Dear Ed.

Thanks for the Saga, the clips and your letter of 1/10. usually I am in accord with your analyses, and usuasaly they range up to brilliant and most inciseve. This time I disagree with all but minor aspects. For example, there is without doubt both mis-representation and decoption. There is only the most remote chance of a maketotution, and nobody dure do that on a large scale. It might have been done with one picture of a wound in the back. On the basis of what I has said, I'd be unwilling to consider any more.

I don't have time to go into all the possible purposes served, but why not put your own excellent mind to work on this, beginning with the assumption that there was no need to do this, no clarmor for it 'only four applied, not counting me, end I did 11/66 for all and intermittently thereafter for specific parts). If only four applied, how such interest do you think there was? Did you see a single story, no matter how small, anywhere, asking on 10/29 now it can be done, it should be? Any ringing editorials? This should be the beginning of your thinking, as I see it.

On the Eaplan book, I declined collaboration but offered other help months ago. I will not change the decision. Your divice is right and proper for one who has not already ruined his life and his literary prospects, a younger named his not about. The curse is as you put it. But also ask yourself another questions if I do not do what I am doing, who else wall? And at the risk of sounding conceited, of those you know or know of, who else can? So, what you are asking is that I quit, in context. You don't mean this, And I won't do it.

What nobody has yet seen or if enyone has seen what nobody has yet told me and what I now say to enyone for the first time is that this Lattimer play is really an act of desparation. The tradegy is the those who could have made it possible for us to really do something about it wouldn't or worse, didn't have the brains to see it. We are in such bad shape with all our geniuses.

I don't really think I need a "fresh perspective". Four reference is unclear to me. If you mean on any other subject, I think you are wrong. If you mean on this one, until I have made a major error, and to date I think I have not, then I think the record speaks for itself. By interests are not imited to this subject. They can't be because nothing that has happened since can be divorced from it.

But I do appreciate the advice. Hope we can have time to chat when I'm there. It may have to be on a Sunday a.m. if not after the show, when there may be too many for any real, communications.

Thanks.

ccJP