Ddear Phil,

Sorry that combination of xonfidential relationships and sincere belief about what serves all interests preloudes my doing much more than saying thanks for the recent clips, which are valuable and do include what is not in others I have.

I have no trouble telling you the C-A 1/6/77 is not accurate in a way that can't be accidental. The same is trye of ^Bob's quote on ^Lesar, who has been doing all he could in the ppposite directly, trying to see to it that ^Hay now says and does nothing at all. I regard what Bob has done as at best wretthed. I really find myself wondering if he is rational.

The conflict between these new quote of Bob and those of which you know of recent date on whether or not he is Jimmy's counsel is pretty obvious.

Jimmy's dissatisfaction with "ob goes back to that Cliff insanity in which Bob's misbehavior was not limited to all the crazy public statements.

I want no publicity on this but off the record I do tell you that the quote in Cunningham's story about Lesar and me and his objecting to all other writers seeing Jimmy only not me is mischief cribbed from the CIA's man on the WxStar, jeremiah O'Leary. I never saw, spoke to or had a letter from Jimmy until after I had completed Frame-Up. He gave Bud something for me that I used in the book, separately and identified. But I had had no contact mfas of them. By first was after the second hearing before Judge Williams. By the book was printed. So I never saw him as a writer. My writing was printed before I saw him and I have seen him and written him only as his investigator.

From this it is apparent that the purpose is mischeef.

Neither Cunningham now anyone else on C-A phoned_me nor did O(Leary, who had some pretty poinsonous stuff fed him early on by the FBL. e used it in Readers Digest. t was subject of Ray protest to battle. In all of tjos you have seen nothing directly quoting me or referring to any interview. There has been none. I refused to be on the CBS primetime special even though I then had a new JFK book to promote.

Do you wonder about such deliberate prejudicial inaccuracies, referring to besar as "one of Ray's Washington, D.C. attorneys." There is one only. And they know it. This seems to have the McEachern touch.

Also off the record ^bob sent mema carbon of his craxy letter to Jimmy and I sent him a strong response without sending Jimmy a carbon. My current wonder about Bob's state of mind is from his letter, before this newest insanity.

Thanks and do please try to keep me informed. This is getting very far out of hand and is having too much help that way.

Best,

1/10/78