Mr. Burks Marshell . 916 Spekard Road Arsonic, M.Y. 10804

Deer Mr. Werebell.

and the second of

Here I your enemy, or if I bere you may ill will, how I would welcome your latter of the 20th. Instead, however, I grinve for the family that has entrusted its honor in its bereavement to a sum so much a lamming. I do not recall having made any demand upon you, and I do recall spanding much time writing you and making offers (not one of which you have accepted), at no possible purfit to ayuelf, so that you way yet neve yourself, so that the day will not some show you will find it impossible to live with what you have done with the reasonsibilities you have accepted - and to yourself.

Perhops it is the greatest tragedy that mong all these he touched with greatness, the late Provident had no Blondel.

Long who I realized that in some weys all I will be able to do is inswe a record. As my concept of my oblightions require of me the importaneous concomitions to it, so also does it require of us that i give each max involved to make his own record, of whatever character it may be. In my view, fairness to these who may be innocent, the bational heater and history demand this.

You pretond it is your purpose "to prevent undignified or sametidaal reproduction" of the materials sume of which you must understand you exercise no legal rights over. In inasciate question are the shirt and the. All I have sought is pictures of the most minute sreas of the shirt and the that are damaged, and my letters could not be more specific in arying everything else sam be grouped out. Unless you define "andignified and semestionel" to seen trathful representation, your letter is without maching. Or, more accurately, it says you will permit only what can have no other them "undignified and semestional" use, no other kind, of these pictures.

In shi your great legal mindom, has it never occurred to you that if the existing pictures showed anything, the Archivist would have had no persection to have any others made?

All I want is to be able to study the damage to the garments, not the gare. The Archivist has sent as more gare than I soled for. I saked for enlargements of the damage alone. He sent no beth sides of CENN4 (I did not seek the back), CENNS, and, when for a long time <sup>1</sup> pressed him, meaningless enlargement of both. Seconse you pretend your purpose is to "prevent undignified or semetional use", I send them jo you herewith and defy you to snow me any other use that can be made of them. I will not use these pictures, but I would like tush returned, and <sup>1</sup> issue you the other challenges: you find one work in the more than W million T have written on this subject you can fael treats the <sup>3</sup>T-mident or anything shout him in

and the second se

8/1/70

what you can call either undignified or sensational; and you get the Archiviat to make for you those pictures of the damages to the garments I have asked of him, you study them, then you compare them with what he makes freely available and decide for yourself which is undignified and sensetional.

I sak further that you see if you can find anything of evidentiary value - <u>enything</u> other than gore - in the pictures of the shirt so freely sveileble, and I ask that you mask out of whatever pictures the archivist makes all but the damage to the febric and then tell may as a reasonable man of at least average intelligence, that you find this in what I seek.

Then examine the picture of the tis, the only one, and tell me that you, as a lawyer presumeably presented of at least rudimentary knewledge of the elloged fact, see anything of evidentiary value in it. There is no side view. I have esked and been refused one of the damage alone. Conceive of thisno meaningful picture of the fundamental evidence relating to the marker of your the friend, your Breakdent as well as mine.

The exhibit pictures were carefully staged by the FBI to hide any evidence, and the meaningless testimeny then was provided by the FBI. If you are content to leave it this way, I am not.

Nor on I content, with my record, to accept the group personal insult in your letter and these of the Archivist, that I intend undignified or sensetional reproduction. Until I can study the picture I seek I have no way of knowing whether or not I will want to reproduce them. Despite your and official encouragement (and I on beginning to wonder if there is any separation), I will not print these provided, for they are only that you say they are not.

I have filed the first of a series of suits under the Freedom of Information Act. The only reason I have not filed more is that the government is engaged in a systematic, unseenly and I think illegal effort to theart them. However, I am content for the government to make its own record in this regard, for that, too, will become a matter of court record, and there this clear departure from the transparent and expressed will of Congress will be duly recorded. It will help, not hurt, establishments of trath. At some point I may used a witness to effer a compatent epinion on what is "undignified", what is "sensational". As I word your letter and your record, you can quality as an expert.

If at any point you want to learn what you have done and are doing to yourcelf and what to use would be close to secred obligations, I will show you, subject to the single restriction, you preserve the confidence I would be entrusting to you. Mins has been an exhausting, impoverishing work and I would preserve ay work for symelf and ay own interpretation and use.

With deepest regrets,

Sincerely,

CONFIDENTIAL copies to Bud, Dick, Gary, Paul Howard

Harold Veisberg