UNITED STATES OF AMERICA GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION



National Archives and Records Service Washington, DC 20408

AUG 5 1976

Mr. Harold Weisberg Route 12 Frederick, Maryland 21701

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

This is in further reply to your Freedom of Information Act appeal dated January 3, 1976, of certain Warren Commission and related records which were denied to you on July 31, 1975, by Albert H. Leisinger, Jr., Acting Assistant Archivist for the National Archives.

1

Although most of the material you have requested can be made available to you, small portions of some documents must remain restricted to public access.

Denials specified in this response to your appeal are based on the following exemptions to mandatory disclosure of the Freedom of Information Act as matters which are: (1)(A) specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or foreign policy and (B) are in fact properly classified pursuant to such Executive order; (3) specifically exempted from disclosure by statute; (5) inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a party other than an agency in litigation with the agency; (6) personnel and medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy; and, (7) investigatory records compiled for law enforcement purposes, but only to the extent that the production of such records would . . . (C) constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, (D) disclose the identity of a confidential source and, in the case of a record compiled by a criminal law enforcement authority in the course of a criminal investigation, or by an agency conducting a lawful national security intelligence investigation, confidential information furnished only by the confidential source. Material which is

Keep Freedom in Your Future With U.S. Savings Bonds

denied to you under 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(1) has been reviewed by the Central Intelligence Agency and remains security classified at the request of Mr. Gene Wilson, Information and Privacy Coordinator of that agency.

The following responses to your appeal are numbered in accordance with the denials to you in Mr. Leisinger's letter of July 31, 1975:

Item No. 5, Commission Document 434. Enclosed are copies of the first page of the FBI letterhead memorandum in Commission Document 434, including the formerly deleted part of the first paragraph of the memorandum, and the transmittal letter.

Item No. 7, Deletions in the Coleman-Slawson memorandum on Oswald's foreign activities. Enclosed are copies of pages 4, 19, 23, 41, 45, 64, 65, 73, 74, 80, 84, and 87 of this memorandum with formerly deleted portions restored. The following specific passages deleted from the memorandum are denied to you under 5 U.S.C. 552 (b)(1) and (b)(3) (i.e., 50 U.S.C. 403(d)):

Page	Lines
17	14-15
20	20-23
77	18-20
86	7-13
92	4-7, 11-13, 19-20, 24-25
93	15-18, 22-24
97	24-27
98	1
100	16-25
101	19-21
102	2-8
104	5-14, 22-23
106	10

Enclosed are copies of pages 17, 20, 77, 97, 98, 100, 104, and 106 which show the deletions on these pages. Some formerly deleted portions have been restored. You previously received pages 86, 92, 93, 101, and 102 which show the deletions on those pages.

Deleted portions of the following pages from the Coleman-Slawson memorandum are denied under 5 U.S.C. 552 (b)(6):

Page	Lines
56	10-12
109	25
110	1-4

2

.

Some formerly deleted portions of these pages have been restored.

The following denials of portions of the appendixes to the Coleman-Slawson memorandum are denied under 5 U.S.C. 552 (b)(1):

> Commission Document 708 - ninth paragraph. "Memorandum for Record" by W. David Slawson drafted on April 21, 1964. Commission Document 1551 and face sheet.

Item No. 9, Nosenko records of any kind still withheld.

(a) Letter of J. Lee Rankin to Richard Helms, March 6, 1964. Copy is enclosed.

(b) Memorandum of Slawson to Jenner, Liebeler, Ball, and Belin, March 9, 1964. Copy is enclosed.

(c) Memorandum of Coleman and Slawson to Stern, March 12, 1964. Copy is enclosed.

(d) Memorandum of Slawson to Rankin, July 15, 1964. Copy is enclosed.

(e) Memorandum of Slawson to Coleman, July 17, 1964. Copy is enclosed.

(f) Memorandum of July 23, 1964 (no names given). Denied to you under 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(1) and (b)(5).

(g) Memorandum of Slawson to Rankin, August 22, 1964. Copy is enclosed.

(h) and (i) Transcript of executive session of the Commission of June 23 and pages 63-73 of transcript of executive session of January 21, 1964. As you know, you have a suit under way at the present time for access to these two items.

(j) Page 1 of Commission Document 651. Copy is enclosed. The information deleted from lines 1-6 is denied to you under 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(1) and (b)(7)(c) and (d).

(k) Cover letter of CD 451. Copy is enclosed.

Records related to the withholding of the above documents: Lists of documents accompanying the note of Marion M. Johnson (NARS) to Arthur Dooley (CIA) of August 14, 1967: Deleted copies of these lists were sent to you with our letter of November 24, 1975. Enclosed are copies of the following pages of these lists with the deleted information restored: page 2 of List No. 1 (item 20) and page 2 of List No. 1A (item 10). The deleted information on the

3

.

following pages of these lists is denied under 5 U.S.C. 552 (b)(1) and (3), page 1 of List No. 1A (item 1), page 5 of List No. 2 (item 47), and page 2 of List No. 2A (item 12).

We understand that the CIA has responded directly to you concerning the letter of Thomas H. Karamessines to Robert H. Bahmer of October 2, 1967, as well as the letter of Lawrence R. Houston to James B. Rhoads of December 22, 1975.

Item No. 10, Documents concerning the declassification of certain records on March 13, 1975. Enclosed are copies of our correspondence with Mr. Paul L. Hoch relating to the declassification of certain records on March 13, 1975. Included are our letters sent to him dated July 10 and August 13, 1974, January 8, March 19 (rather than March 17), and April 15, 1975. Also enclosed are letters received from Mr. Hoch dated August 5, March 1, and March 9, 1975. The letter of June 25, 1974, from Mr. Hoch mentioned in our letter to him of July 10, 1974, has not been found. The letter of January 8, 1975, was sent to Mr. Hoch rather than received from him. We understand that the CIA has responded directly to you concerning the letter of Charles E. Savige to James B. Rhoads of May 20, 1975, relating to the Coleman-Slawson memorandum on Oswald's foreign activities.

You have the right to seek judicial review of this determination by filing an action in the Federal District Court for the District of Columbia or the Federal District Court for the district in which you reside or have your principal place of business.

You may also seek further administrative review of the following material by submitting an appeal to Mr. Gene Wilson, Information Review Committee, Room 2E42 - Headquarters Building, Central Intelligence Agency, Washington, D. C. 20505: deletions on pages 17, 20, 77, 86, 92, 93, 97, 98, 100, 101, 102, 104, and 106 of the Coleman-Slawson memorandum; deleted portion of Commission Document 708; "Memorandum for Record" by W. David Slawson drafted on April 21, 1964; Commission Document 1551, and the face sheet for this document.

a contract and the state

Enclosed are copies of page 25 of a draft of part of the <u>Report</u> of the Commission entitled "The Existence of a Foreign Conspiracy" and a

4

5

- 1

letter of J. Lee Rankin to J. Edgar Hoover of March 6, 1964, which contain references to Nosenko. These references were not known at the time of your original request.

ŧ

Sincerely,

C. O'heil tames JAMES E. O'NEILL

•

fallen ander en der eine einen eine

Deputy Archivist of the United States

Enclosures

Rt. 12, Frederick, Md. 21701 8/7/76

Nr. Come Vilson FOIA appeal FOIA/PA Coordinator CIA Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Mr. Wilson,

The official mountain has labored, after a super-elephantine period of gestation, delivered itself of a mouse of information and informed me of further administrative relief available from you.

On July 31,1975 Acting Archivist Albert H. Leisinger, Jr., denied me access to certain information about the JFK assassination. I appealed. Under date of August 5,1976, Deputy Arcgivist James O'Neill responded to my appeal by released some of this withheld information. I presume he has sent you a copy of his five-page letter. His reference to you and further administrative relief is on page 5, the penultimate paragraph.

My appeal is from the dunial of "deletions on pages 17,20,77,86,92,93,97,98,100,101, 102, 104 and 105 od the Coleman-Slawson memorandum;deleted portions of Commission Document 708; "Memorandum for Record" by W. David Slawson drafted on April 21,196#;Commission Document 1551, and the face based of this document."

This is not the first declassification of portion of the Coleman-Slawson memo at my request. Having skimmed the pages I did receive today I find no reasonable basis for any classification at any time. I also find what appears to be non-compliance with the provisions of E.O. 11652. This is true of the previous releases, beginning with the entire and originally-withheld entire document.

Mr. O'Neill dites no declassification authority. These records were classified originally by the Cosmission, which had no such authority. When I was initially refused access I was teld the CIA withheld them. In no case do I recall anything but conclusory statements. So I now ask, with the records available, for specifics. I want the records t hat show what was held to be properly classified or classifiable and what was subsequently held to be suitable for release, plus the basis in each case. I am aware of content the CIA might find embarrassing but I am not aware of any exemption under which this can be regarded as a basis for denial. In the past you have assigned all withholdings from me to Mr. Briggs. In this case I again ask for specific identification.

I find no citation of classification or withholding authority elsewhere in Mr. O'Neill's letter. A large if not exclusive CIA responsibility is apparent. I therefore appeal all of these denial to you berewith. With regard to these also I want/records having to do in any way the with the legality of original classification and subsequent decisions and acts in any way relevant therets.

Hr. O'Neill has a separate besing for "Item Me. 9, Mesenke records of any kind still withheld." EXHAUSTRATIZZTER STRATES STRATES STRATES AND STRATES A