

HR - SF. Exam. Chron story, AP, Secp 11/15/72
That Rhoads made a statement "yesterday" Lattimer is
access to per, Kroy. Paper dated 11/9/72. So, please
write Rh. & ask for copy of his statement & if it does
not include following, ask for a letter of details:

Copy of letter of a ~~copy~~ any other authorization
and accreditation; Copy of letter of request; when &
where and for how long he is examined, what, under
whose supervision; if accompanied by anyone, by whom;
how long Lattimer has been accredited as a researcher
in this archive (not there - no doubt long time
on Linn side); whether or not permitted to make a provision
with copies of any of this new material; whether or not
anyone else has seen; has any one else been given permission
to do so, who; whether he is going to make any statements
based on examination of others; how he satisfied himself
that under contract Lattimer is qualified; & any of ~~you~~ ^{you think of} as you
know, he won't answer me in months up now that I have again
charged him with perjury, adding proof... your str. editor
should be best yet... no complaint as you on perjury pumping. He
should not when I let him know I didn't want to talk about what
I got from Graham. My objection is not so much to his asking you as
to then discussing over my phone when he knew I didn't want to.
But I think he has begun to learn things from some of his work
in this affair... wecht - disagree strongly your position, will
respond after doing more important things. Have been trying to
figure a way around this problem & have been working on it, as you
should have detected from carbons... Pearl his papers on my t'write
~~since~~ hence this screw... In general, this play has gotten very
much less out out on that demand, is a way by must also on that part,
& our worst im. melute must also would be not to make full use of that
or provide any discussion or relief. They may make others. Bert JW