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Dgar Mr. Murr,
This you he we Ima ith the vehicles is exactly ghet I wented, I mould ifke to este thet you 8150 sent copter, when you cen, to the follokin peopls, eeth with a nots euyine I pake you to so they wil mor miny they are gettine it, why you dia it -so thrt you $\overline{1} 11$ beve, so to sperk, euspices.
II Gar-isori, Di trict attorney, Tulana aroad, Now urlabns, ia.
His Werb, 923 fulton St., Sen Prencisce, Cslif. 94117 (seconc coriy marked for Peul Ypch.
Steve Burtan, Cit12anst comiltee of Inquiry, BOX 150 , 308 bestrood Pleza, Los -ngelés, calif. 90024 (extrs copy for mede is Migld).
I hive rosk your axhibit in fhate, byt it eerae greth cantiete, I zould guscost that you izclude aeverel oftiora that I think ere in ognaiD IN HW ORLKANS

 to heive delivaref to the heat ens st fron Ihorids, and one his own, I bellevie a 56-8 Ubdmobile, Lekrence Honers, I now kion Van I krom of no reference to itl uiso used hi= osn. Phent thure if ths cse ued by two then those zosition ne es.r were left delibsrat-ly vilue by "ealoy ilecoler. This ie vie fucicent of the out-ofState cer Evaralto RoJriguaz and Fujento Fona repoxten to the Fal throueh Jurlos Bringuiar. I than these any be luportnt vehicles to identify in the future. Heving 8 recond of then in $y$ it exhsilit mey be he $i p$ ful. Also, you may pick up other fingea relotive to them.
Thone ia on gadition 2 pictay, whici $y$ be io esme sh one in youf ineth

 carvine compay, t hive vegue necol ection o-thie from gone -rchives hodumenta

 thene end left oose clothsus bshinc.
 thet ic shy I wrotess I of a givinc jou their yddresses. I will be in ihicseo in 10 deys. If there is snything to be iollowed Gomn on the Similas invostigstion you kove been sonducting, plsose loz ce know. If I cannot do it myself I'll s sk soneone elee to do 1t. 1 uncsretond utout seefng Jerieries. Anything elsa? I'il be there only overnight.
Ye, Dick Al 3 send af a cony of bis observationo on the firat hour os the 62 hours of nemly-loceted film I airected him to. Fo may ind mona the same way, I heve two other similer lesde. then ha and I con do it together, we will try and
 bita ofatble loosl TV etations' Invantozies and racorsa of their footage thet I
 not afford (one ran to 128 piges). Thers cay be more date in thes documents, if he kas then.

Before you go to $k$ ow unleons-before you make definite plan-be cortain thet the Shan trial. actually does begin that dey. The only place I've stayed thare is the Eonteinbleau Hotel, vhichi\& very nice. Josts about $\$ 12.00$ a dsy and is on 3 direct bus line to the courta builains. I doubt if you'll be able to get in to the court. There is more prospect that the trial will not beein $2 / 13$ then that it will, in my opinion.

I do not heve the full ATPimes story on the fsmily-GSA contract, although presune I gm responeible for the releese of this docunentin. I'd i ko e copy if Fou esn enare $1 t$. I inve the original documenta ont do mot negd thot mort of tre Times etory. I'd anproelete the foll tart, baccuze whet is on tie jump yitge, which I heve, may be difrerent in difforent editions on the paper. Your observetion 1 a corcsct. How ean tho who int t rec tham sey whe they shoy: Indeed, they gin't. Could you smplify your opintion that the doator lied on cBs. Tho not folloon thet.



Te msn at the traiven is Marion Johson. icares ing him the thational



Returning to the date of the Sheq triel, Is thinic it not vongble no to
 Judicisi zeception. I nticint a convlen of netty ones, defide from those thist sre obvicus.
 unlikoly you n11: be abla to pet fate the sesaiona, but I eon to grong. I thilk
 of this s bory. if you 60 nest geng' e bir, rarsmon, that is a yery fough ofee, e.59 ciedity af iftht.

1 tivs no publishine datilion set, The fefeth book has ooen done for moinths. Just compot-non rigy the fiditionsl tebt.
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { R.R.\#1, } \\
& \text { MOFFAT, ONT., CANADA, } \\
& \text { Jan. 19th, } 1968 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dear Sir:

I am sending you and exhibit I have worked out on which you will find listed the vehicles observed in and around Dealy Dlaza on Nov. 22, 1053 that may have olayed some part in the actual assassination. I believe that it is fairly self explanatory. I tried as best nossible to arrange the sightings in chronological order using the time of the sightings as my guideline. Part II is a nartial wist of cars sighted that may have played some nart in the story of the "False Oswald." There will undoubtedly be revisions and additions to both to which I will keen you informed.

You probably have the latest sheet from Dick Sorague on which he has listed some of the highlights of the first hour of listening to the $12,000 \mathrm{hrs}$ of videotane that you recently discovered. In the first hour Dick mentions that a Fort Worth station mentioned the arrest of a man with a green car. I have found, and you will find listed, some further aspects of this arrest and car in my exhibit, including the cars license no. Do you think that nossibly Dick would like a cony of this exhibit? Ican send him one if he does.
$M r$. Sprague and I have corresvonded on the Similas affair and $I$ have sent him my work on this subject. Perhans he has been in touch with you. I believe some of the recent developments are new and interesting. Also I have taken the liberty to write Penn Jones, Ray Marcus etc. Thank you for their addresses.

In the New York Times for Jan. Sth, 1958 there was and article entitled "Accord on X-Rays of Kennedy Bared." Perhans you saw and have a cony of it. Some of it I wonld like to comment on. In the 3rd paragraph, page 1, there is a statement that those who have seen the X-rays, photo's etc. "'say they give strong sunport to the Warren Commissions conclusion that Lee Harvey Oswald acted alone in killing oresident Kennedy." The article then states, page 15, column 1, 2nd last paragranh, "Although Government investigators and persons approved by the Kennedy family could have been nermitted to see the autonsy during the first five years, Dr. Bahmer said no one had in fact seen them. No gevernment agencies have asked, he said, and Mr. Marshall said he would not authorize any private nersons to see them until Oct. 29th, 1971." If no one has seen them, then how can they state that they give "strong sunport" to the Comms出sions conclusions? Besides contradicting itself this article also brings out another interesting point. If what Dr. Bahmer says is true, and I have no reason to doubt him, then it means that dertain people have told blatant and outright lies. Included is our friend Manchester, and I think more importantly, it means that the medical man who testified in behalf of the Commission last June in the CBS four-night special (Dr. Boswell I think) told an outright lie before millions of people when he said that he had reviewed the X-rays, photo's etc. and that they did not change his previous comment concerning number of shots, points of entry and exit etc.

When you last wrote you gave me the name of a man from whom I could buy documents from without going to the Archives. However, you forgot to give me his address and therefore I have not been able to get in touch with him. If you can give me his address I would appreciate it. Also, if you do have time, I would still like a cony of the suppressed documents that you used in the writing of the Oswald In New Orleans book. I might add that book sales have indicated that in Toronto this has been your best selling book to date.

I have saved up enough money to be able to take a trip to New Orleans next month when some of the Clay Shaw trial is on. Do you know when the trial is due to start? If you could give me a date I world appreciate it. Also if you could give me some ideas of the hotel accomidation, rates etc it would be useful. I only ask you this because you have been before and $k$ now more about these things than $I$. I am going down to take some photo's and to have a look around at some of the places that have played a part in the hatching of the conspiracy. If you do not think that it is wise for me to go please let me know. I realize that it will probably be well covered by the press.

Do you have any future publishing dates set yet? I hope so as I am eagerly awaiting your next writing on this subject.

Respectfully


