?" assassination books. Same direct contacts, same people, and suppose they should not have been interested and following so closely? If you will go over that "falsely wide distribution" you'll see it was fairly closely restricted, by agency not by person. It attracted my attention and I wrote you earlier about this. BW 1/1/74