Nevember 16, 1966

20734

Dr. Robert H. Behmer Archivist of the United States Reshington 25, D.C.

XXXX XXX MONT XXXX XXXX

WA6-8034 : TR4-4246

Dear Dr. Bahmer,

Thank you for your letters of Movember 14 in response to my cerlier inquiries.

With respect to the autopsy documents, because there is no existing body to which I can address myself, I want to register with you, as their custodian, my strong belief that as a minimum condition of even willingness to accept them, the now-missing chain of possession and evidence must exist. I do this also as a matter of record. When the decision I requested is recohed, there is also further information about them I will want to record and in which I believe you will have an interest.

With respect to the Zepruder film, following my letter I discussed this with Mr. Johnson. You will recall I also discussed this with you. There may be some question of frames 208, 209 and 211, but there cannot be about t he others for they are printed by the Commission. I agreed to accept these alone to make no problem for you. As a matter of fact, you have slresdy established a precident by providing me with what is represented as a popy of Frame 210. Your have in addition followed the same precident with the fifth frame of the Willis slides.

The entire Sepruder film, intect, is in evidence as Exhibit 904. From this I believe each and every frame of it should be available. Frames 171 through 334 ere in evidence as Exhibit 888 in a Simm slide version. I have reproduced page 19 of Volume 18, which contains Frames 207 and what is represented as Frame 218 but in actuality is part of Frame 218 spliced to part of Frame 208.

These items of evidence have already been published by the Consistion and by others besides me. What I want is not the <u>Life</u> version but the official exhibit version which I cannot, in any event, get from anyone but you. I do not believe youxeen properly demy it to me.

'ou will recell that when I desired access to what was not published, I first sought and obtained the permission of the copyright owner. In this case there is no parallel because publication has occurred, because Shkneyfelt's testimony identifies Exhibit 885 as of his menufacture and because the official records of which you are custodian show that Exhibit 904 is, in fact, as you have already written me, a copy made by the FEI of a copy of the original Espruder film. In this connection, I want to call to your attention an apparent discrepancy between your latter of November 14 on this subject and that of July 6, unless I misunderstand your latters and the testimony. Exhibit 904 is not identical with the Life film, whether it as one of the original copies or a copy made of an earlier copy. I am also interested in the reported existence of a second version of this film in the archive, described to me as having a pplice. At the time of my examination of this film, I was told it was the only Same version in your custody. Your projectionist made a careful physical examination of it at my request and under my observation. It disclosed no splice.

I should like to examine this second version, the one with the splice. I understand you are reluctant to have it projected. This being the case I should like permission to examine it on an "editor" of excellent quality, sold under the trade name "Greig", by Kelart. This film sen thus be moved slowly and without heat and can be examined by the individual frame. Of course, the actual operation would be by your technicians. I would also like to know, to the degree you can trace it, the history of this additionalx version.

May 1 call so your strention the fact that under the provisions of the Acting Attorney General's order of Cotober 31, there should be in your custody not fewer than two Sam versions of this film, both identifiable as heving been made in Dallas at the order of Mr. Zepruder (what I carlier referred to as from Life, which had purchased the film from Mr. Zeprduer) and not fewer than at least one intest copy that, according to the testimony, was made by the FBI from a copy of the original "loaned" it by the FBI. I should like to know how many espice of this Zepruder film there are, in all, in the archive, and the antecedents of each.

Please accept my essurences that this is not frivelity on my part, nor intended to add to the burden of your steff. It has serious purposes.

In order to minimize the possible inconvenience to your staff, I shall try and arrange for examination of the 15 mm version on your movisols at the same time I study the 8 mm version. Does this movisols accomodate 8 mm film;

To help you trace this film, both original dopies were in the possession of the Secret Service, as I recall the testimony.

Herold Weisberg

Sincerely,

11