MEW ADDRESS: Rt. 7, Frederick, Md. 21701:::301/473-8186

December 26, 1967

Mrs. Julian Goodman, President The National Broadcasting Co., 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, N.Y. 10020

Dear Mr. Goodman,

Your letter of December 14 has the undoubted ring of sincerity. It reminds me again of what my own recent researches have convinced me: that the President of the United States is the least-importially informed man in the word. Egyertene who reaches him has a vested interest in what he believes. Consciously or otherwise, what he is told serves this need.

The same, I am confident, is true of our vast industrial and commercial complexes, of NBC, and of you, its President.

I never make a public appearance without someone asking me to explain genetor hobert Tennedy's silence and his early, favorable comment on the Werren Maport. While there is that in his more recent actions that I cannot justify, I am satisfied that as Attorney General he had every reason to trust those under him and their performance. There is now no doubt, and there can be no doubt if one makes the most rudimentary inquiry, that his trust was misplaced. Because of this, * fear his great personal suffering is not yet ended, that further tragedies may sweit him.

Is not the President of MBC is the same position? You know only what you have been told, and what you have been told comes from those involved. If, for whatever reason, conviction, perhaps, those working for MBC did what is wrong or even illegel, do you expect them to report this through channels to you: If one made an arrangement with the CIA, do you think it is formally recorded for you: Or if one made an effort to corrupt witnesses, do you expect a full account of how the offer was made:

That you have not followed my urging is both your affair and your right. Possibly were I in your position, with your many responsibilities and confidence in your associates, I might decide as you have. But I am not in your position, as you are not in mine. As I do not know what you do, you have no inkling what I know. I have personal knowledge of NBC affort to corrupt witnesses, told me in confidence by those involved. As you can see, "have not rushed into print with this, made no use of it whatsoever. There have already been too many unnecessary tragedies in the wake of the great one of the assessination. What I really seek is the recapture of the national honor to the degree it today can be regained. Bart of this is the restoration of the integrity of the press. It has totally abdicated on this subject. Its integrity, no less than that of government, is a prerequisite for the sanctity and security of our society.

If you really want to learn for yourself is what I tell you is at least possible, there are two simple things you can do. Both relate to what you cired about alvin Beauboauf and an alleged effort to bribe him. First, you can learn whether the tape used by NEC was adited to leave out what is inconsistent with what you sired. Then you can esk a newsman who I think is both competent and honorable what Beauboauf told him when they spent a day together in the witness room in attendance on the Dean Andrews perjury trial. Bob Scott, of WRKO, Boston, will tell you, as he did me, that, knowing him to be a reporter, Beauboauf nonetheless told him that no effort was made to corrupt him, that all that was eaked of him was the truth and the whole truth.

Should you find these relatively minor things to be as I tell you, then, perhaps, you may be inspired to go farthur. I do believe your interest, that of NBC and that of the country all require this.

Were it not beyond my capacity, I would contest your decision on the frieness dectrine as it here applies. My debt procludes this. For my indebtedness, I am, to a degree, beholden to NBC, which has been content to air what amount to defamations of me and my writing and to deny me the opportunity for fair response.

When you were a working reporter, I am certain you recognized that the last thing the press could properly be was a handmaiden of government. That is as subversive of government as it is of the freedom and integrity of the press. I wish that as President of NBC you could be seized by this fear.

Ultimately, I am confident, you will look back in sorrow. Then it will do no one, you or sayone else, any good.

Simcerely yours,

Harold Weisberg

NATIONAL BROADCASTING COMPANY, INC.

THIRTY ROCKEFELLER PLAZA, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10020, CIRCLE 7-8300

JULIAN GOODMAN President

December 14, 1967

Mr. Harold Weisberg Route 7 Frederick, Maryland 21701

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

I have your December 2 letter in which you refer to previous correspondence with NBC dealing with your views on the NBC News program "The Case of Jim Garrison" and the circumstances of President Kennedy's assassination. You urge me to familiarize myself "with what NBC has done" and to get in touch with you.

I am familiar with the background and particulars of the NBC program to which you refer and with the correspondence that started with your letter of August 16, 1967. There is nothing I could add to Mr. McAndrew's August 30 reply to that letter. Your further letter to Mr. McAndrew of September 2, it seems to me, simply repeated the substance of your earlier letter to which he had already replied, and by the same token there is nothing useful I could add in response to your additional letter of December 2, which goes over the same ground again.

I recognize that you have strong personal convictions on the subject of President Kennedy's assassination and like some other observers -- amateur and professional -- believe that it was the product of a planned conspiracy and that the facts have been concealed by the official investigation. We disagree

December 14, 1967 Page 2

Mr. Harold Weisberg

with that view but recognize and respect your right to embrace it. However, we do not believe that our broadcast of "The Case of Jim Garrison" and Mr. Garrison's subsequent appearance require us, either under principles of basic fairness or of applicable law, to offer you the use of NBC facilities to present your position.

I realize that you will not be satisfied with this response. But I wanted to give you the courtesy of an acknowledgment of your last letter, to assure you that your position has been fully considered, and to express the hope that you will recognize that our decision is a final one even though you do not agree with it.

Yours sincerely,

Julian Goodman