Fr. Steven Tilley, chief Access and FOIA Staff Hational archives at College Park 8604 Adelphi Road College Park, ID 20740-6001 Bear 'r. Tilley,

Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Rd. Frederick, MD 21702 4/24/97

enclose them.

When I wrote you two days ago invoking the Privacy Act to have a statement disputing what I described as the FBI's distortions and lies about me in records you are required to disclose and providing that statement I had not been able to locate some of the records to which I referred. I regret my physical limitations. Since then I have found copies of two of the records to which Treferred and I

My recollection after all those yers was close but not perfect. Thurman armold, Abe Fortas and Paul Porter referred to my "vindication" rather than "exoneration" and to my "calmess and dignity under the most adverse circimstances."

One State Department official I said had been fired had resigned, according to the enclosed newspaper stor, of 5/22/48. I have highlighted several parts of that United Press story. Both refer to the awarding of Fulitzer Prizes, the second one to Bert ANGrows and the articles to which I'd referred.

With that is a Joe Alsop column that ho wrote in defense of those of us who had been abused so unconscionably. I have highlighted two parts of it.

If he was before your time, he was a conservative columnist. At the time he wrote this column his brother was coauthor of it. The brother later did his own column.

I ask that you please attach this to my letter of two days ago.

Thank you voru much.

Harold Woisberg

ARNOLD, FORTAS & PORTER 1240 EIGHTEENTH STREET, N. W. WASHINGTON 6, D. C.

November 28, 1947 WALTON HAMILTON MILTON V. FREEMAN

TELEPHONE DISTRICT 3251

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

THURMAN ARNOLD

NORMAN DIAMOND REED MILLER L.A. NIKOLORIC

ABE FORTAS

PAUL A. PORTER

We want you to know how deeply we appreciate your kind and generous gesture in sending us a gift and the warm sentiments which accompanied it. You know it was a pleasure to be of service to you and your own calmness and dignity under the most adverse circumstances were in no small measure. circumstances were in no small measure responsible for your ultimate vindication.

Sincerely,

Thuman Arnold

Abe Fortas

Paul A. Porter

Milton V. Freeman

Mr. Harold Weisberg 2322 N. Nottingham Arlington, Virginia

Matter Of Fact By Joseph And Stewart Alsop

The Case Of The Ten

THE SPOTLIGHT of attention may soon shift to one of the most complex and dangerous issues facing the American Government today—the issue of total security as against the civil rights of the individual. This will happen if, as now seems possible, a number of the 10 employes of the State Department, dismissed on grounds of "disloyalty" a few weeks ago, decide publicly to challenge the department on the issue.

These 10 people, none of them of top policy-making rank, and most of them well down the bu-reaucratic ladder, all received on the afternoon of June 26 the same mimeographed communica-tion. They were curtly notified that, pursuant to the McCarran dment to the State Department appropriation, which pro-vided for the summary dismis-sal of any employes suspected of disloyalty, their services were terminated "with prejudice" as of that afternoon. Their names were not published. But a State Department press release announced their dismissals, and accused them of "indirect association with representatives of a foreign power." In the public mind, they were identified, however anonymously, as something close to traitors.

Most of the 10 immediately inquired of their superiors as to the cause of their dismissal, asking for the evidence on which it s based. This was not forthcoming. It was at first made apparent that there could be no appeal from the decision. Since then, in response to pressure both from inside and outside both from inside the department, a three-man loyalty board to review these and subsequent cases has been es-tablished.

TWO OR THREE of the 10 no doubt had very clear ideas of why they were dismissed. But unless some singularly masterful unless some singularly masterful deception is under way, most of the others are sincery mystified by the whole affair. One man, for example, can explain why the ax fell on him only in terms of the fact that he attended a few left-wing meetings in college days, out of curiosity. Another was the friend of a friend of a man who had fought with the Loyalists in the Spanish Civil War. Another somehow got on the mailing list of a left-wire. on the mailing list of a left-wing on the maning list of a lext-wing bookstore. One man had once served as a technician on the staff of a professor known to be well to the left of center. And

The suspicion inevitably arises The suspicion inevitably arises that certain subordinate officials of the State Department, harking to congressional cries for Communist bleod, dedided that a respectable number of heads must be served up on a platter. This theory gains plausibility from the fact that at least two of the nurses were already on of the purgess were already on terminal leave from the depart-ment, and were recalled only for the purpose of dismissing them.

Since these two individuals were not expected to return to work in the department under any cir-cumstances, it is certainly possible that they were dismissed only to add to the department's box scor

No doubt it was assumed that the fact that no names were published would protect those dislished would protect those dismissed. However, it has not worked but that way. In the first place, their fellow employes of course knew why they left the department so suddenly, and Washington is more addicted to goasty than Hog Corners. In the second place, employers have a habit of asking for references, and for leasons for leaving former employment. This fact has already stood between at least one of the 10 and a destrable position. Iven those who have found new jobs live in constant fear of lesing them if their employers eliscover why they left the State Department. A number of the 10 have been unable to find jobs and are reported living on the charity of friends and sympathiers. sympathiers. -

SOME OF THE ten purgees SOME OF THE ten purgees are doing what they can to get themselve reinstated, so that they may resign honorably. Failing that, there is at least a fair charce that one or two may decide to become the Dreyfuses in the case, and appeal, directly to public opinion through the Civil Liberties Union, Such a public aring of the whole matter might indeed serve a useful purpose. For the issue involved is one of the gravest with which the American Government is faced.

After the Canadian espionage case, which proved beyond any doubt that the Soviet Union used members of the Communist Party at espionage agents against their native country, the State Department would be plain fathous not to exclude rigorously any person believed.

plain fatious not to exclude rigorously any person believed to be pre-Communist. But an ideological attitude is something virtually impossible to prove by any known rules of evidence. Therefore the Government must have some means of ridding itself of those who may only be suspected on reasonably solid grounds of pro-communism. Ye, as the case of the 10 seems clearly to indicate, this may work the harshest kind of, injustice on individual Government employes. It is a kind of injustice on individual Government employes, it is a kind of American civil liberties. One proposal is that those against whom some valid grounds for suspicion exist should merely be allowed honorably to resign from Government service. At any rate, some reasonable solution must be found. If every independent minded man in the Government is to be haunted by fears of J. Edgar Hoover's eager hawknawn furking under his deak, the already too evident trend toward Government bydrones is sure to be vastly accelerated.

Robinson Quits As State Dept. **Controls Chief**

. By the United Press

Hamilton Robinson, storm center of criticism for his part in the State Department's loyalty program, has resigned as director of the department's Office of Controls, it was disclosed last night.

Robinson cleaned out his desk late yesterday as director of the office which frames regulations for security in Government depart ments and hears the appeals of persons who were dropped by the State Department as "poor security risks "

State Department sources said Robinson's resignation, first sub-mitted last November, was entirely voluntary. It was believed he would enter private law practice in Washington, where he now makes his home. He is a native New

Yorker.
Sources close to Robinson said his resignation was prompted by the fact that his activities were under constant fire by congressional committees.

Bet Dy Wartime Rules

They said the fact that Pulitzer prizes had been awarded recently to two Washington reporters for their revelations of the activities of the Office of Controls was not a factor in Robinson's resignation.

These sources said the 39-year These-sources said the 39-year-old executive wanted to resume private law practice in the Capital and would do so, after a vacation in northern New York. Robinson first came under fire as the chairman of the four-man

security advisory board of the State-War-Navy Air Force Coordi State-war-ravy Air Force Coordinating Committee. It wrote the minimum regulations—under a White House directive—to set up classified 'categories for information emanating from all executive

departments and agencies.

These proposed regulations would give any excutive agency the right to classify information as "top secret," "secret," "confidential" or "restricted." Reviewed Loyalty Cases

During the war, these classifications were given only to such sensitive departments as State, War

and Navy.

Robinson also has been criticized for his role in passing upon 10 State Department employes who were fired last year as bad security risks. He was a member of the review group which looked over their cases.

That review was the basis for a series of stories by Bert Andrews of the New York Herald Tribune, which won a Pulitzer prize this

Nat S. Finney of the Minneapolis Tribune also was awarded a Pulit-zer prize for his part in publicizing a proposal to set up minimum security regulations throughout the Executive Department.