

7/4/68

Dear Gary,

I was in New Orleans from the morning of the 28th until day before yesterday. Got home early yesterday morning. Hence no earlier response to your letter of the 28th, which my wife did acknowledge.

It was a very good trip, in many ways. First, I was so busy and so broke I had no time to eat and lost 10 pounds. Got at least that much more to go. Then, I got a lot of new information on a variety of subjects. Can't go into it by mail in any detail, but I've established a minimum of four reliable cops on the lake, two ex-cops, and have other significant interviews on tape.

I have indications that the other side is focusing in on me because I am without resources, particularly money, hence can't afford lawyers. They have blackmailed the cops and gotten into vindictive C I U, needlessly, only for them to save a few bucks in 1 year's charges that here would be slight because I have already paid for the legal work through Bell. While they were making a negotiating deal with Bringuier behind my back and then, after I caught them, in violation of their agreement with me, Bringuier's lawyer was busily engaged in trying to withdraw the other suit. Meaning? Yes, and disgusting. The other side will use this against us very much.

Having been away, there is a considerable accumulation, and I must do something with my new material before I forget and lose it. However, before I return to my own writing I will do an introduction for you. And by all means, use whatever of my material you want. If I have anything else you can use, ask for it.

You are right about Diebardorf. I had ignored it until Bernabei called it to my attention. I was fooled by some of the testimony. I have been using it since.

Despite the rush, I must tell you a few wild things you may enjoy and see, if you like, pass on it. I left without arrangements for a place to stay other than the sofa in the tiny working apartment of a professor I had met but once (his retreat from seven kids so he can write) and with about \$113 bucks. My target was 12 days. I had arranged to borrow an old car so unsafe no one else will drive it. The evening I got there it cooked out-refusing to get out of low gear. And was it hot! I phoned an ex-CIA man + his girlfriend, a salesman at an auto agency, to see if he could line me up with something inexpensive and second hand. He was not there any more but his former boss, who knew of the effort I had made to help this man and to protect him from his own foolishness, insisted on lending me a car at no cost. I toiled around in a sports car, no less! And it was cheap to run. Without it I could not have done most of what I did. Then, when I returned to re-interview the mother of an insane man who had threatened to kill Garrison and had other possible involvements, she offered me a really nice apartment, private, air conditioned and bright. It is the best place to work I've ever had there, and the most convenient location. The office flipped when they heard where I was staying. I guess they were all afraid I'd get it! The irony, though, you will get. No one had ever explained excursion tickets to me. So, when I cleaned up all my planned work and considered the stack here, I decided to return three days early. It cost me \$35.00! I also located the secret headquarters of the other side and photographed it and had much other working fun. Best to your family.

Sincerely,

June 28, 1968

Mr. Gary Murr
R.R.1
Moffat, Ontario
CANADA

Dear Mr. Murr:

Harold left this a.m. for New Orleans and expects to be back around the 15th of July.

I've spoken with him by phone, told him of your letter, and he asked me to tell you that as soon as possible after his return he will get to work on the introduction for your book. While he is away, he will be too busy and his attention will be too divided to do any constructive writing.

Other parts of your letter he will reply to directly when he returns.

I hope the baby has not been relegated to the backfield in deference to the book. You don't mention him in your letter. He must be developing personality by now.

Sincerely,

Lillian Weisberg

R.R. 1,
Moffat, Ont., Canada,
June 28/68.

Dear Harold,

Thank you for your letter of the 14th, and for the various enclosures. They are useful to me.

I have been in touch with Sarnabel and Sprague regarding the position of the windows in the various photo's. To date we have been unable to find out who opened the window in question, and I don't think we will. Thanks also for the suggestions regarding Day's testimony, Baker's Dozen etc. I believe I will use some of this in my Appendix regarding this window. I hope you do not mind the repetition of this evidence, but I believe that many Canadians will find it new and interesting. Thanks again for your permission to use this work. Don't know what I'd do without you and your kind help. Only hope I can repay you some day.

As you suggested in your letter, I am trying to make it as clear as possible the way in which the FBI, the junior members of the staff, and other's deliberately withheld evidence from the Commission, and still are to this day, especially the FBI. The withholding of evidence from the Archive's and the permanent record is deliberate and well illustrated by the Simliss case alone.

I would like you to write the introduction to my book as soon as possible. I am really looking forward to receiving it. As for the length of it, I will leave that up to you. I think it will help me in finding a publisher as your name is known in this field of work. I am working hard on my book and hope to have it completed to my satisfaction within two weeks.

You may or may not know by now, but I spent an enjoyable two days with Trent Gough in Toronto last week. We were doing some work pertaining to James Earl Ray and his stay in Toronto. We also had the "frenchy" photo's etc. The results were encouraging, but I am sure Trent can tell you more about it than I. I more or less tried to help in whatever way I could. If you have any questions, please let me know and I will try to answer them.

One interesting bit of information I ran across in the 28 Volumes has to do with the death of Oswald. One question which I knew plagued Penn Jones in the past was who was the idiot who administered oxygen to Oswald. The answer can be found in Bieberdorf Exhibit 5123(19H1833f). He is the one who ~~did~~ did it. He also describes how Oswald began to "thrash" about and attempted to remove the resuscitator cup from his mouth just before reaching Parkland. No wonder, he probably realized that Bieberdorf was killing him. I cannot think of a ~~more~~ worse thing to do to Oswald. If you look at the picture's of Oswald printed in Four Days, you will notice that he is definitely alive and would appear to be conscious. The results of Bieberdorf's aid can be found in Oswald's autopsy report. I think you will find that over 2 quarts of blood were removed from his stomach. Someone should ask Bieberdorf about this some time.

Well, I must go for now as I have to get back to my book. Write soon. Thank's once again for everything.

Ray