

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
473-8186

Route 8
Frederick, Md. 21701

May 29, 1968

Mr. Gary Murr
RR L
Moffat, Ontario, CANADA

Dear Gary:

Babies are the most wonderful, beautiful things in the world. Yours is no exception. However, he also has those piercing eyes that certainly some day will be those of a real searcher for truth.

I will be delighted to write an introduction for your book.

I do not have a copy of the 11-23-63 NYTimes' Similas article.

God knows the status of the Shaw trial. Jim's setting a date served to force what has happened: open federal delay.

I spent very little time in the office when I was there. Instead, I continued my own investigations which I reported to him. They were, I think, quite fruitful.

Excuse the haste. I just returned and am more than ever behind a stack of overdue work.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

P.F. 1,
Moffat, Ont., Canada,
May 12th, 1969.

Dear Harold,

As the enclosed announcement shows and tells, it was a boy. He sure is a cute little fellow too. The wife is home and feeling fine. I must admit that I am extremely proud of her. Also I am getting a little less sleep than I used to, at certain times that is. He seems to be adjusting to his new home and environment quite nicely though. Maybe you'll get to see him in person some day!

I hope you will excuse the delay in writing. But between the baby, the wife, work and my book, not to mention other people whom I write, I haven't had a great deal of free time. Once we get into the swing of our new patterns(feedings, baths etc) I hope to be able to work on a few of my ideas for the future.

I hope you and Jim had a fruitful trip to Detroit. How is the Shaw trial coming? I haven't heard anything for a couple of months. Did Jim have to take another delay? If you could call me in on a few of the details I would be grateful.

Am glad you enjoyed the work on Brennan. Your remark about Euins and a few of the others has given me an idea for a future exhibit, namely the exact positioning of a few of these marionette's known as "star" witnesses. That reminds me, did I send you the extra copies of my other exhibits? Can't remember if I did or not.

Thank you for the explanation of control over doctrine. I am doing the writing myself. I should soon have the first rough draft finished. I have never really written anything of length since college. Don't know if I'll ever get it published, but as long as I get it finished to my satisfaction and get to say what I want to say, that's all that really matters. At least I will feel that I have accomplished something. I don't really consider it a book as compared to your writings. You can't say too much about a single case like this, and the resulting work will probably be only a 150 pages in length or so. I know your work the best of all and so have tried to pattern my style after yours. Hope you don't mind. I could never express myself like you do though, and there probably will be little similarity in the end result. I guess without your work in the first place there could have been no book on Similas. You were the one who found the documents and first brought the case to light. Therefore I only hope and am trying to make my book an extension of your original work, including the results of my few investigations from your leads. Leads which you did not have the time or, because of your geographical position could not follow up. I am greatly indebted to you. If I do get my work published then I will have brought this matter to so many unsuspecting Canadians

for the first time. This is one of my main goals. Perhaps then someone in a better position than I could get to the bottom of the matter and find out the complete truth. I also want to point out to my fellow people just how far-reaching the shortcomings of the Report actually were.

I have a couple of favours to ask. One is minor, but the other I have debated over asking you for quite some time. The first is, could you send me a copy of the article on Similas that appeared in the Nov. 23rd, 1963 edition of the N.Y. Times? I don't know if you even have a copy of this article, but if you do have I would like a copy.

The second is not so easy to put down in words. I realize it is asking a lot and is probably unfair, but I was wondering if you could find the time to write a short introduction to my book? I know how busy you are and if the request is unreasonable I will understand. I only ask because I feel it would add so much to giving my work the authoratative touch it so badly needs. It could also be helpful in getting it published.

To answer you question about the Sunday Similas incident. I know the type of trusting individual that he is. He ~~is~~ did not ask for any identification as such. Being the FBI or CIA, none was probably offered. Everything was in such a turmoil that I guess he sincerely believed the man was a reporter. It could have happened to me. At the time Similas probably was not thinking of the consequences but probably of the possible newsworthy information he was passing along.

Well I really must go. My job is the preparation of the baby's bottle. I will write again at greater length. Hope to hear from you soon,

Respectfully,



473-8186

Route 8
Frederick, Md. 21701

May 16, 1968

Mr. Gary Murr
R R 1
Moffat, Ontario, CANADA

Dear Mr. Murr:

Congratulations on the new addition to your family!
And I must agree with you that he is a cute little fellow. He
is and will continue to be a great source of joy to you and
your wife, although I suspect there will be times when you will
be rather doubtful of it.

Harold is away just now and I do not expect him home
until approximately the end of the month, so I am acknowledging
your letter. The questions you ask he will answer when he gets
home.

I am sure Harold joins in felicitations to the family.

Sincerely,

Lillian Weisberg

Our Baby

Name Alward Gray

Born at Alward Alward

On May 3

Time 6:57 PM

Weight 8 lbs 12 oz

Parents Gray & Carol

