Dr. James 3. Shosds Archivist of the United States Estional Archives and Records Service Washington, D. O. 2006

Dear Dr. Rhoads:

Your letter of August 13 in response to mine of July 17 and 20 in its second paragraph refers to regulations in a way not really consistent with the record, the nature of my request, and its purpose.

I hope you can agree that it was not the intent of Congress in passing the Freedom of Information ast to have agencies of the government embulance-chasing for members of the bar.

It is not too large a tesk for you to provide copies of all relevant regulations in response to my repeated requests. Nor do I think it is proper or necessary for you, in the context of this law or outside it, to send me to a lawyer. I do think it is incumbent upon you to make unequivocal response to this request.

In the past, in response to these requests, you omitted in every case the one regulation specifically addressed to the Varren Commission materials. Because of your own record, which indicates that, should you do, six months not being an exceptional lag, I asked asseeme also to make specific request for the regulation you always never provided. That used it in other litigation. How that you have revised it because of my lation, which I suggest, if it is at all applicable, cannot be applicable perfore its effective date. Your language is, We believe we have answered quest for 'any and all rules, regulations, interpretations or any other fully pursue my interests in obtaining what I regard as public information."

If there is any one place in the world where what the Mational Archives regards as its rules, regulations, interpretations and definitions of records, it is the Mational Archives. In my reading of it, the law imposes the obligation upon you to supply this to me and to supply it promptly.

I have an additional purpose which ought not be unknown to you and that is that in acting on your bahalf your counsel misquoted, distorted, edited, suppressed and in every way possible was described on procisely these points, law, regulation, etc.

While I would prefer not to have to think in terms of litigation with my government in order to obtain what clearly is public information under the law, you have given me no elternative but to consider it a probability

when I seek for anything the government elects to suppress.

I therefore renew this request in a hope that et some time it will be less than a futility, that you will elect to live within the law, and will respond promptly.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg