Director of Information G-novel Services Administration Washington, N.C.

Dear Sir,

This is to appeal the refusal to me of a masher of items of warron Consission materials for which I have asked in writing, copies of which the Archivist refuses, in his letter of January 4, 1972, to forward to you as my appeal.

You will find that I have repeated some of these requests and have not cotton any responses in some cases, meaningless responses in other, and phipable untruths in others. In still other cases, it is transparent that sy requests are just being ignored. I believe all these things violate the intent of the Congress, if not the law.

I know because inadvertently in the past the arous copies of internal copies of my requests have been sent to me that there is a policy on the time in which response was to be sade. My request for this information is asong the things denied no, so I ask it of you. How long after a simple inquiry is it to be enserted?

So you can better understand that this is not frivolous, on 'uly 24, 1971. I asked for copies of certain specified pages of 6D 1406. I mover got them or any answer. When I asked for them again in my latter of December 17, 1971, they were not provided. Instead I was told "If you will specify the pages in Commission Document 1408 of which youk want copies, we will be pleased to furnish those copies to you." In that same letter I renewed an unfilled request for a copy of the description of file provided to the Secret Service by WDSH-TV. New Orleans (a copy of which was also provided as by that station). Instead of in any way answering this proper and simple request, for a copy of a simple sentence, I was sent copies of my letter to the Secret Service and its many letter of December 22, 1969 to the Archivist. This is deliberately non-responsive.

Recently, the Attorney Compret has ruled that much non-responsiveness constitutes a refusal. I also so interpret it. This is also true of other denials and evacious, such as you will find in sy requests for copies of withhold Barron Consission executive associous. The sore recent of sy latters on the letter are dated August 22 and September 13,1971.

So, I herewith appeal all those and the other refusals of which the archives has copies and all those requests not responded to. The Attorney General's Resorandum on the Freedom of Information law specifies that "Every effort should be made to avoid encumbering the applicant's path with procedural obstacles." I hope you will recognize this as binding and not require that I be put to the extra cost and maste of time of providing copies of those requests and the answers, which the archives has. I also hope you will recognize the intent of Congress in specifying "promptness", which is true in no case referred to herein, that of the still-unfilled request of last July being an illustrating and not-untypical example.

Sincerely.