

4/2/68

Dear Gary,

You do have something to look forward to and keep you busy. Congratulations.

I knew about the extra Willis pictures, for it is I who discovered them, in correspondence, from him, and I who persuaded him to make them available on a non-use basis, to LIFE, on the chance they'd buy them. LIFE then flew him and his wife to NYC for two days, studied and copied the pictures, etc. I thought your reference was to the published ones, didn't know you knew of these. I have never seen these, Willis is strange, in his way, and a little greedy. It hurt him.

I'll try and copy the AG's order. If I can, I'll enclose it.

Thanks for the 5-4 picture. It is better than my black-and-white for TV, even if slightly fuzzy.

Thanks for the interesting exhibit. Would you please tell me your circulation on these, so I'll know if anyone else should have them?

I would suggest rephrasing if you ever do it over, under Carolyn Arnold. There is no reason to believe that she ever placed the time at 12:15. Remember, this is the FBI's account. Her own, which is still there's, but the only one she ever saw and the only one she signed, placed the time at 12:25. And where you cite something that is available in published form, if you know the published source, perhaps it is helpful to others to give it. CD 5 is not available to most.

Under Hughes, I think it would be more dispassionate to include the FBI-Navy Photo Lab statement that in the case of the southeasternmost window the shape is not that of a person. This series of pictures exculpates Oswald. It also disproves the testimony of the three Negroes, for they are not at the windows they testified they were in.

Under Oswald, why not include his statement that he saw "Junior" on the first floor while he was sitting, something he could not have known (and was consistent with Jarman's testimony, unless he actually was there).

Under Mrs. Reed, she has a demurrer on the time, indicating it was later.

You last listing might include Robert MacNeil, now BBC, then NBC News, from whom I have a letter. MacNeil was there but could not identify Oswald. He has a way of placing the time, from the charge on the phone call he made to NBC.

But it is very good, and it will be helpful. Many thanks. Sincerely,

F.R. 1,
Moffat, Ont., Canada,
March 28th, 1968.

Dear Harold;

A short reply to yours of the 23rd/3. I have been a little busy as of late as my wife Carol and I are expecting our first child in about a months time so things have been a little hectic. I am glad that you had a fruitful trip to New Orleans. I gather from newspaper clippings that Dulles was to answer his subpoena in New Orleans today. Please keep me posted as to what happens in this regard if you have time.

Thanks for the copy of the Thayer Waldo letter. I really do appreciate little bits of info like this. I try to save all I can find in the papers up here in Canada, but generally speaking it doesn't usually amount to a great deal. Not that Mr. Garrison doesn't have a friendly press up here, but it certainly isn't covered as well as in the U.S., especially in the New Orleans papers themselves.

I really do mean Willis Slide 13 in my arrest exhibit. I obtained this info from Dick Sprague in his Exhibit 1, Edition 2, of Dec./67. In this Dick lists the contents of 18 Willis slides, not just the 12 that Willis sells to researchers. I guess Dick had a chance to view these other 6 on one of his trips to Dallas, and from his description slide 13 shows the arrest of an individual outside the Dal-Tex building.

I'm glad you understand about the Davies incident, but it does bother me a bit. I have finally found a friend who is willing to work with me on this Similas affair. Will tell you more about this in the future.

Sorry about the Governor General slip. I did mean the Attorney Generals Order of Oct. 31st/66. I do not have a copy of this Order so if you could find time to make me one it would be appreciated.

I too wrote the Archives on documents relating to Similas and I will send you a copy of the reply as soon as I get it.

I have inclosed a couple of things for you. One is an enlargement of a photo of 544 Camp St. I took while in N.O. It is a little fuzzy, but I broke my filter and did not have it for this trip. However I don't think the photo is too bad.

The second is a copy of an exhibit I have prepared in an attempt to place Oswald at the time of the shooting. My conclusion is that he was where he said he was, on the first floor having his lunch. I drew the attached chart by myself. It is fairly accurate. Hope you find this useful. If you have any other ideas please let me know.

Well it is time to go. Hope to hear from you soon. I really do appreciate you taking the time to write me. Thanks again.

Respectfully,

Gary