Hr. Julian Goodsan, President National Broadcasting Company Rockefeller Genter How York, N.Y.

Bear Er. Goodson,

Doubleday is about to bring out a book titled "An American Death", represented as a study of the assaudination of Dr. Hartin Luther King, Jr., and saying that Jason Karl Hay was the lone assausin. Beginning with a six-figure advance to the author, Gerold Frank, and including extraordinary advance book-club offerings, this conservial project carnot succeed without the unpaid use of the electronic media, meaning the people air. It presents one, the official side, of a master of questions of national interest on which NBC has alwaydy refused to present the other side and has, extensively, presented this official side in the past. One of your licensees, for example, after presenting william Drawford Huic in a view industringuishable from Frank's, refused to pormit no to air the other side.

Audde from the questions of fact, such as is the crime solved, did key consit the crime, there are other issues of national importance that daily assume greater significance in our troubled society. There is the broad question of justice in spectacular orises, did the system of justice sork in tide cases can an unpopular defendant get just when faced with a determined prosecution dominated by political considerations and, in reality, taken over by the federal government; can or does the commercialization of such erimes by those of financial and literary interests provent justice and frustrate the normal verkings of the last can defense counsel be dependent upon this converted interest and not be hopelenely midden by an irreconglibble conflict of interest 'the literary property vaporizing at any trial, which makes everything there adduced public domain); can peoplety and its system of justice survive what espents to a conspiracy to prevent a trial, a special form of placbergainings can a judge violate the standards of the bar with impunity and preside over this phon-bargedning and dictate the terms of the agreement and the suntence prior to the case reaching his in open court; can the federal government intrude inteelf into a purely state oring without subverting the law and justice; can and should defense coursel first throaten the death of the defendent and then bribe him into edlence, especially in a crise of this nature and with its consequences, the greatest cost in decago in our history being but one; and many, many other; I shall be glad to detail to you on request. I think these are more than emough to show the relevance of FCC regulations to this case.

There is also the question of the rights of the accused, defenation of him to which he cannot reply, and, whether or not it is NEC's intent, propagands against and intrusion into his efforts to obtain a full, open and public trial, searthing there has not been in this case. This came that be enversely selected by presentation of Frank's partisen views. May is in jail and cannot defend himself. Even if this were not the case, because of pending legal appeals it is impossible. He has read my published version of the orige and the functioning of society in it, the book FRANK-NP which NBC and its licensees refused to present to its audience and the only study on that aids of this case and there issues, and he has authorized us to ask for equal time of you in his nesse and in his defense. He has dealed being the assessin and he. Frank says he was the lone assessin. He has never admitted being the assessin. There is no possibility of person profit to us in this, although that is irrelevant, because my study is a year old and not to my knowledge in any bookstore.

For all of its extensive facilities and with the unquestionable competence of its professional staff, the complexity of this case are such that adequate understanding may well be denied NBC. It cannot, for example, use its own personnel to present the other side on all the many issues involved or in defense of the rights of Nr. Ray. Acquiring command of the fact required in itself makes this impossible. Thus, in my view, with the best of intentions in the world NBC carnot air Mr. Frunk and meety its obligations as the FGC has interpreted them without the presentation of an expert on the side opposite Mr. Frunk's, of when I am the only one. In the past NBC has refused to present what I may call "my" side on all these issues, ranging downward from such not about as "Today" to local licensees. Thus it would seem that the same standards should be myslied to the elaborately-orchostrated presentation of the official version represented by Mr. Frank and Mc book.

I realize it is unusual to make a request like this prior to the airing of hr. Frank. But I hope you also realize that once he is aired without simultaneous presentation of the other side, it is not possible for the view and representation of fact other than his to catch up with what he will have to say to defend his work and sell it or to reach the same sublence. I suggest that ruising this with you in aivence of siring provides you with the op-optunity to most your obligations more fully and fairly.

With the precedent it has set of refusing to air no, the only expert on my or May's side, I think it would be wrong for NHC now to air Mr. Frank and the official view which has been presented extensively and exclusively. But if NHC decides to again air the one side of this case, I do think it should simultaneously present the other side, which I alone an compotent to do, being the only one who has done the requisite work and investigation. Thus I ask of you that should NHC present Mr. Frank in any way, it make it possible for me to appear in confrontation so that the people may have both addes simultaneously.

There is no possibility of each for me in this, as there is for hr. Frank. I recognize that I say be helping him financially where the asse is not possible for me. But I think the over-riding issue is the access of the people on their mir to all cides of the many questions and lesues of national importance that are, inevitably and inextricably, involved in any siring of any aspect of the overall subject.

I do hope you will agree with me.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg