February 27, 1971

Nr. Mark G. Eckhoff General Services Administration National Archives and Records Service Washington, D.C. 20408

Dear Mr. Bokhoff:

Thank you for your letter of February 24 plus the helpful enclosures, which I have just received. Those enclosures prompt additional requests.

1. CD 899, which you sent, pertains to a strip of wood removed from the sixth floor window of the Depository and tested for fingerprints. However, CD 899 contains no information revealing the results of the fingerprint examinations, which the FBI conducted, particularly prints of Lee Harvey Oswald. Please sand me any information you have as to the results of these examinations.

2. CD 899 states that related information may be found at page 131 of the 11/30/63 report of SA Robert Gemberling and page 369 of the 12/10/63 report of Gemberling. Please send me a copy of each of these pages. (I do not know the Document number.)

3. The documents you sent me from the "MP" file relating to the analysis of a possible recording of the assassination shots implies that other such documents must exist.

Mr. Specter's 6/29/64 memorandum refers to Mr. Hoover's letter of 6/10/64 by which the tape "Four Days that Shocked the World" was sent to the Commission. Please send me a copy of that letter.

Mr. Kersta's 7/17/64 letter to Mr. Bankin refers to figures 4A and 4B. Please send me copies of these figures plus any others which are related or which accompanied Mr. Kersta's letter.

Do you have any documents relating to the return of this tape to Olmsted Sound Studios, from which it orginiated? Also, if the Commission kept the tape or a copy of it, please tell me how I can obtain a copy, and at what price.

Also, is there any record of any investigation conducted as to whether this tape was actually made during the assassination?

4. Lillian Castellano wrote the Warren Commission about the Governor's wounds, enclosing a clipping from the Los Angeles Times of 11/28/63 which quotes the Governor. Please send me a copy of that clipping.

I assume my account is low, so I will send a check shortly.

Sincerely,

Howard Roffman

and the second second

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

National Archives and Records Service

Washington, D.C. 20408



4

28.3

ATTN OF: NND

DATE:

ċ

2

うちょうちょう

18

F. 33

÷,

SUBJECT: Records of the Warren Commission. (Your letter of June 22, 1970).

June 29, 1970

Mr. Bernard Fensterwald, Jr. 927 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Notes which we made on your letter of September 10, 1969, indicate that a staff member informed you by telephone on September 19, 1969, that no other information for IGOR VAGANOV, or his aliases, could be found than that in CD 14, page 10, and CD 320, SS Control Number 745.

Also on September 19, you requested the following:

CD 81b, page 12 CD 385, page 15 CD 400, page 2

These three pages were mailed to you on the date of your request. Enclosed are electrostatic copies of these pages.

Sincerely,

MARK G. ECKHOFF

Director Legislative, Judicial and Diplomatic Records Division

Keep Freedom in Your Future With U.S. Savings Bonds

Mary, Paul, Gery, Dick,

2

Before speaking to Howard about it when he was here, off the top of my head I asked you about letting me have copies of your givernment correspondence, Archives and agencies, for possible use in court and for inclusion in the index of mine, do to date, so that at one point we would have a record of every request and esch response (and non-response).

When I spoke to Howard about it, he sgreed it was a worthwhile project and to do this extra work, at his home.

There are problems, including that of cost where in some cases the correspondence might be extensive. However, ' leave this up to you, as I would to mark as confidential that you would not want to be used in public. It would be my plan to have the correspondence all bound together, chronologically, with the index serving as a guide to us, and to be able to retrieve whatever might be of value in court through the index, possibly, depending on when any hearing might be held, used by Howard, who will be my research escistent in court if before college opens.

I enticippte some of these may be precedent cases, not only on our subject, but also for the Freedom of Information law. In both cross I think it important, and if any one latter welld help, I think it should be swallable. One of the legelneeds will be to establish federal untruthfulness. To a rether prexx surprising degree, my files slows do this.

If your files are too extensive to permit this but you egree in parts then the order in which the subjects now seem likely to be needed are, in terms of my own concepts of the suits:

Forrie documents, which includes snything relating to the withheld and evailable Fofrie documents (I have Jim's reconstituted index of 75) and with the Forrie-Oswald direct and indirect relationship (i.e., Quirogs could be an indirect relationship) and relating to whether or not such documents are withheld for the purpose of protecting the inscent, etc. I have included in the emplaint, subject a to Bud's agreement, 544 Camp and the hiding of stuff from the WC by the FBI.

The next one will be the spectrographic analysis, incuding what it can and cannot show, why it was never given the Commission, the utter meaningless of the paraphrases and testimony, the real reason for withholding, what has to be true for the official account to be condible and whather or not, as with Ferrie, this can properly be called an investigatory file. In both wases, the waiving of any provisions of the law by use, which is a factor especially with the spectro.

Next, envining medicel or entopsy, including the panels, for thi will be my suit for the panel rew materials and I enticipate it will be the most important. This is the next compleint I'll dreft. And separately, envining relating to the President's clothing and the pictures of the clothing, where I may file a suit against Arch and the satete for shirt pictures withheld from me with Mershell's spreament. I have gotten the one I asked for from Justice, which would seem to eliminate any legitimate reason for Arch's withholding. DJ gave this when I filed DJ-118 and the reason for withholding is that it supports not the official fiction but what I've been writing some of you about it. Howev r, in going over my pictures and one of his, Howard and I think we see more...Also, any thoughts?

Sincerely, Berold Weisberg

6/27/70