工. Jemea 8. Pheeds<br>trehtriat of the wited states<br>The Netionel rehives<br><br>Dear ITr. Shomis.

I an deaply gratetal that you colald Iaform mo the momorathut of







 knowlodict, more ukerly serlected.

Homever, your letter does papesat a fow probleas, formen if in
 persomal convereation in Jucge Malleer's ooupt and in letters I told you I
 the dete which ome of the then renidiag ofileiale of the Ireasuxy Depertrane
 meay funily. Until the de of the manorandin this mls we in the cuatody of the Jnited Stetes seeret service, which ia part of the Prosury Deperturent.











If I pomune with you that the pastioular copy of this momorsndun


 Semoone, ith ar withoat the menstion of $2 a w$, undertook to Elve awey the property of the Undel stetes. There must be record, en escountiag, of the Sisposition of ell federel property. Do, for the moment let us mot concern ourselves over whetier of nct the neartiaular oopy of the cemorendum is not the property of the United taten. Ingtesd, let uz concara ouraelven ith cher copies. if ve been repastedly tasured by tion aeic o. tha secret Solvice that hia agenay hes buraen avery record relating to the asaesala tion
 itself confusing enough, thers searse to ce total of 45 plctures. If the seven refirred to at the botton of this thbuletion or difterent, tiere then are f2. If tiose, fhen numbered "I9 through 25 (JTiB)" by the panel ere not icentical with "30 through 52", of which the panel reports seya merely ticat they "eppear to repreaent the sazm $\nabla i e^{\prime \prime}$, do we have an additional sevenf ind if those identio fied with the latore JB an different numbers tion tnose adjoinin toem in the
 andor subtraction yields for a the FIJ of $t$ e an ounced nun ar of pieturas.
tudy of fppendix B (and 1 heve, indeed, studied 1t) provides only more a nem confualon. It has four items of pieturea, nct on of which contoins e single meaningtul number. To list "onvelopes" Thout reference to thetr ocntent is 8 s best a axbterfuge as at worat a conscious deception. Do all tia
 flim? There ere othar obvious uestions, bus these illustrete bis point. aut
 in ppondix $B$ end as mesninziess as dosignotion ia in the remoining che, whe re the feac iption "I roll" is used. Rolle are e: varyine longtha ani within any ziven length varying numbers of exposures are possible. Sorg Appondix B slso axtends itself to give no number, nothin from which mening cen be derived. It twe betchea (frou the liat) ore "with no invige", in itaelfe remarkable, entirely unexplainec situebion, there is even lesa likelihood of minith any kind of round agful comparion betwest the tho listinge of gupposedy identicil fila ol to siagle sutopsy, esca iepresented as complete and untuintau.

Other existinc records melpe this even more beffling to me. I refer to theee because they sre the two to which you restricted youreelf. Phe bemilderment, which i make no effort tc hide, is further marlicated by analyais of your choice cf worde. You refer not to totel picture and flln of ony sne sll kine never to printa or t, ensperenciea, meraly to "negetives". You aey thooe of the pansi report sore the seme negetives 11 sted in ippendix $\mathrm{B}^{\prime \prime}$. hat jou do not day is tinat thore wre no others, el ther nozetives, poaitives or tramparenciea. Is this merely on oversight. So tis numbers of" both "lists exactly coinciue. ves
 in the supposediy dofinitive prani-revort liet of text?

Trom thia I hope you can understand I do, sincerrly, weome ny saidertycation. Your letter $i 008$ not convey it, but I do, very wuch, want it. erispe you inere seg on additionti reason for my anxiety to obtulin wish I un conflident I ain eatitled to, a copy of the memorenaua of transfer sur everythine rolatifg to 1t。 I certoinly wula ap mectete sny meaningful explemetion on the ebove gou cer provide, whetever its form. I $v^{\prime} \mathrm{m}$ no less sincere in hopitiv you will
 hardeal: to your or yur ateff.

Once agsit, if ungoliaitady, . gegin urge upon you conaider tion of Wiyt uch e recosi exya n. recorda for poterity of the governent und... very


incely,
to your agency. I will not quibble over walch copy you siz, iy me. I will be quite content with copy of one of the copies of the ecret Beivice. I note
 fled under the kaidilnas or trythatne like thet. it is rely that the ennedy family eopy is, in your view, privete property. t sotie coint therg sinula ive been conaideretion of how goverment property couls be givan akey. I tonct like to have coples of gny una 11 nomoranda or recorde of eny kinc or character
 lighty that there are no zuch records, i would sinceciste ycur assurance of it.

And if you coull respond to tilis ainple rousent in somethine nopreciably less tasn almost three montns, it would be helpful to me. it wuld not reflsct unfavorably on the governmentis record is this and releted catters, either. If
 to leari the the yorticular copy of tue momorindum in agoverment promity, I believe I will find thet wortiwhile knowledge.

In all of tids I weve coition quary efter reading y ur sificevit filed in Judge Fiallecists court und tiet filed in the case of b. John Nicholy. in lopeks, censas. In eech you suizest it is Vitsl for the govarn ent to be eble to accept pepers for Presidential erchites so that such pepers may he preserved oni a wileble for reseerch. Liare you aay exaetly the opposite, tukt the papers are eccopted so they cen be unevailable for researeh. If you could take the tine to resolve this seeming confliot in purpose, that under suti belng given ne for ov:ilsbility ons thet not under oatin for unsvellability, + ight be able ta undorationd the whole taing a little better.
ne adaitiondsentence in juh letter is of groat ijuternet to ne,
 been the sub, ect of ascuadin or on rresponience botween us. Lou suy, "for ycur 1utormation, I unaerstond taet the bleck na white acc couor negstives referiad to $1 ; 1$ the 1908 pund revien are the sata negetives li.ted in spiendix in in the Konnedy farally deed of gift of ctober 39, 1906 ." If you cen aupply tien source of your understsnding. I mol relcome it. parison of the twocemuenta of reference tax my understending, HI I E立 fuscineted at your akeremese of it. How, üy I sk, did this come to your attention?

I do abrecieta your kincinese in psasing bl one your understeridine, eqpecielly beause it is unsolicited and I ozcounter oo moth difilculty i: getting so wach of thet I do seek.

Lowev $r$, the penel report mentions but seven "negutives" as distiacui hed frou other filn. It Inventory is deseriber af of "prints nu tameperencios". in a parsgrghh aftr the of ght-part listin: it sefe "nezetives correap in to the above were present". 大ithout sayin to all of the tir ve or hon there haned to be (if there wela) negetivea correspondinc, to trenopereucios, which, s i uheretand it, are mede witio positive ficm.








