## 8/19/70

Dr. Jemes B. Moade, Archiviat
The Mationalarchivea
Waningtom, D.E. 80408
Dear Dr. Moosday

 of CIn so4 and 505 , for fioh I thank you.

It doen not require close examination of this negetive to entablish it in not thot of a pieture your tonk for Dr. Niohel. but in identicel with thet whel you took for me the jear before, one of three tekn tor me.

The onlergenenta, unfortunately, are complete waste, foy they disclose nothing but gore and, es I tried to tell you, gom ia socsething in whi eh I have no interent at oll. I heve exsmined theae enismoments with en engraveris lant. It is net poseible to identify the milte, for exemple, in the collar.

I do not balieve there was any techatical problom involved in what I asked of you, and andargement of this part of the collar only and of the kot of the tie only.
 and in peraon, is to be ale to axnde this ovidence in comection with the wowl

 onlargmant. Magrification of the tic in appxoximately the same but e trime greater.
 oompetenes of the FEI is such thot gret enlarynent of their photogrephic negotives
 bolies that mat $I$ asked of you in poselble and proments no mutual problems.

 the acre question about the demage to the lonot of the tie, wa have only one vier of it and there should be at least two, preferesbly threat one from the front. one fro: the ofde (whioh le that I abked), and one trom the besk.

 - Pfeotiveness, auppreaing the bett, the oniy really meanigral, ovidencef

I will phone to arrange to see the other picture whon I min Manington.

