Dear Gris.

The second secon

Clad to hear from you!

Thanks for the Harrington letter. I wrote him and sent him a copy of the new book, without even acknowledgement.

You will find, I fear, that writing these people who know nothing and don't want to and who took others on faith, whether it be a Kennedy or Warren or Hoover, accomplishes nothing. The only chance is with fact and that, for success, will require much luck to buttress the fact.

There is reason to believe that a number of resolutions for investigations will be introduced. There is also reason to believe that regardless of the good intent of those offering the motions, they are under-informed and misinformed, largely by a new gong of paramoids and commercializers who have been quite successful in milking the colleges and exploiting the intense desire for knowledge by a new generation.

I don't really think Johnson did brite Warren or could have. I'm inclined to believe that he simply conned him and there is reason to support this, including some of what Warren told the staff, as you will see.

Dorry I can't expand on these things. A one-man publisher envies the paperhanger with no more than the itch. I have to get the books out in response to the orders, as they come in. Otherwise they accumulate and it gets worse. Besides, we have the loan Lesar made to pay off as fast as possible because the interest is burdensome.

And many people ask questions I have to answer.

Best to you all.

Sincerely,

Rt. 1, Box 275 Yellow Springs, Ohio 45387

Dear Hal:

Jan 2, 1975

Your new book sounds very exciting. I inclose a letter I received from Rep. Harriggton that you might want to use in writing him to suggest he read your book.

One aspect of the case I feel has great importance for consideration, I believe that Justice Warren demanded as quid pro quo that Johnson and others get the civil rights legislation passed in exchange for his going along with the Report. There is a variety of evidence that something like this happened. J. Eeger Hoover was sitting on his hands with regard to all Bobby Kennedy was trying to do for civil rights and it seems logical that if he too was required to get to work on civil rights issues in exchange for the Warren accepting the Report he would indeed have the FBI do as in fact it did in actively prosecuting the criminals in the deep south. Johnson in his last TV interview said he had passed Kennedy's civil rights legislation. It seemed as though Johnson had a magic in getting it passed that Kennedy did not have, but if this were the motive behind it, it could be explained as the blood money for the Kennedy assassination. Warren certainly did wrong in agreeing to the Report, but one can better understand his doing so if he thought he were demanding a considerable price for doing so.

Well, I look forward to seeing your book.

Best wishes.

Gris

Griscom Morgan

P.S. My father's newly published book, The Making of the TVA tells of the Watergate kind of situation he was faced with in the thirties. It does not tell of what he could only interpret as a threat of his own assassination if he were to put a wrench in the works of the government's case against him. That is too unbelievable and the person who threatened him said he would prosecute him for purgury if he were to tell of what he knew on such matters, and my father had no witnesses. It is a readable and fully documented book put out when my father is 96 years old. I did my stint of work on it.