## Destroying Records

The UPI article which appeared in The Post May 31, page C6, under the heading "U.S. Archivist Decries Destruction of Data" does not accurately reflect my views or my testimony before the Senate Subcommittee on-Ine tergovernmental Relations.

Each year the federal government, generates some six million cubic feet of records-enough to fill the National Archives Building seven times. Most of those records, fortunately, have only a temporary values for the government, à or for citizens. In this age of inexpen-sive copying many records are merely sive copying many records are merely
informational duplicates of each others
Others contain the scattered pieces of information, which, are, brought, ton gether in less bulky form in reports, and other documents. As, a result we are able to destroy most of this moun-tain of records within a relatively few years without any real loss of information years without any real loss of informat 63 tion that might be needed by the gove ernment, citizens, or future scholars. What is true of the government's records in general is also true of classis fied records: there is no more need to: preserve the duplicative classified records than there is to preserve comparable non-classified records. Indeed, sound records management practices and sound security practices both suggest the desirability of destroying such "useless records" (as we once called them at an early moment Consequently, rather than decrying the destruction of such records. Laps plaud it and encourage it: Very wisely

plaud it and encourage it. Very wisely, the Congress has provided legislation on such record disposal, and destruction takes place only after our profest sional staff has assessed the material and recommended its disposal. Saving everything or methodically declassify ing useless material are not viable alternatives. JAMES B RHOADS

JAMES B. RHOADS, Archivist of the United States, Washington.

المرداد الوالي فيحد بالمحد المحاد الحا

17 Calla-

Dear Jim, Rhoads latter in today's Post is a perfect Dear JIM, Knoads letter in today's Fost is a perfect redefining of "memory hole." If you have not saved it I have. Note especially, "Saving everything or method-ically declassigging useless material [sic] are not viable alternatives." And their "professional staff" decides what to destroy and when. I missed the original UPI Mey 31 (28 storm handed "U.S. tradition Decides UPI May 31,08 story headed "U.S.Archivist Decries Destruction of Data." HN 6/15/74 I have written Arvin as you/Bud suggested

Í

Sec. St.