Archivist o the United States
The National Archives,
Washington, D.C. 20408

Dear Dr. hhoeds,

Your letter of the 22md., with enclosures, has just arrived. I am not well and sannot now make full response, but I will seen.

With regard to your lest paragraph, dealing with the WDSU film just supplied by the Secret Service, I hope to be able to get to Washington on Friday, the 30th. I would like to see this film then, if this is possible. I should be able to get there about 11 a.m., which should permit viewing without interference with the lunch schedule of your employees. If you can arrange to have the WDSU original there at the same time, I would like to be estisfied that it is without splices. Ind, if my recollection is correct, there should be three separate WDSU films, not just one. If this is an exact duplicate of the film I have seem, obtained at the same time, there is no point in my seeing it. I should also like to have copies of all the correspondence and other records relating to this film, as supplied by the Secret Service and as between your agency and the Secret Service. I would a preciste being able to pick them up the same time.

As our previous correspondence shows in detail, there are still-missing still pictures from this film, made for and used by the government in the imvestigation. I would appreciate it, if this metter is not conclusively handled in the communications resulting in this new film being deposited with you, if you could establish, one way or the other, whether the Secret Service has them. If they do, presume they also will be provided you. I know the FMI has at least some of them, but you appear to be reluctant to ask the FMI for what is supposed to be in your eremive and is met.

When I originally requested a page of the Bringmier handbook, referred to at the bottom of the first page or your latter, I also provided the page number. It is now beyond my capacity to search this out. If you cannot now find that record, it will have to await the time I can duplicate this work. However, I remind you that the FMI was the official repository of all Commission evidence, that it was to make photographic copies or each, and that, to the best of my knowledge, this was done.

With all the other responsibilities you have, I presume you have made no personal exemination of the photographs of CEs 504 and 300 you made for me. Perhaps you may yet find the time to do this. The reason I saked to examine the originals is because these pictures are attesty without meening. The do not disclose, to careful exemination, what is testified to. My purpose is simply to be able to do this. I regard this as quite proper. I would also prefer that to enable this to be done is the purpose of preservation of such archives. I also surgest you might went to consider what you are really seying in this sentence, "We do not prepare special photographs of President Mannedy's clothing for researchers".

Dil gary food

f the originals are without meaning and you will not make those than can have sening, ere you not soying you are seeing to it that no one can have any meaningul secses to this most basic evidence? I am reluctant to believe this is your ersonal intention. I am not without understanding of the reluctance of ony areaversey to deport from what it has made its norm. And, of course, it is byion: that so cheep use should be made of such syldence. Therefore, I have his suggestion to make, one that may cause you so problem at all. I ask hat you have your own lab make suitable calargements of parts of these negatives or me and that you provide a simple statement that also should present you with o difficulties. On CE 394, my sole interest is in the slite that are the subject f testimony, the points where the bullet is seid to have transitted the garment. 't is of these that I would like ExiC enlargements, as large as can be made with derity, two prints of sach. With CE 395, the same, plus a statement that the other hitish norks on the tie ere not micks of any kind. Dere, if there ere any other dews already recorded in photographs, I would like to be able to exemine them. t should be shvious to you that any proper assessment of this evidence, whether ir not it was made by the Commission, requires consultation with at least one ther view, that from the side.

I spell this out for you because I am envious to swoid any unfair afterence that the government is hiding anything, of which there are already on many such inferences.

Tou have not responded to my request that you deplicate the picture of the rear and of the bullet you did take for me long age. It is a view taken from is close as possible to a right engle, with a millimeter scale sleng the flattened side of the bullet. I still want this, with two prints and a negative. I would then like a print of the picture you now say you took for Dr. Nichols, tegether with a cory of his order (essuming this is not improper), which will identify the time of the picture-taking.

I will address the other matters as soon as I can. I also remind you or existing unsuswered requests bern made.

Sincerely.

Bureld Walshing