

## Projected Shaw Hearing Date Is Set by Haggerty

Expects Preliminaries to Be Over by Sept. 18

The first indication of when the hearings on defense quash motions preliminary to the conspiracy trial of Clay L. Shaw would end was given by Criminal District Court Judge Edward A. Haggerty Jr. Wednesday.

The projected date, by which Haggerty said he expected all the preliminary proceedings disposed of, was set at Sept. 18, despite defense objections that testimony may not be over by that time.

A hearing earlier this summer on the original defense quash motion fizzled out due to a large amount of legal technicalities. At that time Haggerty ordered the defense to file an amended motion to quash.

As of Wednesday the amend-



—Photo by The Times-Picayune.  
**WILLIAM GURVICH**  
Testifies at Houma.



—Photo by The Times-Picayune.  
**MARLENE MANCUSO**, former wife of Gordon Novel, talks with her attorney, G. Wray Gill, before going before the Terrebonne Parish Grand Jury Wednesday in Houma.

ed motion hadn't been filed and Haggerty ordered the defense to file it by Aug. 30.

### OTHER PHASES

Shaw is under indictment by the Orleans Parish Grand Jury for criminal conspiracy to murder President John F. Kennedy.

Meanwhile, the Orleans Parish and Terrebonne Parish Grand Juries were busily investigating other, but separate, phases of Dist. Atty. Jim Garrison's Kennedy assassination probe.

The local grand jury ques-

tioned two people, and the Houma panel subpoenaed six persons, including Garrison who was excused when Dist. Atty. Wilmore Broussard's office said it didn't need him Wednesday.

Judge Haggerty also gave the state until Sept. 6 to file an answer to the quash motion and told defense attorney F. Irvin Dymond that he'll try to arrange a hearing on the matter for Sept. 11.

### DOUBTS COST

After the projected date was

announced, Dymond said he didn't know if the testimony would be over by then.

Shaw's conspiracy trial is expected to begin in late September or early October.

Judge Haggerty said he thought testimony would be completed by Sept. 18, adding, "Everybody wants a speedy trial."

"We also want a speedy trial," Dymond said, "but we don't want to waive any of the defendant's rights."

He added that the defense intends to file all the motions it thinks necessary.

Earlier during the hearing Judge Haggerty ruled that the state's answer to a defense query was sufficient.

In answer to an application for a bill of particulars, Dist. Atty. Jim Garrison had alleged a meeting in the fall of 1963 between Shaw, Jack Ruby and Lee Harvey Oswald in Baton Rouge.

### TWO ARE CALLED

In a supplemental application for particulars, the defense asked for the specific date, time and place of the alleged meeting.

Asst. Dist. Atty. James L. Alcock answered that the alleged meeting took place "on or about" Sept. 3, 1963, "approximately" between 2 and 9 p.m., in a room at the Capitol House Hotel.

The Orleans Parish Grand Jury adjourned about 6:30 p. m. after calling Mrs. Joseph Hug and Miguel Torres to testify in connection with the probe.

Mrs. Hug had worked as a secretary for the International Trade Mart when Shaw was its manager.

Torres was brought to New

Orleans from the state penitentiary at Angola on a writ of habeas corpus to testify.

**EX HEROIN ADDICT**

A 29-year-old Cuban exile, he was a one-time heroin addict, who has been questioned in the past by Garrison's office in connection with the probe.

Torres' questioning by the grand jury came after he was brought before a Criminal District Court judge twice on questions he would not answer.

Following the grand jury's adjournment, Torres' attorney, Burton Klein, said Torres will be held in Parish Prison until next Wednesday when he will be called again to testify.

Earlier in the day Klein failed in an attempt to have Torres' appearance before the jury prevented. A motion filed with Judge Bernard J. Bagert to have the appearance stayed was denied.

**FOUR QUESTIONS**

Torres was brought before Judge Bagert first on four questions put to him by Alcock.

The questions concerned:

1. Whether Torres knew the names of some of the persons connected with the assassination of President Kennedy.

2. Whether Torres attended a meeting with Emiliano Santana and Sergio Arcacha Smith in the 1300 block of Dauphine in the summer of 1963.

3. Whether Torres and Santana went to Dallas in the fall of 1963.

4. Whether Torres knows the relationship between Santana and Ruby.

Klein advised Torres in the courtroom he could answer the questions, and Torres returned with the jury to its chamber.

However, after the jury adjourned, Klein maintained that his client knows "absolutely

nothing" about any conspiracy or the assassination of President Kennedy.

Shaw resides in the 1300 block of Dauphine, which was mentioned in the second question.

**PIECE OF PAPER**

The second time Torres was brought before Judge Bagert it was to be questioned by Assistant District Attorney Richard V. Burnes about a piece of paper Burnes said he had obtained from Torres.

Burnes said the paper contained a statement saying that the bearer could not answer a question without advice of counsel because it might tend to incriminate him.

Then Burnes stated a question which he said Torres had refused to answer for the jury.

"I ask you whether your attorney gave you this piece of paper," he said.

At that point Klein interrupted saying, "Certainly he can answer that."

He said Torres would answer any question about Garrison's Kennedy probe unless it "pertains to any statements he made on TV concerning his relationship with the district attorney's

office," in that case he would request advice of counsel, Klein said.

Torres appeared on a National Broadcasting Co. program critical of Garrison's investigation of the assassination of President Kennedy.

Alcock maintained that constant advice of counsel violated the secrecy of the grand jury.

Finally Judge Bagert directed the jury to continue questioning Torres on a trial basis, and there were no other interruptions until adjournment.

The Terrebonne Grand Jury, however, was investigating charges by Garrison that probe figures Gordon Novel, Arcacha and the late David W. Ferrie and others conspired to burglarize a Schlumberger Well Service munitions dump near the Houma airport during the summer of 1961.

Besides Garrison the other five subpoenaed by the Terrebonne panel were:

Ptn. Leroy Belanger of the Houma Police Department, who investigated the alleged munitions theft; Novel's ex-wife, Marlene Mancuso, who was accompanied by her attorney, G.

Wray Gill; one of Novel's former business associates, Rancier B. Ehlinger, who has also been questioned by the Orleans grand jury; one-time chief investigator for Garrison, William Gurvich, and Ted Cobb, a field service manager for Schlumberger, who worked in Houma during the time the alleged theft took place.

Both Novel and Smith have thus far avoided Garrison's attempts to extradite them from other states for questioning.