Bud, Paul, Gary,

Attached is my letter to Bhoads on receiving the pictures I ordered of 399, the incomplete copy of the staff-meeting minutes file and not getting what I ordered from the drafts-of-report file.

Remarkably coincidental it is indeed that what I recall to be missing from what I did get all deals with allegations Oswald was some kind of agent.

What they sent totals 28 pages. I earlier wrote telling you how many pages I ordered. We'll see if they supply the missing pages. If they do not, I'll again examine the file and see if they are still there. We'll also see if they can account for the missing four months of staff meetings, at least one of which was taken down by a court reporter.

What I did not tell Johnson is that Liebeler rewrote this entire subsection on a yellow pad, that there will be no proof of these changes. My reason is that Liebeler did not say these were all those changes, and I do want all. To a degree we'll be able to check on this for I have somewhere those names indexed to these pages.

The pictures of the bullet, while under different lighting, meaning different shadow, and with different exposure, in this case meaning less black than my originals, are to me complete proof of what I detected earlier and wrote you about on this. I shall undertake to make the appropriate composite and send it, in strictest confidence. I have been unable to do this electrostaticly.

I can't tell you how long it has been since I've received one-week service. I ordered these last Wednesday and had them in hand on the sixth day thereafter (two being weekend-days\*. I even told the photog. I was not in any rush on the pictures, if he was loaded.

Dr. James Rhosds, Archivist The National Archives Rashington, D.C.

Desr Br. Rhoods.

Teday I received the two pictures and negatives of CR399 and a sheaf of papers from the minutes of staff meetings files. I welcome this return to the speed of service you once rendered with the hope it is not secidental.

The pictures ere fine, exectly what I have been seaking for so long a period in which you never once responded to my requests. They presented no problem for the photographer, which makes me wonder why, since all he did is exactly what I had set forth in correspondence to you, the was not done until I was there in person and then only after I argued.

The documents from the minutes file are not identified, so I had esked, and they do not seem to be all I examined in that file. So this can be checked and any missing ones supplied, I list those I received:

```
1/21/64, 1 p. 5/13/64, 5 pp. (PT, Gal and another)
1/29/64, 2 pp. (from PC6) 4/3/64, 2 pp. (from PC6)
2/13/64 (2 memos) 1 p. (from PC6) 4/24/64, 2 pp. "
2/13/64, 2 pp. (from PC6) 8/24/64, 2 pp. "
2/28/64, 3 pp. "
3/2/64, 4 pp. "
3/12/64, 3 pp. "
```

Aside from what I know is missing from what I examined, this does not seem to cover all staff meetings. It would seem to indicate there was not a single one for a four-month period. If there are other records of staff meetings of which you know, I would like to be referred to them so I might examine them.

At the time I requested the sopping of this file, I side requested from the "Drefts of Report" file those final pages on which the corrections in the subsection "Alleged essociation with various Mexican or Cuban individuals", beginning on p. 321, were made. I described Mr. Liebeler's own account of the making of thoses lest-minute changes to Mr. Johnson and told him I wanted the actual changes. This was not included in what I received. May I please have those also?

Sincerely.