Tad Szulc/Star/ & series 9/19/75 77 Dear Jim.

I did not have much time to think after you phoned today to tell me of this first of a three-part series this featuring the transcripts. I did, off the top, as usual, write the editor of the Star (so with note to Ben Bredles). Long ago I had agreed to a wisit from an ex UPI reporter now with a trade publication when he was in the area, which turned out to be today and for supper. Tomorrow there will be at least one and perhaps two guests, also agreed to earlier. So, I'm jot getting the work I wanted to done and I'm not having time to think about your call.

However, it occurred to me after our guest left with what I hope is entough time ti make the last NYC shuttle, it came back to me that you mentioned Mosenko im your call. This may be a givennay. I think but shiple by anger can't be sure that I

mentioned the possibilities to you when we spake.

Now I don't knew of anyone else who has been pushing both the CLA and the Archives t began verbally before I was tknes ill in April. The day we had the first on Mosenko. oumbetone pik taken Johnson gave me a partial file that since I have kept separate. In and of itself is is a deliberate withholding of what then was no longer withheld. Then there was correspondence. Then I got some of what had not been given to me.

I reised questions close to immediately and in writing. The Archives told me it had been referred to the CIA. It violated the law in not saying it would need more time within the time permitted. The Archives, in fact, made the same violation, as I think I wrote earlier, in not even referring that request in time.

In all this time I have had nothing from the CIA.

Now, suddenly, out of the nothingness of his new-interest in the Marren Meport,

saulc develope this interest in Mosenko?

ONLY after I appeal the demials some of which were plain stonewalling (the others fa noier stonesalling) Smils develops an interest and from what I gather in nothing in all this vastness of material except that on which I've been working? Hosenko and "My" transcripte?"

Do we want to ask Lipoyds of London what the odds really are?

What would seem to be obvious is that someone is feeding Saule.

How many people can know this story and that I'm after the withheld and its meaning?

(I had a short chapter on this in FM and Howard took all or shoot all of it out!) This whole thing is of the Angleton wing of the CIA. That is, with the Commiscion.

Smile seems to speak for the non-angleton wing and now both are in trouble.

Until I read the series all I can say is that the coincidences, if this they are, are truly remarkable.

I think that if we had support and experienced, perhaps partly retared counsel, we could develop one hell of a thing from these indications and the past record.

I can, of course, file any time with the CIA having violated the law when those two requests were referred to them. But we havn't the time now.

And now, of course, if they give anything to me they have to give it to this "ohmny-come-lately Saulc.

What remarkable coincidences we live through!