Ar. Thomas Kalley, East. Director U.S.Secret Service 1800 G St., EW Washington, D.C.

Dear Ton.

April 15 the Archives finally gave me the Hemp of Transfer rather than go to court. They claim not to have some of the documents referred to in it. Ion will recall that some time ago the Secret Service did give me most of them.

From the Memo it appears that the documents I do not have are the last two on the first page, an embhange between Fex, Housk and Madamia of Movember 29, 1963; and the December 5, 1963 Bouck letter to Stover,

You will recall, I believe, that in my work I have had considerable interest in the film and all the sourceunding details; and that for some years I have had an account of its custody and precessing. I would like my records to be complete. So, I herewith request copies of these and any other relevant documents the Secret Service may not have given me in the past.

In my understanding of the FGIA the Secret Service is the agency of paramount interest under this law. So, I am also eaking that you please send no these documents directly. If the Secret Service elects to depend copies electhere that, of course, is its business. However, three other agencies have been providing what is requested under the Act directly and I know of no legal problettion against this. I believe that under this law I do have the right to ask these documents of the Secret Service directly. If I have my own reasons for asking this, I also believe that if you think about this in the light of developments of recent years you may also see that this is a proper and perhaps preferable proceedure for the Secret Service.

With this Name there was an exchange of letters signed by Admiral Burkley and the late Robert Kannedy. The copy of the Rebert Kannedy letter provided me was of a curbon partly snaked at the top. It also is an unclear copy. Under the law certain internal communications are essent from compulsory disclosure. At the time Robert Kennedy was a Senator, not in an executive agency. The law applies to executive agencies only. By internat is in knowing who prepared that letter for signature. By belief is that this is what was masked. I would appreciate a copy of this letter that is not masked unless it does have genuine internal communication on it.

We have discussed aspects of these documents in the past. If you could find a mutually antisfactory time for a little more discussion of them I would appreciate it. Setting to your affice early in the mouning presents no problem to me.

If you can find this time you may also want to reserve a few minutes more because in the course of other week on another subject I have developed some information and beliefs that may be of interest to the Secret Service.

Sincerely.

Barold Weisberg