Door Margaret Gentry and Carl Stern.

in sending you carbone of my today's letter to Clarence Kelley I seek not attention but to inform you.

If you think the allegations of felse execting are exaggerated you are solcome to the records in a number of my FOIA cases. There is mene in which the FEU sullied its record by not swearing felsely. If Mr. Ste m still has the copy of my Franc-Up, assigned to him in early 1971, he will find that the final chapter deals with my first FOIA suit for suppressed him assessmantion evidence. In C.A.715-70 Judge Curran awarded so a summary judgement, the effences of the Department were that serious.

There is factual error in AP's account of these 442 released pages. They were not released in response to "requests." Mine that is the only one in court, C.A.75-1996, before Judge Green. The requests involved date to 3/69, believe it or not. This is the real PMI in FOLA matters. I'm to get all those 18,000 pages at the least. They are but a miner fraction of the totality of the relevant files. However, I do get them earlier, these 442 pages by the weeks. If the FBI charges you the would 10% a page you are melcame to moreover of what I get whom I get it. The cost widh be about half. If you want this and will pick them up at the xerozor's it represents no real difficulty for se because I am preserving what are for my the originals for deposit in a university-system archive. I have copies made inschiate from them for me and my lawyer. I will be doing this as long as I can pay for it. I've filed for a waiver under the act and intend to pursue this in court if DF denies it.

There is only one reservation on this. I became James Earl Ray's investigator after rame-Up was printed. I did not tell Fr. Storm this when we met because I have not used this for any personal purposes. May has signed a privacy waiver for me only with regard to the next batch of records. When they are given to me they will, if DJ has been truthful, include legitimate questions of privacy. On such records I believe it would be better to obtain those that are supposed to eliminate what is a matter of legitimate privacy.

There is a total of 88 admitted volumes in FAI M, siene. The first two total 440 pages. The extra two are from the third volume. I do not know whether either of your or your agencies want all this paper or want to read it. There is more that I have. FBI is not the respondent. DJ is. I have other records and other FBI records, including same pictures.

Arbitrarily and falsely the FBI is interpreting these 86 volumes to be relevant to a separate request I've made. This and their having misrepresented to the court is why they are treating this separately. Otherwise they'd pair more false swearing.

He. Centry can catlefy herself on this false swearing easily new that ar has these 442 pages. SA Theras Wissum swere that there never were any other suspects and that there were no pectures of the scene of the crime. There is one hamphis FO constanceation forwarding as I recall 47 pictures of the neems of the crime in these 442 pages and many references to other amapacts. The AF story refers to inuteness and EKKs. There are others. I have more than IOO other pix, in this cause. These 47 are still sithhold despite the contrary holding of the court.

James H. Menar is by lawyer. His office number 12 223-5587. He has an effice in his home also, 484-6023. By a carbon he will know of my desire that he make any records you may want available, including affidavité and transcripts. Subject to his gudgement this extends to the two other FOIA cases he new has in court for me. One is the eldest of all, for the still-suppressed results of the scientific ballistics-type tests in the JFK case. The first request was in 1966. It has been to district and appeals courts three times each, to the Supreme Court and is the first if four cases cited by Congress as requiring the 1974 amendments. In July in won a sweeping remand for me from the appeals court. It held that

what I seek serves the nation's interest and that I "must" take first-person testimeny from PBI agents who rethred rather than be subjected to the discovery process. I know of me precudent for either. That decision is "e. 75-2021. The case is 0.1. 75-226. 't is the first anywhere under the amended Act. The other case is for the still-suppressed warren "essission executive session transcripts. I've forced the government to give me all except two full ence and 10 pages of a third. These are at issue in C.A. 75-1448. The 10 withheld pages of January 21, 1964 deal with an unmased defector from I presume the KGB, All of that of 6/25/64 is supposed to deal with Heaseks. The 5/19/64 transcript is withheld on grands of alleged privacy. I believe it is really withheld because it can expose Gerald Ford as a latter-day EcCarthy in his effort to get to liberal Besocrate fired by the Warren "essission. One is Hersan Redlich, new dean of the NYU law school. The other is Joe "all. He was one of John Ehrlichmen's lawyers. He is also the partner of Pat Brown, father of Jerrys unsuccessful Desceratic aspirant. This one was submitted for in camera isspection about Hay archae. In the case itself I wen an order requiring the CIA, not a respondent, to summer interregatories. This was only last Thursday, wit 11/18.

Although I have a new book on the King assessmention 2/3 in draft I have no desire to withheld anything I get in C.A.75-1996. I plan no writing based on the other two cases. Later I'll probably use parts of what hope for in C.A. 85-1448. Prior use by others is no problem if you have any interest.

Because there is the new consittee while I'm writing I make several suggestions. If either NEC Hexs or AF vants capies of the Warren Constanten executive sessions my caples can be betreved. I have all in zeroses. I've sused two, those of 1/22 and 1/21/65 as capy in two books, the fearth of my Whitemash sories (which AF has) and Post Hertes. With that of 1/27 the cout of the book is less than half that of zeroses from the Archives. It also has facainiles of many other decements/ and capies of seas court and other records. While I used my best capy of that of 1/22 in Post Herten, which absunds in previously withheld records, I do have another capy that will yield clear zeroses. It is available. I do not recall if AF has a capy of Post Herten. I was not able to take it to EEC because it was printed while I was heapitalized the access thrombophlabitis. Thereafter I was not able to promote it. I believe this book will be basic in the House investigation — if it is for real.

I'm not sure, "r. Stern, but I think I gave NBC radio news copies of the first records obtained in C.A. 75-1996 a year ago. I was then still pretty weak, waskened more by the trip to "askville for confrontation with David Belin that led to his asking for a new investigation two days later. If I did provide tham, Earb Brubeker covered that press conference.

I did not intend to run on at this length. Hewever, my purpose is to inform and try to be helpful. For several years this is going to be a live story. For those who wpule like a primer to the JFK assassination far and away the simplest is Procused Guilty by Heward Reffman, new in a hardback reprint by A.S. Barnes. Other than my own work I can recessend - healtily and respectfully - only that of Sylvia Masgher (presounced Mahr). Her Accessories After the Fact is now available is a fintage reprint. t is superb. In about a month she will retired as a WID editor. She will then leave on a round-theworld cruise and will not be available. I'll enclose lists of my besks. Franc-Up is the only book that disagreed with the efficial solution to the Aing assausination. It provided the basis for responing the May case, new before the Supreme Court - cert. "in lesar is May's only counsel of record, by order of the sixth circuit court of appeals. He and I both have the 13 valuees of transcripts of the evidentiary hearing in that matter of 10/74. I Solieve these also will be busic in the work of the new consittee. They have xeroxed my set of transcripts and that of the guilty-plea hearing. Legar has the mane index I've had made to them. He also has a full set of the many exhibits. I do not. For anyone who is going to cover the Souse committee I believe I am sug esting basic factual materials + and much reading.

> Sincerely, Harold Weisborg