Feb. 20, '72

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

Thank you very much for your prompt and kind reply concerning your work.

Enclosed is a check for \$10.50 for Frame-Up.

If you can find time to reply, I would--for purposes of the presentation that I am giving on March 10--be interested in your answer to these questions: What are Ted Kennedy's chances of survival if he gets sucked into the race, or is drafted at the Democratic convention? What are John Lindsay's, if he is nominated? George McGovern's, if he is nominated?

Best wishes to you and your family.

0000

H. C. Nash

Box 764 New Bern, N. C. 28560

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

Prizeric yrous attybragen the boy Durant Remarkand shi at all and property of the nation of the superior that suck is batalor-eased yrous or so seed to at I. stabiling yrous therein between element which all should be superior that the second suck of the stability of the stability of the stability of the stability of the second suck of the stability of the second suck of

Best wishes to you and your family.

The Contract

H. C. Nash

Box 761+ New Bern, N. C. 28560

## 2/12/72

Dear Mr. Nash,

You had better make a record of the address at which we havebeen for more than four years and were at the time of our earlier correspondence. Normally, mail is not forwarded after two years and bell simply will not change the record there.

I have continued investigating, have an enormous amount on paper that I am without fund for printing, see no immediate commercial possibilities for anything (it never was good except for sycophancy or tunk), and haven't the time to go into all of it in a letter. I do in speeches, but I can no longer mak them except at the customary arrangements. Basically, there is no change in conclusions. There is an enormous amount of new fact, all consistent with what I have written earlier and none disputing any of it.

I have no recordings.

The only work by any responsible critic of which I know is incomplete and until it is published it is a futility to amounce it, leading also to unnecessary work none of us still working should be taking from the work we do.

The only new book I have brought out is on the King assassination. It was a publishing disaster because the publisher not only would not promote, but he did all he could to discourage independent promotions. Reviews enclosed.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberh

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

I am an instructor in English working for East Carolina University and Craven Technical Institute in New Bern, N. C. Since approximately 1966 I have been intensely interested in the questions surrounding the assassination of President Kennedy and the Warren Report. In early 1968 I wrote to you for several of your books, which you kindly forwarded.

Here in New Bern I have been active in founding a reading and discussion group which has been meeting regularly since last summer. On March 10 I intend to offer a presentation entitled "The Failure of the Warren Report and the Meaning of That Failure for 1972." In this regard I would be most grateful if you would forward to me the following:

- a. any updated conclusions of your own based on recent investiga-
- a listing of your complete works (including recordings) on the subject
- c. a listing of any work in progress on the part of other responsible critics

I'm aware of course that you may be reluctant to meet the first request. I can only assure you that I am acting independently and with absolutely no thought of publishing investigative data of any kind. In 1968 I formed an organization 1½ in Virginia Beach, ½ Va., which agitated for a re-investigation of the assassination (see enclosed). During 1968 and 1969 I corresponded several times with Penn Jones. In this election year I am convinced that the danger of a repetition of conspiratorial assassination (e.g., McGovern, Lindsay, Ted Kennedy if he runs) is very real indeed.

Anything that you can find time to provide will be greatly appreciated.

Thank you.

H. C. Nash

Box 764 New Bern, N. C. 28560 WE, THE UNDERSIGNED, are convinced that the Warren Commission did not conduct a thorough, aggressive, and open-minded investigation of the assassination of President Kennedy, and did not prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Lee Harvey Oswald acted alone, or without political motivation. We are further convinced that the widespread public doubt and cynicism relative to the findings of the Warren Report directly contributed to the growing climate of national violence in which Dr. Martin Luther King and Sen. Robert Kennedy have now lost their lives. We believe that violence as an individual and/or conspiratorial political weapon in the United States will not subside until there is a new investigation into all the facts and all the leads in the murder of John Kennedy. We believe that the stability and viability of democratic processes in this country cannot be fully restored until there is a new investigation of the events of November 22, 1963: an investigation by an independent body whose obligation is to the truth—no matter how shocking—and not to the protection of persons in power, in government or otherwise.

NAME (print) MRS NANETTE D-EMANUEL	DATE July 20, 1968
SIGNATURE MANOTE D. Smarrell	U J
ADDRESS 1325 MontEney Avenue.	NORFOLK, VA. 23508
TELEPHONE* 423-/037	

\*so that verification of your identity may be made if a legal referendum-petition drive develops either in Norfolk or Virginia Beach.

THIS PETITION DRIVE IS SPONSORED BY AMERICANS FOR REINVESTIGA-TION OF THE KENNEDY ASSASSINATION (ARKA), AN INDEPENDENT ORGANI-ZATION OF DEEPLY CONCERNED CITIZENS. OUR GOAL IS TO COLLECT 1,000 NAMES IN SUPPORT OF THE POSITION STATED ABOVE PRIOR TO AUG. 15, 1968. WHEN WE HAVE REACHED THIS GOAL, WE WILL PRESENT THE PETITION TO APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL REPRESENTATIVES AS A CHALLENGE TO THEIR OWN SILENCE AND TO THE NATIONAL CONSCIENCE.

THERE ARE MANY OTHER WAYS YOU CAN HELP. FOR INFORMATION, WRITE TODAY TO ARKA, 421 SEEMAN ROAD, VIRGINIA BEACH, VA. 23452.