Rt. 21, Frederick, Ed. 21701 9/19/75

²r. Ban Bradles, ²ditor The Vashington Post 1150 15 ³t., Né Washington, P.C. 20005

Dear Fr. Bradles,

If you will pardon the double entendre, the enclosed mays you have no monopoly.

In fairness to Hr. Said, whose name I mantion, I think he should see this.

You did report the January 27 transcript, honestly and fairly.

You also suppressed that of January 22.

I gave Bill Caliborne a merer in April, in New York. So thereafter discussed it with me. He sont it to Washington because thereafter othere of your staff also discussed it with me.

But when the AP put Don Rothberg's also fair and accurate story on the wire you did not carry it. I made no inquiry but another who asked your national desk teld no he was told if you've seen one transmipt you've seen them all.

This was not your Vatergabe staniard.

If you can't find your copy and you can take the time to read a domain double-spaced pages I'll sund you a copy. If I were the editor of the Washington Post and had nothing to do with killing the AP story or meeing to it that ay paper did not have a beat on it I'd want to know if these under he were importial and followed sound, impartial editorial judgement.

The way thinks are going you may come to realize how I feel and have felt all these years when the rick and influential papers failed on this major story and then treated as and my work as they have while I kept going deeper and deeper into date to do what the papers should have all failed to do.

There are many stories not yet written that could have been, including by the Post, for a decade. I hope to have a book with much of what the Herrus Consiston did not have out pretty soon. So fast as a one-can investigator/researcher/publisher (including mail room) and scatter investigator.

As I think you mow, I have nothing that most editors would consider a , public relations department.

Sincerely,

Harold Moisburg