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Dear Dr. tho ads,




 Aiexegard. for the obligations imposed upon you by your position ant the complete die-
 au you have jot sur mono yourself to express. II I deut presently do ho more that protest it, that I do, with vigor whingearity.

What er tue ounjectio on :inch you "have nothing to sack
 heinow oxime. I hear sired if you wen asking an investigation to determine how is could have hip and and to be tutoymew or ito result. lou have nothing further to adc than the


Iou, the pan who hat enate mo bite a deal in avery court action in which this such protruded purity of purpose you clsiraed thatacoos had to be dented to this evidence only to preserve it? God save the country frow such "preservation" as yours!

Live you no shame? tho mil-respect? You go to court, con it perjury there -baa I dare you to sue me for shamed - and 250 to judges to say gil this eviusmee has to be denied those tho fay use it to prove the roverumbit lied to tho people only so that it can be held safely and al. the time it is no longer sufic? In what $x$ have et last forced you to surfing et no longer exists heed I remind yo i that your nobility of purpose and purity of source are not expressed in this one disuppertrance of evidence, that what cant possible bopluced no longer exists? ind you so abuse citizens ad courts said judders with that sanctimonious falsity that you sway to? ind you do not propose to inverulate to lem how tigris can or did hap en?


 sincerity in amazing these grumous claims.

I have citud to you swom teathony buiore a co ittee or tie vomeress to the afyect that Lxecutive uxder 10501 grontod no such auataority as you allege to the Warcen con is don. let the is the basis for the witholding oi the "arrem coasfasion': erecutive sessions the con is ion so that you are not perpetot you cito me a law that vests this unthomity in citizen to aski this or expect this, of you versonsl ardity? is it too much for a concerned of the rievant portions of the of iciel interpretation of zis govermonty I rextinded you will not address this? You ari. .ont inverpretation of the Law, 5 U.3.c. 552 and you end the bell with oitizens and the hell with the sey no wort than that you will say nothing, lauvin, it, I can't take a club to you to agice your if thio ic we way you lunist on pay uy excersive part of the cost), but I can you aischarce your oblisations (fior which 1 you do.

I ached you to meet the obilgation on the contract the legralty of which you allege, that to duny ae what you have demiou mou prove "undigxified" and "senzational" use by tae of what I seok. Zou have no comumt. hhis ie because you koso as I difd that you alleged falsely in suchin thiss clain, and without makin the chain you oolld not Won socid what sougit anc soek. You have no coriturt when out of one aide of your nowth you sode the contract prevented you from thicing shy pictures for researchore and out or the Is it mot obvious that ons wide of your mouth is a lisar?

In the past, whon is have asked for whll your rolevant rugulations, you have liod in suyiac you provided them when you din't. Whan 160 t tho cont relevant one by ackident and a ked for a oopy of that through another you lied to his and said it didn't exist. Afte my civil sction you ruviaed that anc sent me the revised copy axily (not relevant to anything priar to your revision), ank to tinie iny you have not provided me with the reandetion relevant at the time of ay request. wo, when I wis for all your rogulations aud oll your epecial interpretations of your $r$ Whations you first teli me to hire a Iawyor san then tell me you have nothing blse to sayi I resev ny request for ali copies of all mievent reculations of watever peitod during your custody of theos reooras, bll interpretations, ard lí I do not get then promilly + will consult the wanate and see if - raisread its

I amked for yournassumanoe that all of wasut you huve withold under this ciftation io oovered by tris", that is, SU.S.C. 552 (b) (6), nhe you do not provide this asmarncet If you cumot, thera a asif for what you arw withioning. Lou can't have it both ways.
 of the ir ident, fail to oite miy federal law that concaivably coula be involven or invoired, do thise for the perioc of the Coursmizn, whion is nuw pest, the voir ission that had no

 ourden of proof on you, I have inade proper requeg suppressione. The las toen place the ond reapectifui answers and I again ask for procisely, i submit I an ontitled to meoningtul nay tine or piacing hor neudiess oostacles precisely this without frow wastine any more of
in tiy path, owathes il ocality.
If I hive not by thin time established in your mind the seriousaegs of 财purposes or ty etemination to see to it timet the will of tho tongrese ins observed or tiat you suad the rest of he covernant sibide by tho law, blease tell ae what fise is required, for the last thing - weit to do $1 s$ drad you into court so you cur again peribure yourself, again corrupt you bourts, actin debase your honoreble and inportant functions. I ask for all the amsure


